Accepted Manuscript

Title: Mapping of Reproductive Health Financing: Methodological Challenges

Author: Jalandhar Pradhan, Estelle Monique Sidze, Anoop Khanna, Erik Beekink

PII: S1877-5756(14)00026-3

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.srhc.2014.05.003

Reference: SRHC 139

To appear in: Sexual & Reproductive Healthcare

Received date: 27-3-2013 Revised date: 24-4-2014 Accepted date: 11-5-2014



Please cite this article as: Jalandhar Pradhan, Estelle Monique Sidze, Anoop Khanna, Erik Beekink, Mapping of Reproductive Health Financing: Methodological Challenges, *Sexual & Reproductive Healthcare* (2014), http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.srhc.2014.05.003.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Mapping of Reproductive Health Financing: Methodological Challenges

Jalandhar Pradhan^{1,2*}, Estelle Monique Sidze³, Anoop Khanna⁴, Erik Beekink⁵

*Corresponding author: Dr. Jalandhar Pradhan, Department of Humanities and Social Sciences, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha-769008, India, Email: jpp_pradhan@yahoo.co.uk

Acknowledgments

The study is part of the Resource Flows Project run in joint collaboration between the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), the Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute (NIDI), Indian Institute of Health Management Research (IIHMR), the African Population and Health Research Center (APHRC) and National Institute of Technology (NIT), Rourkela. The aim of the RF project is to monitor global financial flows for population and AIDS activities. The authors' views expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the views of UNFPA, NIDI, IIHMR, APHRC or NITR.

Abstract

Low level of funding for reproductive health (RH) is a cause for concern, given that RH service utilization in the vast majority of the developing world is well below the desired level. Though there is an urgent need to track the domestic and international financial resource flows for RH, the instruments through which financial resources are tracked in developing countries are limited. In this paper we examined the methodological and conceptual challenges of monitoring financial resources for RH services at international and national level. At the international level, there are a number of estimates that highlights the need for financial resources for RH programmes but the estimates vary significantly. At the national level, Reproductive Health Sub-accounts (RHA) in the framework of National Health Accounts (NHA) is considered to be the ideal source to track domestic financial flows for RH activities. However, the weak link between data production by the RHA and its application by the stakeholders as well as lack of political will impedes the institutionalization of RHA at the country level.

Key words: reproductive, health, financing, flows, accounts, institutionalization

Introduction

Poor reproductive health (RH) accounts for an estimated one-third of the global burden of illness and early deaths among women of reproductive age (UNDP, 2006). About 201 million married women in developing countries still have an unmet need for modern contraceptives and around 70,000 maternal deaths (13 per cent) occur every year due to unsafe abortions (Hill et al, 2007). Moreover, 97% of these unsafe abortions occurred in developing countries (David et al, 2006). It has been widely acknowledged that the RH related Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)¹ can only be achieved if there is a significant improvement in RH, especially in the underdeveloped and developing countries.

¹ Postdoctoral Researcher, Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute (NIDI), P.O. Box 11650 NL-2502 AR The Hague, The Netherlands, E-mail: pradhan@nidi.nl

² Assistant Professor, Department of Humanities and Social Sciences, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, Odisha-769008, India

³ Post doctoral researcher, African Population and Health Research Center (APHRC) APHRC Campus, Kirawa Road, off Peponi Road, P.O. Box 10787-00100, Nairobi, Kenya, E-mail: esidze@aphrc.org

⁴ Associate professor, Indian Institute of Health Management Research, Jaipur, 302011, India, E-mail: anoop@iihmr.org

⁵ Project leader, UNFPA Resource Flows Project, Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute (NIDI), P.O. Box 11650 NL-2502 AR The Hague, The Netherlands, E-mail: beekink@nidi.nl

¹ There are two RH related MDGs. First, MDG 5A set at reducing Maternal Mortality by three-quarter between 1990 and 2015. Second, MDG 5B aims at achieving universal access to reproductive health by 2015. Indicators used to measure progress in achieving MDG 5B include contraceptive prevalence relates, adolescent fertility rates, antenatal care coverage and unmet need for family planning.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5866303

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5866303

Daneshyari.com