



Increased incidence of diuretic use in critically ill obese patients^{☆,☆☆}



Emma J. de Louw, BSc^a, Pepijn O. Sun, BSc^a, Joon Lee, PhD^{b,c}, Mengling Feng, PhD^{b,d}, Roger G. Mark, MD, PhD^b, Leo Anthony Celi, MD, MS, MPH^{a,b}, Kenneth J. Mukamal, MD, MPH^a, John Danziger, MD, MPhil^{a,*}

^a Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Department of Medicine

^b Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology

^c School of Public Health and Health Systems, University of Waterloo

^d Institute for Infocomm Research, A*STAR, Singapore

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Sodium retention
Fluid balance
Loop diuretics
BMI

ABSTRACT

Objective: Sodium retention occurs commonly in cardiac and liver disease, requiring the administration of diuretics to restore fluid balance. Whether obesity is associated with sodium retention has not been fully evaluated. **Methods:** In a large single-center cohort of critically ill patients, we evaluated whether admission body mass index was associated with the administration of either oral or intravenous diuretics during the intensive care unit (ICU) stay.

Main results: Of 7724 critically ill patients, 3946 (51.1%) were prescribed diuretics during the ICU stay. Overweight, class I obesity, and class II/III obesity were associated with a 1.35 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.20–1.53; $P < .001$), 1.56 (95% CI, 1.35–1.80; $P < .001$), and 1.91 (95% CI, 1.61–2.26; $P < .001$) adjusted risk of receiving diuretics within the ICU, respectively. In adjusted analysis, a 5-kg/m² increment of body mass index was associated with a 1.19 (95% CI, 1.14–1.23; $P < .001$) increased adjusted risk of within-ICU diuretics. Among those patients receiving loop diuretics, obese patients received significantly larger daily diuretic doses.

Conclusion: Critically ill obese patients are more likely to receive diuretics during their stay in the ICU and to receive higher dosages of diuretics. Our data suggest that obesity is an independent risk factor for sodium retention.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Sodium retention commonly occurs in patients with cardiac, renal, and liver disease, primarily through activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, and is associated with hypertension, pulmonary congestion, and mortality [1–6]. Obesity is associated with physiologic mechanisms that lead to sodium retention, including overactivation of the sympathetic nervous system and the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone axis, leading to renal tubular sodium reabsorption [7–13]. However, whether obesity is clinically associated with sodium retention has not been well described.

Abbreviations: ICU, intensive care unit; BMI, body mass index; BIDMC, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center; MIT, Massachusetts Institute of Technology; SAPS, Simplified Acute Physiology Score; CI, confidence interval.

☆ Conflict of interest: The contributing authors declare that there was no conflict of interest.

☆☆ Funding: Dr Celi's work in the Laboratory for Computational Physiology at MIT is funded by the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering under grant 2R01 EB001659. Dr Danziger is supported by a Norman S. Coplon Extramural Grant from Satellite Healthcare. Dr Feng is supported by an Agency for Science, Technology, and Research (A*STAR) Graduate Scholarship. This work is supported by National Institutes of Health grant R01 EB001659.

* Corresponding author at: Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Department of Medicine, 185 Pilgrim Rd, Farr 8, 02215, Boston, MA.

E-mail address: jdanzige@bidmc.harvard.edu (J. Danziger).

Diuretics are the mainstay therapy in patient populations to prevent the complications of sodium retention. Diuretics, by blocking renal sodium reabsorption, can restore normal body fluid volume but have also been associated with kidney injury [14]. Given the widespread use of intravenous fluids during critical illness, we hypothesized that obese patients would be at greater risk for sodium retention, thereby requiring diuretic administration within the intensive care unit (ICU). Because of unquantifiable fluid gains and losses during critical illness, including respiration, gastrointestinal loss, and oral intake, we chose to use the administration of diuretics as the primary end point and secondarily analyzed peak fluid balance and total ICU fluid balance as additional outcomes. Using a large single-center conception cohort of critically ill patients, we evaluated whether admission body mass index (BMI) was associated with the administration of diuretics during the ICU stay, controlling for demographics, measures of severity of illness, and medical comorbidities.

2. Methods

2.1. Study population

We used the publicly available Multiparameter Intelligent Monitoring in Intensive Care II research database managed by the Laboratory for Computational Physiology at Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) [15].

BIDMC is a 700-bed urban academic medical center located in Boston, MA, USA, with 77 adult ICU beds. The database contains information obtained from clinical documentation such as laboratory results, electronic records, and bedside monitor trends and waveforms for all individuals admitted to a BIDMC ICU between 2001 and 2008. The Institutional Review Boards of BIDMC and MIT have approved the use of the Multiparameter Intelligent Monitoring in Intensive Care II database for research.

During the period between 2001 and 2008, 23 455 patients were admitted to the ICU at BIDMC. Of these, 8491 had a recorded BMI. We excluded 767 individuals who lacked information on baseline demographics, comorbidities, severity of illness, and fluid balance, leaving a final sample size of 7724 ICU patients.

2.2. Outcome

The primary outcome was the administration of either oral or intravenous diuretics at any time after ICU admission, as indicated by the electronic provider order entry system. As a secondary outcome, we evaluated other markers of fluid retention, including fluid balance at time of ICU discharge and highest positive fluid balance volume that occurred at any point during the ICU stay. Information on fluid balance was recorded by nursing staff into the bedside electronic flow sheet.

