SEVIE

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Infection, Genetics and Evolution xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Infection, Genetics and Evolution

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/meegid



Sources of bovine tuberculosis in the United States

⁶ Q1 Kimberly Tsao^{a,*}, Suelee Robbe-Austerman^b, Ryan S. Miller^{a,c}, Katie Portacci^c, Daniel A. Grear^c, Colleen Webb^a 7

8 ^a Department of Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

9 ^b USDA APHIS Veterinary Services, Science Technology and Analysis Services (STAS), National Veterinary Services Laboratories, Ames, IA, USA

10 ^c USDA APHIS Veterinary Services, STAS, Center for Epidemiology and Animal Health, Fort Collins, CO, USA

ARTICLE INFO

28

 $\frac{11}{12}$

4 5

15 Article history: 16

Received 25 July 2014 17

Received in revised form 17 September 2014 18 Accepted 18 September 2014

- 19 Available online xxxx
- 20 Keywords: 21
- Mycobacterium bovis 22
- Clonal complex 23
- Spillover Reservoir
- 24 25

43

ABSTRACT

Despite control and eradication efforts, bovine tuberculosis continues to be identified at low levels among cattle in the United States. We evaluated possible external sources of infection by characterizing the genetic relatedness of bovine tuberculosis from a national database of reported infections, comparing strains circulating among US cattle with those of imported cattle, and farmed and wild cervids.

Farmed cervids maintained a genetically distinct Mycobacterium bovis strain, and cattle occasionally became infected with this strain. In contrast, wild cervids acted as an epidemiologically distinct group. instead hosting many of the same strains found in cattle, and the data did not show a clear transmission direction. Cattle from Mexico hosted a higher overall richness of strains than US cattle, and many of those strains were found in both US and Mexican cattle. However, these two populations appeared to be wellmixed with respect to their *M. bovis* lineages, and higher resolution data is necessary to infer the direction of recent transmission.

Overall patterns of both host and geographic distributions were highly variable among strains, suggesting that different sources or transmission mechanisms are contributing to maintaining different strains. © 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

44 1. Introduction

1.1. Epidemiology and evolution 45

Mycobacterium bovis, the causative agent of bovine tuberculosis 46 (bTB), continues to infect cattle in the United States at a low level 47 despite control and eradication efforts. Routes of transmission 48 include shared feed, other environmental fomites, and direct con-49 tact. There are multiple possible recent infection sources of M. 50 51 bovis transmission to US cattle, including: (1) infected cervids (deer and their relatives), and (2) cattle imported from bTB-endemic 52 areas outside the US. Here we consider evidence for these potential 53 sources in the context of M. bovis genetic relatedness. 54

Our inferences rely on relationships among strains, which we 55 56 evaluate by reconstructing clonal complexes. The evolutionary model of clonal expansion that gives rise to these complexes is well 57 58 supported in previous M. bovis population genetic studies (Gutiérrez Reyes et al., 2012; Smith, 2012; Smith et al., 2003, 59 2006). In this model, a founder strain spreads among many individ-60 61 uals, and as it reproduces and is transmitted, subsequent

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2014.09.025 1567-1348/© 2014 Published by Elsevier B.V.

mutations produce a group of closely related strains, together forming a clonal complex. The low overall rates of horizontal transfer and mutation in M. bovis make these complexes easily identifiable, with many strains only varying at a single locus among genetic markers. Strains within the same clonal complex are inferred to have descended from a common founder strain. By identifying hosts (Collins et al., 1988) or geographic regions (Allen et al., 2013) from which members of a clonal complex are reported, we can make epidemiological inferences about the sources of these strains, based on the hosts and locations from which the other members of the complex are reported.

1.2. Possible M. bovis sources

Whether acting as reservoirs maintaining a pathogen or as incidental hosts only occasionally becoming infected, cervids can facilitate M. bovis transmission via direct or indirect contact with domestic cattle.

