# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

Zoology xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/zool

# Center of mass motion in swimming fish: effects of speed and locomotor mode during undulatory propulsion

# 4 Q1 Grace Xiong, George V. Lauder\*

5 Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, 26 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

### 79 ARTICLE INFO

9 Article history:

10 Received 18 December 2013

Received in revised form 27 February 2014

- Accepted 3 March 2014
- 13 Available online xxx

14 \_\_\_\_\_ 15 Keywords:

16 Fish locomotion

17 Swimming kinematics

18 Center of mass motion

## ABSTRACT

Studies of center of mass (COM) motion are fundamental to understanding the dynamics of animal movement, and have been carried out extensively for terrestrial and aerial locomotion. But despite a large amount of literature describing different body movement patterns in fishes, analyses of how the center of mass moves during undulatory propulsion are not available. These data would be valuable for understanding the dynamics of different body movement patterns and the effect of differing body shapes on locomotor force production. In the present study, we analyzed the magnitude and frequency components of COM motion in three dimensions (x: surge, y: sway, z: heave) in three fish species (eel, bluegill sunfish, and clown knifefish) swimming with four locomotor modes at three speeds using high-speed video, and used an image cross-correlation technique to estimate COM motion, thus enabling untethered and unrestrained locomotion. Anguilliform swimming by eels shows reduced COM surge oscillation magnitude relative to carangiform swimming, but not compared to knifefish using a gymnotiform locomotor style. Labriform swimming (bluegill at 0.5 body lengths/s) displays reduced COM sway oscillation relative to swimming in a carangiform style at higher speeds. Oscillation frequency of the COM in the surge direction occurs at twice the tail beat frequency for carangiform and anguilliform swimming, but at the same frequency as the tail beat for gymnotiform locomotion in clown knifefish. Scaling analysis of COM heave oscillation for terrestrial locomotion suggests that COM heave motion scales with positive allometry, and that fish have relatively low COM oscillations for their body size.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier GmbH.

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

### 20 1. Introduction

As a representation of the total forces exerted on an animal, cen-21 ter of mass (COM) movement provides important information on 22 locomotor dynamics and can be used to calculate energy expendi-23 ture, efficiency, and the effect of ground reaction forces on limbs 24 (Biewener, 1989; Ortega and Farley, 2005). In horses, humans, and 25 other terrestrial animals, COM movement is used to compare work 26 done over different gaits (Blickhan and Full, 1987; Pfau et al., 2006; 27 Kuo, 2007; Biknevicius et al., 2013). It is also used to describe the 28 29 effect of limbs on whole body movements, especially where the limbs comprise a significant portion of the body's mass (Abourachid 30 et al., 2011; Walter and Carrier, 2011). COM oscillation patterns are 31 even used as a "locomotor signature" to identify pathological gaits 32 or evolutionary trends (e.g., Clemente et al., 2008; Minetti et al., 33 2011). 34

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 617 496 7199. E-mail address: glauder@oeb.harvard.edu (G.V. Lauder).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.zool.2014.03.002 0944-2006/© 2014 Published by Elsevier GmbH.

Despite the breadth of research that has been done on terrestrial and aerial COM movement during animal locomotion and its importance in understanding animal dynamics, almost nothing is known about COM movement in fishes during undulatory locomotion. This is especially surprising given the extensive descriptive literature defining and comparing body kinematic patterns in fishes, with the accompanying proliferation of terminology to describe body motions. Anguilliform (eel-like), carangiform (jacklike), labriform (wrasse-like), and gymnotiform (knifefish-like) are just a few of the terms used to describe fish swimming patterns (Webb, 1975; Sfakiotakis et al., 1999; Shadwick and Lauder, 2006). These terms are meant to reflect broad kinematic differences among fish species and generally how thrust is generated during locomotion by the body and fins. But how differing body movement patterns affect COM motion remains unknown, and the effects of changing swimming speed on COM oscillation amplitude in fishes with different body shapes are likewise not yet understood. Due to differences in locomotor media and in the use of limbs on land compared to thrust generation using body deformation in the water, amplitudes and frequencies of COM oscillation could differ substantially between aquatic and terrestrial animals.

Please cite this article in press as: Xiong, G., Lauder, G.V., Center of mass motion in swimming fish: effects of speed and locomotor mode during undulatory propulsion. Zoology (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.zool.2014.03.002

# **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

#### G. Xiong, G.V. Lauder / Zoology xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

The limited data from steadily rectilinearly swimming fishes in which COM oscillations have been experimentally measured includes quantification of COM motion data during pectoral fin locomotion (Walker and Westneat, 1997; Walker, 2004; Lauder and Madden, 2008) and an analysis by Tytell (2007) who estimated COM velocity in two swimming fish species by digitizing the position of the tip of the snout. Other than these investigations, we are not aware of any measurements of COM motion in steadily swimming fishes.

The overall goal of the present paper is to analyze in three 65 dimensions the COM motion of several fish species (eel, bluegill 66 sunfish, and clown knifefish) swimming with four locomotor 67 modes at three speeds. We used high-speed video and an image 68 cross-correlation technique to enable untethered and unrestrained 69 locomotion, so that COM motion is not influenced by attachment 70 of transducers or any drag forces that might result from transducer 71 wires. Specifically, we quantified COM velocities in three dimen-72 sions (x: surge; y: sway, and z: heave) and then calculated COM 73 displacement and acceleration from the velocity data. We tested 74 for differences among species swimming with different locomo-75 tor modes, and also wanted to see how changes in swimming 76 77 speed alter COM motion during undulatory swimming. Hypotheses examined include the following: (i) anguilliform locomotion 78 will show reduced surge COM oscillations compared to carangi-79 form swimming; (ii) labriform locomotion will show reduced sway 80 COM oscillations but increased surge oscillations compared to other 81 undulatory modes; (iii) the gymnotiform combination of simulta-82 neous body and fin motion will permit reduced COM oscillations in 83 both the surge and sway directions; and (iv) surge COM oscillations 84 will occur at either two or four times the tail beat frequency based 85 on the data presented in Tytell (2007).

