

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications



journal homepage: WWW.JDCJOURNAL.COM

# Psychological trauma symptoms and Type 2 diabetes prevalence, glucose control, and treatment modality among American Indians in the Strong Heart Family Study $\overset{\diamond}{\leftrightarrow}, \overset{\diamond}{\leftarrow}, \overset{\diamond}{\star}, \overset{\star}{\star}$

Michelle M. Jacob <sup>a,\*</sup>, Kelly L. Gonzales <sup>b</sup>, Darren Calhoun <sup>c</sup>, Janette Beals <sup>d</sup>, Clemma Jacobsen Muller <sup>e</sup>, Jack Goldberg <sup>f</sup>, Lonnie Nelson <sup>g</sup>, Thomas K. Welty <sup>h</sup>, Barbara V. Howard <sup>i</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of San Diego Department of Ethnic Studies & Heritage University Center for Native Health & Culture, 5998 Alcala Park, Maher 206, San Diego, CA 92110, USA

<sup>b</sup> Portland State University, School of Community Health, College of Urban & Public Affairs, 506 SW Mill Street, Suite 450, Portland, Oregon 97201, USA

<sup>c</sup> MedStar Health Research Institute, 1616 E. Indian School Rd., Suite #250, Phoenix, AZ 85016-8803, USA

<sup>d</sup> University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Centers for American Indian and Alaska Native Health, Campus Box F800, 13055 East 17th Avenue, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

<sup>e</sup> University of Washington, Box 359780, 1730 Minor Avenue, Suite 1760, Seattle, WA 98101, USA

<sup>f</sup> VET Registry, Seattle VA ERIC Research Professor of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Box 359780, 1730 Minor Avenue, Suite 1760, Seattle, WA 98101, USA

<sup>g</sup> AHRQ/UW K12 Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Scholar, Department of Health Services, University of Washington School of Public Health, Box 359780, 1730 Minor Avenue, Suite 1760, Seattle, WA 98101, USA

<sup>h</sup> Missouri Breaks Research, Inc., HCR 64 Box 52, Timber Lake, SD 57656, USA

<sup>1</sup> MedStar Health Research Institute and Georgetown/Howard Universities, Center for Translational Science, 6525 Belcrest Ave, Hyattsville, MD, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 May 2013 Received in revised form 30 July 2013 Accepted 31 July 2013 Available online 16 September 2013

Keywords: Diabetes treatment modality American Indians Psychological trauma symptoms

#### ABSTRACT

*Aims:* The aims of this paper are to examine the relationship between psychological trauma symptoms and Type 2 diabetes prevalence, glucose control, and treatment modality among 3776 American Indians in Phase V of the Strong Heart Family Study.

*Methods*: This cross-sectional analysis measured psychological trauma symptoms using the National Anxiety Disorder Screening Day instrument, diabetes by American Diabetes Association criteria, and treatment modality by four categories: no medication, oral medication only, insulin only, or both oral medication and insulin. We used binary logistic regression to evaluate the association between psychological trauma symptoms and diabetes prevalence. We used ordinary least squares regression to evaluate the association between psychological trauma symptoms and glucose control. We used binary logistic regression to model the association of psychological trauma symptoms with treatment modality.

*Results:* Neither diabetes prevalence (22%–31%; p = 0.19) nor control (8.0–8.6; p = 0.25) varied significantly by psychological trauma symptoms categories. However, diabetes treatment modality was associated with psychological trauma symptoms categories, as people with greater burden used either no medication, or both oral and insulin medications (odds ratio = 3.1, p < 0.001).

