



Technical Note

Male mice housed in groups engage in frequent fighting and show a lower response to additional bone loading than females or individually housed males that do not fight



Lee B. Meakin ^{a,*}, Toshihiro Sugiyama ^{a,1}, Gabriel L. Galea ^a, William J. Browne ^{a,b},
Lance E. Lanyon ^a, Joanna S. Price ^a

^a School of Veterinary Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS40 5DU, UK

^b Centre for Multilevel Modelling, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 August 2012

Revised 21 December 2012

Accepted 16 January 2013

Available online 26 January 2013

Edited by: David Burr

Keywords:

Bone adaptation

Artificial loading

Mechanical strain

Physical activity

Housing

ABSTRACT

Experiments to investigate bone's physiological adaptation to mechanical loading frequently employ models that apply dynamic loads to bones *in vivo* and assess the changes in mass and architecture that result. It is axiomatic that bones will only show an adaptive response if the applied artificial loading environment differs in a significant way from that to which the bones have been habituated by normal functional loading. It is generally assumed that this normal loading is similar between experimental groups. In the study reported here we found that this was not always the case. Male and female 17-week-old C57BL/6 mice were housed in groups of six, and a single episode (40 cycles) of non-invasive axial loading, engendering 2,200 $\mu\epsilon$ on the medial surface of the proximal tibiae in sample mice, was applied to right tibiae on alternate days for two weeks. This engendered an adaptive increase in bone mass in females, but not males. Observation revealed the main difference in behaviour between males and females was that males were involved in fights 1.3 times per hour, whereas the females never fought. We therefore housed all mice individually. In females, there was a similar significant osteogenic response to loading in cortical and trabecular bone of both grouped and individual mice. In contrast, in males, adaptive increases in the loaded compared with non-loaded control bones was only apparent in animals housed individually. Our interpretation of these findings is that the frequent vigorous fighting that occurs between young adult males housed in groups could be sufficient to engender peak strains and strain rates that equal or exceed the stimulus derived from artificial loading. This indicates the importance of ensuring that physical activity is consistent between groups. Reducing the background level of the naturally engendered strain environment allows adaptive responses to artificial loading to be demonstrated at lower loads.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Bone architecture adapts to changes in mechanical strain engendered by its local functional loading environment [1]. This adaptation ensures that bones are sufficiently strong to withstand the mechanical loads they encounter without fracture or unsustainable levels of microdamage. To investigate the mechanisms underlying this adaptation, mouse models have been developed in which dynamic mechanical loads are applied *in vivo* to one limb, and adaptive changes to bone architecture measured and compared to the situation in contralateral non-loaded limbs [2–8]. Clearly, these artificially applied loads will only stimulate an adaptive response if the strains they engender differ significantly from those experienced during normal, day-to-day physical activity [8,9].

C57BL/6 mice are widely used for experimental studies since the majority of genetically modified mice are bred on this background [10]. In an initial pilot study the response to loading in male mice appeared inconsistent and markedly lower than that in females. Since this was unexpected [7,11] we investigated the behaviour of these mice. Differences in behaviour between group-housed males and females led us to perform the study we report here in which the response to unilateral tibial loading in animals housed individually was compared to that in animals housed in groups.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Sixteen-week-old male and female C57BL/6 mice were obtained from Charles River Inc. (Margate, UK) and, although prior to delivery they were housed in groups, fighting was reported to occur infrequently between males and not at all in females (personal communication).

* Corresponding author at: School of Veterinary Sciences, University of Bristol, Langford, Bristol BS40 5DU, UK. Fax: +44 117 928 9505.

E-mail address: Lee.Meakin@bristol.ac.uk (L.B. Meakin).

¹ Present address: Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Yamaguchi University School of Medicine, Yamaguchi, Japan.

Within 24 h of arrival, five male and five female mice were sacrificed for *ex vivo* strain measurements (see later). Of the remaining animals, six males and six females were housed in individual cages and six of each sex were kept as a single group for five days before the study commenced. All mice were allowed free access to water and a maintenance diet containing 0.75% calcium (EURodent Diet 22%; PMI Nutrition International, LLC, Brentwood, MO, USA) in a 12-hour light/dark cycle, with room temperature at 21 ± 2 °C. All cages contained wood shavings, bedding and a cardboard tube for environmental enrichment. For one hour directly preceding each episode of *in vivo* loading, grouped mice were observed and any aggressive behaviour or fighting was recorded. The hour during which mice were observed was always at the same time of day in the morning, one hour after the start of the light period, by the same observer (LBM). All procedures complied with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and were reviewed and approved by the University of Bristol ethics committee (Bristol, UK).