2.3. Exposure

The primary exposure was BMI as recorded on admission, categorized according to the World Health Organization classification [16]—less than 18.5 (underweight), 18.5 to 24.9 (normal), 25.0 to 29.9 (overweight), 30.0 to 34.9 (obesity class I), and greater than 35 (obesity classes II and III were combined into 1 group)—and as a continuous variable per 5 units of BMI (in kilograms per square meter). This variable is automatically calculated by the bedside electronic record using weight and height that were recorded on the day of admission.

Because weight at the time of critical illness might not reflect usual body weight, we performed a validation study among 150 randomly selected subjects within the data set to determine body weight measurements obtained during noncritical illness. Of the 150 individuals, 86 had documented weights during noncritical illness in the electronic medical record. The Spearman correlation coefficient between ICU and non-ICU body weight measurements was 0.93 ($P < .001$), with a median difference of -0.03 kg (interquartile range, -2.8 to 2.9).

2.4. Covariates

Demographic information included age, sex, and race, coded as White, African American, Asian, Hispanic, other, or unknown. Medical comorbidities were determined by Elixhauser discharge coding except for “obesity” [17]. Intensive care unit types included cardiac, surgical, cardiothoracic, and medical units. Predictors of illness severity included admission Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS II) [18].

2.5. Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics are presented stratified by BMI category, with group differences assessed by analyses of variances. Exposure and outcome measures (BMI, daily urine output, discharge fluid balance, and peak fluid balance) were winsorized at the 0.5 and 99.5 percentiles to limit the effect of outliers.

We used logistic regression to assess the relationship between BMI category and ICU diuretics use, using the normal BMI category as reference. We also examined BMI as a continuous variable, per 5-kg/m^2 increment. We adjusted for age, sex, and race (model 1) and added Elixhauser comorbidities, ICU type, and SAPS score as covariates in model 2. Race and ICU type were included as multicategory variables. Age and SAPS score were included as continuous variables. In secondary

analyses, we examined whether BMI was associated with discharge fluid balance and peak fluid balance.

To determine whether preadmission diuretic use affected the association between obesity and ICU diuretic administration, we performed a sensitivity analysis of those patients with an identifiable prehospitalization medication record ($n = 6055$). Using natural language processing to identify admission medication sections of discharge summaries [19], we examined whether the association between BMI and within ICU diuretic use was independent of preadmission diuretic use.

In addition, among patients who received loop diuretics ($n = 3874$), we examined whether BMI was associated with cumulative loop diuretic dosage throughout the ICU stay [20]. Loop diuretic dosage was winsorized at the 0.5 and 99.5 percentiles to limit the effect of outliers.

In addition, to determine whether BMI was associated with urinary sodium avidity, we performed a sensitivity analysis of those patients ($n = 402$) with measured admission urine electrolytes. We examined whether BMI was associated with a fractional excretion of sodium (FENA) less than 1%, in keeping with current definitions of renal sodium avidity, in unadjusted and adjusted (using all covariates from model 2) analyses.

Finally, because more aggressive fluid resuscitation occurs with treatment of sepsis, we examined whether the association between BMI and diuretic use was modified by an admission diagnosis of sepsis by entering an interaction term between sepsis and BMI into our adjusted model.

All analyses were performed using JMP Pro (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

3. Results

Of the 7724 critically ill patients, 188 (2.4%) were underweight, 2328 (30.1%) were normal weight, 2737 (35.4%) were overweight, 1479 (19.1%) had class I obesity, and 992 (12.8%) had at least class II obesity. Obesity was associated with a higher prevalence of hypertension and diabetes than those with normal BMIs but without differences in admission blood pressures (Table 1). As seen in Table 1, patients in the highest obesity category tended to be younger and have lower SAPS scores; but their length of stay was similar.

During the course of critical illness, 3946 (51.1%) patients were prescribed diuretics. In unadjusted and adjusted analyses, obesity was associated with an increased risk of ICU diuretic use (Table 2). Each 5-kg/m^2 increment in admission BMI was associated with a 19% increased adjusted odds of ICU diuretic use (hazard ratio, 1.19; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.14–1.23; $P < .001$).

There was no significant association between BMI and discharge fluid balance, peak fluid balance, and daily urine output. Of 6055 patients with a recorded admission medication section, 2317 (38.3%) were prescribed a diuretic before hospitalization. Inclusion of preorbital diuretic use as a covariate did not affect the association of BMI with ICU diuretic use.

Of 3874 patients who received loop diuretics, BMI was associated with increased daily diuretic dosage (Figure). Overweight, class I obesity, and class II/III obesity were associated with a 4.18-mg (95% CI, 1.83–6.52; $P < .001$), 6.01-mg (95% CI, 3.28–8.74; $P < .001$), and 11.47-mg (95% CI, 8.38–14.57; $P < .001$) higher daily loop diuretic dose than those with a normal BMI. A 5-kg/m^2 increase in BMI was associated with a 2.74-mg higher daily diuretic dose (95% CI, 2.00–3.47; $P < .001$).

In those patients with a measured FENA on admission to the ICU, a 5-kg/m^2 increase in BMI was associated with a 1.19 (1.05–1.33; $P = .007$) unadjusted and 1.21 (1.04–1.40; $P = .009$) adjusted risk of FENA less than 1%, suggestive of marked sodium avidity. The indication for why urine electrolytes were measured was not known. Finally, the association between obesity and diuretic use was not modified by an admission for sepsis (multiplicative interaction P value = .86).

4. Discussion

In this large single-center study on critically ill patients, obese patients were more likely to be administered diuretics and to receive larger doses

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5885614>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5885614>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)