Zoology 119 (2016) 4–10

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Zoology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/zool

The seminal receptacle and implications for reproductive processes in the invasive gastropod *Crepidula fornicata*

Peter G. Beninger[a,*], Alexandra Valdizan[a], Gaël Le Pennec[b]

[a] Laboratoire de Biologie Marine, Faculté des Sciences, Université de Nantes, 2, rue de la Houssinière, 44322 Nantes, France
[b] Laboratoire de Biotechnologie et de Chimie Marines, Université de Bretagne-Sud, rue saint Maudé, 56321 Lorient, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 8 April 2015
Received in revised form 2 September 2015
Accepted 10 September 2015
Available online 15 September 2015

Keywords:
Crepidula fornicata
Reproduction
Seminal receptacle
Sperm competition

ABSTRACT

The calyptraeid gastropod *Crepidula fornicata* is the object of considerable research attention, due to its invasive status in the North-Eastern Atlantic, its introduction to habitats throughout the Northern hemisphere, and its scientific interest as a model organism for the study of developmental and reproductive processes in the Metazoa. Since the knowledge concerning the structural foundations for its reproductive processes is surprisingly weak, we investigated the seminal receptacle, a key structure in the reproductive biology of other metazoans, using histology, scanning electron and transmission electron microscopy. The seminal receptacle consists of 9–11 lobes, each subdivided into small, narrow lobules. The inner epithelium of the lobules appears to be highly dynamic, characterised by the perforation and attachment of received spermatozoa, the progressive degeneration of this epithelium, and the concomitant detachment of the spermatozoa. The allocation of spermatozoa to many different lobules, in different phases, may explain the extended reproductive season of *C. fornicata*, and thereby contribute to its colonizing and invasive success. The same compartmentalisation, as well as the complete covering of the inner epithelium of the lobules by spermatozoa and the large amount of spermatozoan debris in the lumina, suggest that the *C. fornicata* seminal receptacle may be a site of sperm competition in this polyandrous species.

1. Introduction

While indigenous to a wide latitudinal range in the western North Atlantic, in the past century the calyptraeid gastropod *Crepidula fornicata* has been introduced to coastal regions from the Black Sea through the Mediterranean, along the European Atlantic as far as Norway and Sweden, to the Irish and British Isles, the North Sea, the Baltic, and even the Pacific coast of North America (World Registry of Marine Species, 2015). It is a major invasive species (*sensu* Davis and Thompson, 2000) along the European Atlantic, with extreme densities in the highly human-impacted intertidal and subtidal zones of France and the Netherlands. Although some positive consequences such as increased epifaunal biodiversity have been suggested (De Montaudouin and Sauriau, 1999; Thieltges et al., 2006), considerable, and mostly negative ecological and economic consequences of these invasions have been reported, such as competition for food and space with commercially important species, fouling of fishing and aquaculture gear, etc. (Blanchard, 1997, 2009; Soulas et al., 2001; Blanchard et al., 2001; Le Pape et al., 2004; Beninger et al., 2007; Decottignies et al., 2007a,b; Martin et al., 2007; Arbach Leloup et al., 2008).

Concomitant to the practical scientific and economic interest in *C. fornicata*, theoretical interest has also developed recently, with the recommendation of this species as a model for the study of deep developmental processes in one of the three major metazoan superclades, the Lophotrophozoa (Henry et al., 2010). It has also been proposed as a particularly appropriate model system for the study of sex change in the Metazoa, and has recently contributed to the understanding of sexual selection, and in particular sperm competition, in the major metazoan phylum Mollusca (Proestou et al., 2008). Since *C. fornicata* is a protandric species in which adults form sessile stacks, with females (usually only one, and at the most two) at the bottom and several males above, it is indeed an interesting model in which to study sperm competition.

Whether the goal is to control *C. fornicata* invasions, or to use this species as a model for the study of sex change, sexual selection, and development, it is clear that a sound understanding of its reproductive biology is essential. Much of the literature concerning this aspect focusses either on gross structural observations such as sex frequencies, penis presence, brooding periods, and egg masses (Conklin, 1898; Coe, 1936, 1938a,b; Collin, 1995; Richard et al., 2006), or on molecular-genetic aspects (Gaffney and McGee,

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: Peter.Beninger@univ-nantes.fr (P.G. Beninger).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.zool.2015.09.001
0944-2006/

1992; Dupont et al., 2006; Proestou et al., 2008). Until recently, virtually no documentation of the gonadal events (gametogenesis, pre- and post-oviposition atresia, structural dynamics) was available, especially over entire seasonal cycles (Beninger et al., 2010a,b). Similarly, in contrast to the spermatozoa of this species (Kohnert and Storch, 1984a,b), no detailed study of the *C. fornicata* seminal receptacle has been performed to date, and very few such studies have been performed in the Caenogastropoda (formerly a prosobranch grouping) as a whole (Giusti and Selmi 1985; Voltzow, 1994).