1.2.1. Wild cervids

Outside the US, wildlife reservoirs have been recognized to Q2 79 independently maintain and transmit *M. bovis* to cattle, impeding 80 eradication in cattle populations. These wildlife reservoirs include 81

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

27

28

29

Please cite this article in press as: Tsao, K., et al. Sources of bovine tuberculosis in the United States. Infect. Genet. Evol. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.meegid.2014.09.025

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 510 495 4266. E-mail address: kim.tsao@colostate.edu (K. Tsao).

25 September 2014

2

K. Tsao et al./Infection, Genetics and Evolution xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

82 European badger (*Meles meles*) in Europe (Woodroffe et al., 2005), 83 brushtail possum (Trichosurus vulpecula) in New Zealand (Collins 84 et al., 1988), Cape buffalo (Syncerus caffer) and greater kudu 85 (Tragelaphus strepsiceros) in southern Africa (Bengis et al., 1996), 86 elk (Cervus canadensis) and American bison (Bison bison) in Canada 87 (Wobeser, 2009), and wild boar (Sus scrofa) in Europe (Naranjo 88 et al., 2008).

89 In North America, M. bovis has been identified in populations of 90 White-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) (Miller and Sweeney, 2013; Smith, 1968), but published data on matching deer bTB 91 92 genotypes to local cattle are not as extensive as in other bTB 93 wildlife reservoir systems (Biek et al., 2012). Infection in both wild 94 cervids and cattle in these areas have led to trade restrictions and altered wildlife management practices (O'Brien et al., 2006). 95

1.2.2. Farmed cervids

96

97 Farmed cervids are in some aspects managed similarly to cattle, 98 including being fed from shared containers and being transported 99 among farms. Their exposure risks and contact patterns are likely 100 more similar to cattle than to their wild counterparts, so as an epi-101 demiological host group we expect farmed cervids to contribute 102 differently to cattle infection than do wild cervids.

103 1.2.3. International imports

104 Cattle imported from M. bovis-endemic countries could be peri-105 odically introducing the pathogen to US cattle. This would not be a 106 new phenomenon, as pathogen introduction has a long global his-107 tory as an unintended consequence of live imports. Countries that 108 historically traded with the British Isles, including the US, Canada, 109 New Zealand, Australia, and South Africa, still have M. bovis strains 110 in the same clonal complex as strains currently present in the UK 111 (Smith et al., 2011). However, here we are interested in interna-112 tional imports as a source of recent pathogen introductions (within the past two decades), leading to established infections in US cattle 113 114 populations. Currently, only Canada, Mexico, and Australia are per-115 mitted to send live cattle to the United States.

116 1.3. Evaluating potential external sources

117 Genetic analyses of *M. bovis* from multiple host species have not 118 previously been evaluated at this large of a spatiotemporal scale 119 for the US. Here we summarize M. bovis genetic relatedness, geo-120 graphic distribution, and host types for the most frequently 121 detected strains in the US, or those causing the highest popula-122 tion-level disease burden. Based on these characteristics, wild cer-123 vids, farmed cervids, and imported cattle were all evaluated as possible source populations infecting US cattle. 124

125 2. Materials and methods

Data were provided by the National Veterinary Services Labora-126 tories (NVSL) from a collection database of M. bovis isolates. These 127 samples are a subset of reported cases in the US between 1989 and 128 129 2013. Prior to 2001, isolates were archived at NVSL sporadically with no standardized protocol. Approximately 40% of bTB affected 130 131 herds between 1989 and 2000 have at least one representative isolate in the database. After 2001 with formalized archiving proce-132 133 dures in place, 100% of US-origin affected herds, and 95% of 134 imported cattle isolates were genotyped and included. The data-135 set also contained information about individual hosts, including 136 species, production type (i.e., wildlife, game farm), year isolated, 137 last state of residence, and country of origin (Appendix A). Of the 138 897 M. bovis records from cattle, 595 were from US cattle, 202 were 139 from cattle imported from Mexico, 4 were from cattle imported 140 from Canada, and 96 were of unknown origin. We included the