*Conclusions:* The positive relationship between treatment modality and psychological trauma symptoms suggests future research investigate patient and provider treatment decision making.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

American Indians have disproportionately high rates of diabetes compared to other populations in the United States (Calhoun et al., 2009; Jiang, Beals, Whitesell, Roubideaux, & Manson, 2007; O'Connell, Yi, Wilson, Manson, & Acton, 2010; Wang, Shara, Calhoun, Umans, Lee, & Howard, 2010). American Indians also experience high levels of

Corresponding author.

stress, and there is a growing concern regarding the relationship between stress burden and diabetes among American Indians (Jiang, Beals, Whitesell, Roubideaux, & Manson, 2008). Understanding the causes and consequences of diabetes among American Indians is important because of the heavy burden that this disease has among that population. For example, mortality from diabetes is approximately three times higher for American Indians and Alaska Natives than for others in the United States (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2007; Roubideaux, 2010). People with diabetes who maintain good glucose control, however, lower their risk for mortality and long-term complications.

Recent studies have examined the possible link between psychiatric conditions and diabetes (Anderson, Freedland, Clouse, & Lustman, 2001; Calhoun et al., 2009). As is common in the general literature, most of the studies among American Indians have focused on depression, generally

<sup>☆</sup> Conflict of interest: None.

<sup>☆☆</sup> Grant support: Native Elder Research Center AG015292; Heritage University Center for Native Health & Culture Faculty Fellowship.

<sup>★</sup> Grant support for Strong Heart Study: National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute U01HL-41642, U01HL-41652, and U01HL-41654.

E-mail address: mjacob@sandiego.edu (M.M. Jacob).

<sup>1056-8727/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2013.07.008

demonstrating a higher prevalence of depressive symptoms among individuals with diabetes (Jiang et al., 2007). These studies also suggest that individuals with depressive symptoms have poorer glucose control (Calhoun et al., 2009). The causes for these associations are still poorly understood, though implicated factors include insulin resistance (Lustman & Clouse, 2002; Lustman & Clouse, 2007); central adiposity (Everson-Rose et al., 2004) and diabetes-specific stressors such as negative emotions towards diabetes, adherence to recommended diabetes treatment, dietary concerns, and lower levels of social support (van Bastelaar et al., 2010).

Relatively little is known about the relationship between diabetes and other psychiatric conditions. Prevalent diabetes has been linked to elevated rates of mood and anxiety disorders (Lin et al., 2008) and schizophrenia (Lin & Shuldiner, 2010), but links with specific psychiatric conditions, such as Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), are still being investigated. One prospective cohort study of military service members found that PTSD symptoms were significantly associated with future risk of diabetes (Boyko et al., 2010) and researchers have postulated a link between trauma and resulting stress disorders with diabetes prevalence and glucose control (Boyko et al., 2010; Dedert, Calhoun, Watkins, Sherwood, & Beckham, 2010; Goodwin & Davidson, 2005; Jiang et al., 2007).

Research is generally lacking in the association of PTSD symptoms with prevalent diabetes, glucose control, and diabetes treatment modality. No study examines patterns of care among people with diabetes suffering with psychological trauma. Examining treatment modality is important because of its association with severity of diabetes, differences in cost effectiveness, and patient education (Clar, Barnard, Cummins, Royle, & Waugh, 2010; Delahanty et al., 2007). These questions are especially relevant for American Indian populations because they are disproportionately affected by PTSD, with prevalence estimates as high as 15% in some portions of the population (Beals, Manson, Whitesell, Spicer, Novins, & Mitchell, 2005; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2007).

We used data from the Phase V of the Strong Heart Family Study (SHS) to examine the association of psychological trauma symptoms with diabetes prevalence, glucose control, and treatment modality. The SHS is a large longitudinal effort to assess cardiovascular disease in three distinct American Indian populations, and Phase V of the SHS included a brief instrument to assess PTSD symptoms, but did not yield a clinical diagnosis, so we refer to psychological trauma symptoms, rather than PTSD here. Our specific aims were to determine whether: 1) psychological trauma symptoms correlated with higher prevalence of diagnosed diabetes; 2) psychological trauma symptoms correlated with poorer glucose control among those with diabetes; and 3) diabetes treatment modalities differed according to psychological trauma burden among those with diabetes.

