

International Diabetes Federation

Variation in the relationship between gestational diabetes diagnosis and total gestational weight gain by race/ethnicity



Rosette J. Chakkalakal^{a,b,*}, Tebeb Gebretsadik^{b,c}, Shubhada Jagasia^a, Ayumi Shintani^{b,c}, Tom A. Elasy^{a,b}

^a Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, United States

^b Vanderbilt Center for Diabetes Translational Research, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, United States

^c Department of Biostatistics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 September 2014 Received in revised form 1 November 2014 Accepted 2 January 2015 Available online 21 January 2015

Keywords: Gestational diabetes Weight gain

Minority health

ABSTRACT

Prior research suggests that women diagnosed and treated for gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) gain less total gestational weight than normoglycemic women. Our study finds that race/ethnicity modifies this association. Relative to normoglycemic women, non-Hispanic white women with GDM gain less weight but non-Hispanic black and Hispanic women gain more weight.

© 2015 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Compared to women without gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), women with GDM have higher pre-pregnancy body mass indices [1] and gain more weight during their first trimester [2,3]. Yet institutional chart reviews of women treated for GDM have found that these women experience less weight gain following GDM diagnosis [3,4] and less total gestational weight gain (GWG) [3–5] than their normoglycemic counterparts. Thus, diagnosis and treatment of GDM may have a beneficial "side effect" of controlling GWG [3,6]. Larger studies are needed to better understand the association

between GDM diagnosis and GWG, particularly among African-American and Hispanic women who are at higher risk than non-Hispanic white women for developing GDM and type 2 diabetes after GDM [7,8]. The purpose of this project was to (1) describe the association between GDM diagnosis and total GWG in a statewide database and (2) determine if the association is modified by race/ethnicity.

2. Materials and methods

We conducted a retrospective cohort study of non-Hispanic white (NHW), non-Hispanic black (NHB) and Hispanic adult

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2015.01.020

^{*} Corresponding author at: Vanderbilt University, Department of Medicine, 1215 21st Ave South, Suite 6000 MCE, North Tower, Nashville, TN 37232-8300, United States. Tel.: +1 615 936 1010; fax: +1 615 936 1269.

E-mail address: rosette.j.chakkalakal@vanderbilt.edu (R.J. Chakkalakal).

^{0168-8227/ © 2015} Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.



Fig. 1 – Adjusted GWG in women with and without GDM by race/ethnicity. Legend: GWG = gestational weight gain, GDM = gestational diabetes mellitus, grey circles = women without GDM, black squares = women with GDM. Model 1 calculates GWG by adjusting for maternal race/ethnicity, pre-pregnancy BMI, maternal age, highest maternal education level achieved, payment source for the delivery, parity and tobacco use. Model 2 calculates GWG according to maternal race/ ethnicity by adjusting for all of the covariates in Model 1 as well as the cross-product of maternal race/ethnicity and GDM status.

women (age 18 and above) delivering an infant between 2005 and 2011 in Tennessee using maternal data recorded in state birth certificate files [9,10]. Women who self-identified as Hispanic were categorized as Hispanic regardless of their racial identification. We excluded women with missing prepregnancy weight or delivery weight data as well as women with pre-gestational diabetes. The dependent variable of interest was GWG (calculated as delivery weight minus prepregnancy weight). The independent variable of interest was GDM status. Additional covariates included a priori in the analyses were race/ethnicity, pre-pregnancy BMI, age, highest education level achieved, payment source for the delivery, parity and tobacco use. Age and pre-pregnancy BMI were included as flexible smooth variables to account for nonlinear associations.

First, we used a multiple linear regression model to describe the association between GDM and GWG adjusting for all of the covariates (model 1). Next, we included the crossproduct term of race/ethnicity and GDM status to determine if race/ethnicity modified the association (model 2). Finally, we calculated adjusted GWG for women with and without GDM in the full sample and stratified by race/ethnicity using parameter estimates obtained from each model. All analyses were conducted using R-software v. 3.1.0 (R statistical software, Institute for Statistics and Mathematics, Vienna, Austria) [11].

3. Results

We identified 531,638 women who met the study criteria. Approximately 5% of the study sample was diagnosed with GDM. Seventy-two percent of women identified themselves as NHW, 20% as NHB, and 8% as Hispanic.

Women with GDM had less mean GWG than women without GDM ($13.1 \pm 9.0 \text{ kg}$ versus $14.5 \pm 8.0 \text{ kg}$). After adjusting for covariates women with GDM were found to gain 0.17 kg less than women without GDM (95%CI -0.27, -0.08) in model 1. However, we observed a statistically significant differential association of GDM status with GWG by race/ethnicity (p < 0.001 for interaction). In model 2, NHW women with GDM gained 0.69 kg less than NHW women without GDM (95% CI -0.80, -0.58) but NHB women with GDM gained 1.95 kg more than NHB women without GDM (95%CI 1.72, 2.19) and Hispanic women with GDM gained 0.45 kg more than Hispanic women without GDM (95%CI 0.12, 0.78). Fig. 1 displays adjusted GWG estimates for women with and without GDM in the full sample and according to race/ethnicity.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5899375

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5899375

Daneshyari.com