strains from Canadian cattle in examining individual strain distri-141 butions, but focused on the larger sample from Mexico for further 142 analyses of international imports. We assumed that strains in 143 imported cattle were acquired in the cattle's country of origin, 144 where bTB is endemic (USDA: APHIS, 2013), although the case 145 reports were in the US. All 170 cervid samples, both farmed and 146 wild, were from the US. Eighty-two reports came from other wild-147 life species (opossums, raccoons, coyotes, and feral pigs); these 148 were not included in the analysis. One hundred ten samples had 149 incomplete genetic data and were excluded from analysis, for a 150 total of 1111 records. 151

Samples were identified based on spoligotyping, a categoriza-152 tion method commonly used in the M. tuberculosis complex (refer- Q3 153 ence database at http://www.mbovis.org (Smith and Upton, 154 2012)), which includes *M. bovis*. Spoligotypes identify groups of 155 closely related strains based on "presence or absence...of spacer 156 units in the chromosome" (Smith and Upton, 2012). Spoligotypes 157 were grouped into families if they differed from at least one other 158 member by no more than a single spacer deletion (Reves et al., 159 2008). This case definition was based on the relative frequency of 160 a single spacer deletion event (ca. 3 times higher) compared to 161 multiple spacer deletion events. Additionally, eleven Variable 162 Number of Tandem Repeat (VNTR) loci, 0424, 0577, 1644 (MIRU16), 1955, 2165 (ETRA), 2401, 2461, 2687 (MIRU24), 2996 (MIRU26), 3192 (MIRU31), 4052 (QUB-26) (Martinez et al., 2008) were characterized and used to further define structure within 166 spoligotype families. Each unique combination of spoligotype and 167 VNTR profile was defined as a strain. 168

We estimated strain richness among host groups by generating rarefaction curves. This procedure subsamples within a group to estimate rates at which new strains are detected, allowing us to account for different group sample sizes (numbers of reports). We used the "rarecurve" species accumulation curve function in the "vegan" package (Oksanen et al., 2012) in the R programming environment (Oksanen et al., 2012; R Core Team, 2012), to estimate strain detection rates in the host groups: US cattle, cattle imported from Mexico (henceforth "Mexican cattle"), farmed cervids. and wild cervids.

To visualize genetic relatedness among *M. bovis* strains, strains within each spoligotype family were aggregated into clonal complexes using eBURST (http://eburst.mlst.net) (Feil et al., 2004). Relationships among spoligotype families were not evaluated here, but have been described previously (Smith, 2012). Because they were grouped by spoligotype family, strains within the same clonal complex often, but not always, share the same spoligotypes. Relationships within clonal complexes are thus largely defined by VNTR profile similarity, with spoligotype treated as a single locus. Membership within a clonal complex was defined as sharing 11 of 12 loci (11 VNTR plus one spoligotype) with at least one other strain in the complex. We compared strains with respect to host group, production type, and country of origin. We included isolates from cattle of unknown origin to determine the most frequently reported strains, then patterns in those strain distributions were determined based on reports from known locations.

3. Results

3.1. Strain richness

We identified a total of 138 unique strains in 27 spoligotype families. Most spoligotype families were comprised of three to four clonal complexes, and numerous pairs and singleton strains.

The rarefaction curves show large differences in strain richness 200 among US cattle, Mexican cattle, and cervids (Fig. 1). At a sample 201 size of 60 reports from each group, Mexican cattle on average yield 202

163

164

165

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

195

196

197 198 199

Please cite this article in press as: Tsao, K., et al. Sources of bovine tuberculosis in the United States. Infect. Genet. Evol. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.meegid.2014.09.025

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5909403

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5909403

Daneshyari.com