# 7 2. Materials and methods

### 88 2.1. Study animals

Four clown knifefish (Notopterus chitala, Hamilton, 1822) mea-89 suring  $19.1 \pm 0.5$  cm (mean  $\pm$  standard error) in total length with 90 masses of  $44.9 \pm 1.5$  g and body surface area of  $69.8 \pm 1.6$  cm<sup>2</sup> were 91 acquired from a local pet store and kept in 401 tanks at 25 °C. Three 92 bluegill sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus, Rafinesque, 1819) measuring  $20.4 \pm 0.3$  cm with masses of  $149.9 \pm 10.9$  g and body surface area of  $104.3 \pm 9.8$  cm<sup>2</sup> were collected locally under our scientific collec-95 tion permit (Massachusetts, USA) and kept in individual 401 tanks at 18 °C. Three American eels (Anguilla rostrata, Lesueur, 1817) mea-97 suring  $31.0 \pm 1.8$  cm with masses of  $35.6 \pm 5.7$  g and surface area of 98  $48.7 \pm 5.1$  cm<sup>2</sup> were also collected locally and kept in individual 301 99 tanks at 18°C. 100

These three species were chosen a priori to reflect different loco-101 102 motor styles and to represent a diversity of modes of swimming in fishes. Bluegill sunfish have a deep and narrow-width body, and 103 use a labriform locomotor mode where thrust forces are generated 104 exclusively by the pectoral fins at swimming speeds of less than 105 about 1.0 body lengths (BL)/s (Gibb et al., 1994; Drucker and Lauder, 106 1999). At higher speeds bluegill use a carangiform or "subcarangi-107 form" swimming mode in which thrust is generated primarily by 108 body oscillations. This species is thus a good experimental subject 109 for this study as it undergoes a prominent gait transition between 110 the lowest and the two highest swimming speeds tested here. Eels 111 exemplify the anguilliform swimming mode with smaller wave-112 length body waves that increase in amplitude down the body. Only 113 at speeds higher than those tested here do body waves begin to 114 generate larger lateral oscillations of the anterior half of the fish. 115 116 The narrow and elongate eel shape contrasts with the deep body 117 of bluegill sunfish. Knifefish display the gymnotiform locomotor mode in which body undulations combined with undulation of the elongated ventral anal fin are used to power swimming. The elongated anal fin is actuated by fin muscles that are independent of the myotomal body muscles that produce body waves during locomotion, and anal fin and body waveforms are thus somewhat decoupled from each other in swimming clown knifefish. 118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

#### 2.2. Anatomical COM measurement

The anatomical COM of bluegill sunfish (*L. macrochirus*) has been measured in previous studies (Drucker and Lauder, 1999, 2001; Tytell and Lauder, 2008). For *A. rostrata* and *N. chitala*, two specimens each from the Museum of Comparative Zoology (Cambridge, MA, USA) of similar body size to the live specimens studied here were used to calculate COM position. The fish were stiff and straight from preservation and were hung from three points on the body with strings and allowed to come to a rest before being photographed. The photographs were overlaid and the intersection of the strings was taken as the COM.

The anatomical COM position of *L. macrochirus* lies at 40% of total body length (including the caudal fin) from the tip of the nose and 50% of the body width, excluding the dorsal fin (Drucker and Lauder, 2001; Tytell and Lauder, 2008). The mean COM position of *A. rostrata* lies at 46% of the body length from the tip of the nose. Mean COM position of *N. chitala* was at 25% of the body length from the tip of the fish.

Since the center of mass marks the midpoint of body mass in three-dimensional (3D) space, the COM moves over the course of a tail beat cycle as the body bends: if the fish body is strongly bent, the COM can be positioned outside the body. For the locomotor modes studied here, the extent of unidirectional body bending is small, the portions of the body that are bending contain little mass relative to the anterior region of the body and head, and wave-like body bending results in only a small change in lateral mass distribution since wave-like motion deflects body mass to both sides of the COM. A previous study (Tytell and Lauder, 2008) tracking the true COM position during a C-start escape response showed only very small variation in COM position even when the tail was bent at almost 90° to the body, due to the low mass of the tail relative to the head region. We estimated that wave-like bending of the body from side to side during steady undulatory swimming moves the COM in the sway (y, or side-to-side) direction approximately 5% of the COM excursion amplitude measured in this paper, and has effectively no influence on the COM position in the surge (x) or heave (z) dimensions. However, as described in more detail below, we quantified the movement of a box-like region surrounding the anatomical COM and this box always contained the actual COM regardless of body posture during swimming.

#### 2.3. Image cross-correlation to measure COM oscillation

We anticipated that COM oscillations during undulatory locomotion would be small and our goal was to interfere with unrestrained fish swimming as little as possible. Therefore, we chose to use image cross-correlation in order to quantify COM motion without attaching transducers with wires to swimming fish and hence possibly altering body motion patterns. One approach would have been to simply digitize the position of a single point near the COM on swimming fishes, but this method is subject to a large error since the COM is not marked, and is time consuming for the number of sequences needed for robust statistical analysis. Instead we used image cross-correlation derived from the technique of particle image velocimetry (PIV) as used in previous research on swimming hydrodynamics (Drucker and Lauder, 1999, 2001; Tytell, 2007; Tytell and Lauder, 2008). We used high-speed video with careful lighting of the swimming fishes to

2

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5896395

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5896395

Daneshyari.com