Where the seminal receptacle has been studied in detail, this structure is known to be much more than its name implies, constituting a central element in the dynamics of reproductive activity and sexual selection (Beninger et al., 1993; Elner and Beninger 1995; Lanteigne et al., 1996; Baur, 1998; Neubaum and Wolfner, 1999; Simmons, 2001). Indirect evidence for its importance in metazoan reproductive biology is provided by the relatively rapid gene evolution associated with these structures (Swanson et al., 2004; Kelleher et al., 2007; Prokupek et al., 2008, 2010). Given that *C. fornicata* is capable of storing sperm in its seminal receptacles for up to 1 year (Hoagland, 1978), it is evident that a detailed knowledge of this structure is crucial to understanding the reproductive biology of this species. We therefore present a morphological and ultrastructural study of the *C. fornicata* seminal receptacle, with a view to elucidating the structural foundations upon which the reproductive processes of this species are based.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Terminology

Due to the complexity of the gastropod reproductive system, and the divergent anatomical terminology found in the literature, the nomenclature of some parts of the system is rather confusing – especially with respect to the sperm reception and storage organs (Beeman, 1977; Tompa, 1984). Compounding the problem is the fact that in many other taxa, the terms 'seminal receptacle' and 'spermatheca' are synonymous, since there is only one sperm storage structure in the female; however, in the Gastropoda, there are two sperm-holding structures in the female. For clarity, we define the seminal receptacle (= *receptaculum seminalis*) as the structure in which spermatozoa are stored, as opposed to the spermatheca (= copulatory bursa, *bursa copulatrix*), in which they are initially received at copulation (Beeman, 1977). Similarly, we use the terms 'lobe' and 'lobule' to designate the subdivisions of the seminal receptacle, rather than 'ampulla', which has been used for several other structures of the gastropod reproductive system. Finally, we use the term 'inner epithelium' rather than 'endothelium', since the latter is mainly used to designate the inner lining of vertebrate (and not invertebrate) blood vessels, and even here it is often considered a type of epithelium (Muñoz-Chápuli et al., 2005).

Table 1
Measurement ranges (length and width) of the component parts of the *Crepidula fornicata* euspermatozoon; $n = 10$ spermatozoa.

Spermatozoan region	Length (μm)	Width (μm)
Acrosome[a]	1.89–1.93	0.12–0.14, 0.38–0.43
Nucleus	16.65–16.81	0.64–0.68
Midpiece	27.88–30.86	0.58–0.62
Flagellum[b]	96.62–101.59	0.41–0.46[1], 0.148–0.153[2]
Spermatozoa	146.59–146.80	

[a] Since the acrosome is conical, two width measurements are given: from the apical bleb (smallest width) to the basal plate (largest width).
[b] Flagellum length = glycogen piece (1) + end piece (2); flagellum width is given for both pieces separately (1 and 2).

2.2. Sampling and processing

Stacks of *C. fornicata* were hand-collected on March 19, 2007 in the intertidal zone of Bourgneuf Bay (French Atlantic coast, 46–47°N, 1–2°W), a slipper limpet-invaded ecosystem located south of the Loire estuary (Valdizan et al., 2009; Beninger et al., 2010a,b), placed in a cooler and transported to the laboratory within 1 h, where the seminal receptacles were immediately dissected out and fixed. The seminal receptacles of 10 mature females (characterised by orange-coloured gonads) were used for light microscopy, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) preparations. Histological fixation and processing was performed as described by Beninger et al. (2010a). Due to the sponge-like nature of the seminal receptacles, it was necessary to dehydrate them in an ascending ethanol/Roti-Histol (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) series and embed them in paraffin before sectioning, to expose the interior for SEM. The 7-μm histological sections were then deparaffinated in Roti-Histol, rinsed three times in 100% ethanol, and bone-dried in hexylmethyldisilazane (Cannuel and Beninger, 2006). The resulting sections were mounted on SEM stubs, sputter-coated with gold–palladium, and observed using a JEOL JSM 6400F (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). For biometric measurements of intact spermatozoa, the seminal receptacle contents of one female were pressed into a watch glass, fixed as above, and several drops were placed on Whatman anodisc membranes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in Petri dishes containing agar at 37 °C for 1 h. The anodisc membranes and adjoined spermatozoa were rinsed with filtered phosphate buffer (pH 7.3) and dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol, with trichlorofluoromethane as the final medium. The anodisc membranes were mounted on stubs, sputter-coated and observed as above.

For thin-section and TEM observations, fresh seminal receptacle lobes were sectioned, cut into approx. 1 mm^3 pieces, and immediately fixed in slightly hyperosmotic 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.2 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.3; 1100 milliosmoles; 4 °C for 2 h). The tissue pieces were then rinsed in 0.2 M cacodylate buffer, post-fixed in cacodylate buffer/1% osmium tetroxide at 4 °C for 1 h, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, then soaked in a 50:50 (V:V) solution of Spurr resin and propylene oxide for 1.5 h, and finally embedded in pure Spurr resin for 12 h. Polymerisation was induced at 60 °C for 48 h. Thin sections were cut on a Reichert-Jung SuperNova ultramicrotome at 1 μm, stained with toluidine blue, and examined under a light microscope, whereas ultrathin sections were cut at 600 nm, collected on uncoated 300 mesh copper/rhodium grids, contrasted with uranyl acetate and lead citrate (Reynolds, 1963), and examined with an HF2000-FEG transmission electron microscope (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

3. Results

3.1. Structure and ultrastructure of the seminal receptacle

The seminal receptacle of *C. fornicata* is located to the right of the transverse branch of the gonad, and is composed of 9–11 olive-shaped lobes, each containing a number of lobules 150–200 μm in width (Fig. 1A and B). A single lobe wall encloses all of the lobules (Fig. 1B and C). Each lobule is comprised of a stratified outer and a cuboidal inner epithelium, separated by a common, well-developed, fibrous basal lamina (Fig. 2A–C).

Spermatozoa from previous copulation(s) lined the inner side of the inner epithelium of the lobule, oriented with the acrosomes toward the epithelium (Figs. 1B, C, 2A and B). Isolated spermatozoa showed the typical antero-posterior arrangement of acrosome, nucleus, mid-piece, and the somewhat thinner flagellum (Fig. 1F). The mean dimensions showed a very homogeneous