Ex vivo Strain Measurements

To apply similar magnitudes of peak strain in male and female mice, we first established the strain:load relationship *ex vivo* in the sub-sample of five male and five female mice. In each mouse, a single element strain gage (EA-06-015DJ-120, Vishay Measurement Group, NC) was bonded longitudinally to the medial aspect of the tibia at 37% of its length from the proximal end. This is the site where we have previously observed the greatest osteogenic response to axial loading [12]. Strains were measured across a range of peak loads between 5 and 17 N, applied using the same electromagnetic loading machine used for *in vivo* loading (ElectroForce 3100; Bose Co., Eden Prairie, MN, USA). Linear regression analysis allowed calculation of the loads required to apply $2200 \mu\epsilon$ at the start of the study.

In vivo External Mechanical Loading

Right tibiae were subjected to external mechanical loading under isoflurane-induced anesthesia on alternate days for two weeks. Left limbs were used as internal controls as previously validated [12,13]. The protocol for non-invasively loading the mouse tibia has been reported previously [5,8,12]. In brief, the flexed knee and ankle joints are positioned in concave cups; the upper cup, containing the knee, is attached to an actuator arm and the lower cup to a dynamic load cell. The tibia is held in place by a 0.5 N continuous static pre-load. In this study, 40 cycles of dynamic load were superimposed with 10 s rest intervals between each cycle. The protocol for one cycle consisted of loading to the target peak load, hold for 0.05 s at the peak load, and unloading back to the 0.5 N pre-load. From the strain gage data (see “*ex vivo* strain measurements”), peak loads of 13.3 N for males and 13.0 N for females were required to engender $2200 \mu\epsilon$ on the medial surface of the tibia. Strain rate at this site was normalized to a maximum of $30,000 \mu\epsilon s^{-1}$ by applying the load at rates of 460 N/s in males and 450 N/s in females.

High-resolution μ CT Analysis

Following sacrifice, lower legs were stored in 70% ethanol and whole tibiae imaged using the SkyScan 1172 (SkyScan, Kontich, Belgium) with a voxel size of $4.8 \mu m^3$ ($110 \mu m^3$). The scanning, reconstruction and method of analysis has been previously reported [8,14]. We evaluated the effect of housing and sex on both tibiae and changes [(right–left)/left] due to loading in bone volume fraction (BV/TV), trabecular thickness (Tb.Th), trabecular separation (Tb.Sp) and trabecular number (Tb.N) in the trabecular region (0.25–0.75 mm distal to the proximal physis) and cortical bone area (Ct.Ar), total cross-sectional area inside the periosteal envelope (Tt.Ar), medullary area (Ma.Ar), cortical area fraction (Ct.Ar/Tt.Ar), cortical thickness (Ct.Th) and polar moment of inertia (J), a parameter of structural bone strength, at the cortical site (37% from the proximal end), according to ASBMR guidelines [15].

Serum Analyses

Three days after the final anesthesia/loading session, animals were euthanized by asphyxiation with carbon dioxide prior to cardiac puncture to minimize changes in corticosterone. Serum was separated by centrifugation and stored at -80 °C until the time of analysis. Serum testosterone was measured using a competitive binding assay kit (R&D systems, MN) following manufacturers' instructions. Serum corticosterone was assayed using a competitive radioimmunoassay (Cort RIA, Izoto, Hungary) as previously described [16].

Statistical analysis

The effect of housing, sex and their interaction on each bone parameter was assessed using a two-way ANOVA with interaction. When interactions were found to be significant, post-hoc t-tests were used for pair-wise comparisons to further examine the effect of housing within each sex. The effect of loading was assessed using paired samples t-tests. Differences in fighting and serum hormones were assessed

Table 1

Bodyweight, tibial length, trabecular and cortical bone parameters measured using high-resolution μ CT and serum analyses.