#### 2. Subjects

The SHS is the largest epidemiologic study of cardiovascular disease and its risk factors ever undertaken among American Indian men and women. A detailed discussion of study methods is published elsewhere (Lee et al., 1990; Welty et al., 1995). The SHS includes 13 American Indian tribes and communities in three geographic regions: Northern Plains—North and South Dakota (Oglala Sioux, Cheyenne River Sioux, and Spirit Lake Communities), Oklahoma (Apache, Caddo, Comanche, Delaware, Fort Sill Apache, Kiowa, and Wichita), and the Southwest—Arizona (Gila River and Salt River Pima/Maricopa, and Akchin Pima/Papago), and has collected a wealth of information on cardiovascular risk factors. All participants in SHS provided written informed consent and clinical assessment that included laboratory testing for cardiovascular disease. Phase V examined cardiovascular disease risk factors, diabetes-associated risk factors among 3776 American Indian family members who were examined in 2006–2007.

#### 3. Materials and methods

#### 3.1. Assessment of psychological trauma symptoms

Psychological trauma symptoms were measured using the National Anxiety Disorder Screening Day instrument (Marshall, Olfson, Hellman, Blanco, Guardino, & Struening, 2001), which was originally developed to identify potential cases of anxiety disorder; it has been validated among American Indians in a cross-cultural study (Ritsher, Struening, Hellman, & Guardino, 2002). This brief instrument, administered by interview, asks participants whether they have ever experienced any of a list of significant traumas (Have you ever had an extremely frightening, traumatic, or horrible experience like being the victim of a violent crime, seriously injured in an accident, sexually assaulted, seeing someone seriously injured or killed, or been the victim of a natural disaster?). Endorsement of a traumatic experience triggers additional questions about four symptom clusters (re-experiencing, withdrawal/loss of interest, insomnia, and avoidance) experienced in the past month. Symptom questions are based on the criteria specified in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders IV-TR: re-experiencing the traumatic event (Did you relive the experience through recurrent dreams, preoccupations, or flashbacks?), withdrawal/loss of interest as a result of the traumatic event (Did you seem less interested in important things, not "with it," or unable to experience or express emotions?), insomnia as a result of the traumatic event (Did you have problems sleeping, concentrating, or have a short temper?), and avoidance of things related to the traumatic event (Did you avoid any place or anything that reminded you of the original horrible event?). Finally, participants who report experiencing psychological trauma and symptoms are asked whether any symptoms had persisted for longer than one month.

Following Marshall et al. (2001), we created a 4-level summary variable reflecting the burden of self-reported psychological trauma symptoms: 1) No endorsed trauma, 2) Endorsed trauma but none of the four psychological trauma symptoms, 3) Endorsed trauma and 1–3 psychological trauma symptoms, or 4 psychological trauma symptoms but none lasting >1 month, and 4) Endorsed trauma and all 4 psychological trauma symptoms that have lasted >1 month. The latter category was considered to indicate presumptive PTSD because individuals meet the full screening criteria for PTSD (Marshall et al., 2001).

#### 3.2. Assessment of diabetes

We used the SHS-derived indicator of prevalent diabetes (Calhoun et al., 2009) to classify each participant as diabetic or not diabetic at the Phase V clinical exam. The indicator was based on the American Diabetes Association criteria and primarily reflected fasting blood glucose  $\geq$  126 mg/dL, taking insulin or oral hypoglycemic medication, and/or previously diagnosed diabetes.

For diabetic participants with fasting blood glucose  $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dL}$  at the clinical exam, glucose control was measured as the total percent glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c). HbA1c reflects a weighted average of recent blood glucose levels, with higher levels reflecting higher average glucose values. For diabetic participants, elevated HbA1c is indicative of poor glucose control, and the high-normal HbA1c threshold for non-diabetic people is approximately 6%.

For each participant with diabetes, treatment modality was divided into four categories: no medication, oral medication only, injected insulin only, or both oral medication and injected insulin.

#### 3.3. Covariates

Demographic covariates included SHS region (Northern Plains, Oklahoma, Southwest), age in years, sex, and education level (total years). We controlled for health behavior covariates known to effect Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5902744

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5902744

Daneshyari.com