Sex	Male		Female		
	Housing	Group	Individual	Group	Individual
Bodyweight (g)		30.1 ± 0.7	28.9 ± 0.7	22.0 ± 0.7	21.8 ± 0.4
Fighting (no./h)		1.3 ± 0.5	N/A	0 ± 0	N/A
Tibial length (mm)		18.3 ± 0.1	18.1 ± 0.2	17.7 ± 0.1	17.7 ± 0.1
Trabecular bone BV/TV (%)					
Left control		14.6 ± 0.5	11.4 ± 0.3^c	6.8 ± 0.2	6.9 ± 0.9
Right loaded		14.7 ± 0.6	14.6 ± 0.5^f	10.2 ± 0.5^e	9.8 ± 1.1^e
Tb.Th (mm)					
Left control		0.045 ± 0.002	0.038 ± 0.001^b	0.047 ± 0.002	0.044 ± 0.003
Right loaded		0.047 ± 0.001^d	0.046 ± 0.002^f	0.058 ± 0.002^e	0.056 ± 0.001^d
Tb.Sp (mm)					
Left control		0.172 ± 0.001	0.170 ± 0.002	0.256 ± 0.009	0.241 ± 0.017
Right loaded		0.169 ± 0.002	0.166 ± 0.002	0.242 ± 0.008^d	0.240 ± 0.012
Tb.N (mm^{-1})					
Left control		3.25 ± 0.06	3.01 ± 0.06^a	1.44 ± 0.06	1.60 ± 0.29
Right loaded		3.11 ± 0.10	3.18 ± 0.02^d	1.77 ± 0.12^d	1.74 ± 0.22
Cortical bone Ct.Ar (mm^2)					
Left control		0.85 ± 0.01	0.76 ± 0.02^b	0.68 ± 0.02	0.66 ± 0.02
Right loaded		0.89 ± 0.01^d	0.83 ± 0.02^f	0.79 ± 0.02^f	0.74 ± 0.002^d
Tt.Ar (mm^2)					
Left control		1.52 ± 0.03	1.35 ± 0.04^a	1.17 ± 0.04	1.15 ± 0.03
Right loaded		1.55 ± 0.04	1.43 ± 0.03^e	1.26 ± 0.03^e	1.22 ± 0.02^d
Ma.Ar (mm^2)					
Left control		0.67 ± 0.03	0.59 ± 0.03	0.49 ± 0.02	0.50 ± 0.01
Right loaded		0.65 ± 0.03	0.60 ± 0.02	0.47 ± 0.01	0.48 ± 0.02
Ct.Ar/Tt.Ar (%)					
Left control		55.8 ± 0.8	56.3 ± 0.7	58.1 ± 0.4	57.0 ± 0.9
Right loaded		57.8 ± 1.0	58.0 ± 1.0^e	62.6 ± 0.6^e	60.9 ± 0.8^d
Ct.Th (mm)					
Left control		0.147 ± 0.002	0.142 ± 0.002	0.143 ± 0.003	0.140 ± 0.004
Right loaded		0.160 ± 0.003^c	0.153 ± 0.002^e	0.160 ± 0.004^f	0.159 ± 0.003^d
J (mm^4)					
Left control		0.439 ± 0.015	0.365 ± 0.015^b	0.267 ± 0.017	0.254 ± 0.011
Right loaded		0.452 ± 0.016	0.398 ± 0.018^c	0.321 ± 0.015^e	0.296 ± 0.007^d
Serum					
Testosterone (ng/ml)		4.29 ± 6.28	3.81 ± 3.31	–	–
Corticosterone (ng/ml)		89.1 ± 15.6	187.2 ± 37.4^a	298.5 ± 74.0	265.9 ± 42.5

Data represented as mean \pm SEM (male and grouped female n = 6; individual female n = 4). BV/TV = bone volume fraction; Tb.Th = trabecular thickness; Tb.Sp = trabecular separation; Tb.N = trabecular number; Ct.Ar = cortical bone area; Tt.Ar = total cross-sectional area inside the periosteal envelope; Ma.Ar = medullary area; Ct.Ar/Tt.Ar = cortical area fraction; Ct.Th = cortical thickness; J = polar moment of inertia. ^ap < 0.05, ^bp < 0.01, ^cp < 0.001 individual compared to group housed mice of the same sex; ^dp < 0.05, ^ep < 0.01, ^fp < 0.001 comparing left control with right loaded limbs.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5891099>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5891099>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)