ARTICLE IN PRESS

Infection, Genetics and Evolution xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Infection, Genetics and Evolution

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/meegid

Please cite this article in press as: Souza, W.M., et al. Phylogeography and evolutionary history of rodent-borne hantaviruses. Infect. Genet. Evol. (2013),



26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

Phylogeography and evolutionary history of rodent-borne hantaviruses

6 Q1 W.M. Souza^{a,*}, G. Bello^b, A.A. Amarilla^c, H.L. Alfonso^c, V.H Aquino^c, L.T.M. Figueiredo^{a,*}

7 a Virology Research Center, School of Medicine of Ribeirao Preto of University of São Paulo, Ribeirao Preto, São Paulo, Brazil

8 ^b Laboratório de AIDS & Imunologia Molecular, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, FIOCRUZ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

9 ^cDepartamento de Análises Clínicas, Toxicológicas e Bromatológicas, Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Universidade de São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

4 5

14 Article history:

15 Received 20 August 2013

16 Received in revised form 10 November 2013

17 Accepted 13 November 2013

- 18 Available online xxxx
- 19 Keywords:
- 20 Hantavirus
- 21 Rodent-borne
- 22 Evolution
- 23 Phylogeography 24

ABSTRACT

Hantavirus (Family *Bunyaviridae*) are mostly associated to rodents and transmitted to man by inhalation of aerosolized infected excreta of these animals. The human infection by hantaviruses can lead to severe diseases such as hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome (HFRS) in Asia and Europe, and pulmonary syndrome (HPS) in the Americas. To determine the origin, spreading and evolutionary dynamics of rodent-borne hantaviruses, 190 sequences of nucleoprotein (*N*) of hantaviruses isolated in 30 countries, from 1985 to 2010, were retrieved from the GenBank and analyzed using the BEAST program. Our evolutionary analysis indicates that current genetic diversity of *N* gene of rodent-borne hantaviruses probably was originated around 2000 years ago. *Hantavirus* harbored by *Murinae* and *Arvicolinae* subfamilies, probably, were originated in Asia 500–700 years ago and later spread toward Siberia, Europe, Africa and North America. *Hantavirus* carried by *Neotominae* subfamily, probably, emerged 500–600 years ago in Central America and spread toward North America. Finally, hantaviruses associated to *Sigmodontinae* occurred in Brazil 400 years ago and were, probably, originated from *Neotominae*-associated virus from northern South America. These data offer subsidies to understand the time-scale and worldwide dissemination dynamics of rodent-borne hantaviruses.

© 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V.

42

43 1. Introduction

Viruses of the genus Hantavirus, family Bunyaviridae, are envel-44 oped viruses containing three segments of single-stranded and 45 negative-sense RNA genome. These segments are designated based 46 47 on their size as small (S), medium (M) and large (L) (Jonsson et al., 48 2010; Vaheri et al., 2013). The S segment encodes both the nucleoprotein (N) and a small nonstructural protein (NSs) in an overlap-49 ping (+1) open reading frame, the M segment encodes two 50 envelope glycoproteins (Gn and Gc), and the L segment encodes 51 the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) (Jaaskelainen et al., 52 53 2007; Vera-Otarola et al., 2012).

Unlike other members of the Bunyaviridae family, which are 54 55 transmitted by arthropods, hantaviruses are transmitted to humans particularly by Muridae or Cricetidae rodents through inhala-56 tion of excreta or aggressive interactions between animals (Jonsson 57 58 et al., 2010). Nevertheless, novel hantaviruses continue to be de-59 scribed in a wide range of species, including shrews and bats 60 whose cross-species transmission and virus-host co-divergence 61 have played important roles in hantavirus evolution (Arai et al.,

 Q2 * Corresponding authors. Address: Virology Research Center, School of Medicine of Ribeirao Preto, University of São Paulo, Av. Bandeirantes, 3900, 14049-900 Ribeirao Preto, São Paulo, Brazil. Tel.: +55 163602 4580; fax: +55 163602 3376. *E-mail addresses:* wmarciel@hotmail.com, wmarciel@usp.br (W.M. Souza), ltmfigue@fmrp.usp.br (L.T.M. Figueiredo).

1567-1348/\$ - see front matter @ 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2013.11.015

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2013.11.015

2008; Guo et al., 2013; Weiss et al., 2012). The rodent-borne hantaviruses produce emerging infectious diseases that have a substantial impact on public health: the hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome (HFRS) in Eurasia, and the hantavirus pulmonary syndrome (HPS) in the Americas (Jonsson et al., 2010; Vaheri et al., 2013).

As the phylogenetic inference of the rodent-borne viruses appear to be largely congruent with that of their hosts, hantaviruses were often considered to have co-diverged with rodent hosts over time-scales of millions of years (Hughes and Friedman, 2000; Morzunov et al., 1998; Nemirov et al., 2002). However, recent studies estimated that the *Hantavirus* exhibit a short-term substitution rate too fast $(10^{-2} \text{ to } 10^{-4} \text{ substitutions/site/year})$ and divergence times too recent (<1000 years ago) that are not compatible with a codivergence with their hosts (Ramsden et al., 2009; Ramsden et al., 2008). Thus, it has been proposed that apparent similarities between phylogeny of hantaviruses and that of their mammalian hosts are the result of a more recent history of preferential host switching and local adaptation (Ramsden et al., 2009).

To better understand the origin and the dissemination process of rodent-borne hantaviruses, we have analyzed a comprehensive data set including 252 S gene sequences of hantaviruses detected in humans and rodents worldwide. Spatial and temporal information of sequences were analyzed by a Bayesian method allowing 27 November 2013

2

W.M. Souza et al./Infection, Genetics and Evolution xxx (2013) xxx-xxx

87 the reconstruct a time-scale and migration routes of Hantavirus 88 infecting Murinae, Arvicolinae, Neotominae and Sigmodontinae sub-89 families of rodents.

90 2. Materials and methods

91 2.1. Seauence dataset

Complete S gene sequences (1319 bp) of rodent-borne hantavi-92 ruses deposited until November 2012 were retrieved from 93 GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Two unpublished sequences of 94 95 Araraquara virus isolated in Brazil were also included in the study 96 (Supporting Figure 1). Known recombinant sequences were ex-97 cluded from the analysis. In our initial data set, samples from China 98 were overrepresented (n = 86, 34%) when compared to those from 99 other countries ($n \leq 21$). To avoid potential biases in the phyloge-100 ographic reconstructions (ancestral root location and viral gene flow estimates) due to sampling heterogeneity (Faria et al., 2012; 101 Salemi et al., 2005), we obtained a "non-redundant" representative 102 103 Chinese subset. Highly similar (identity $\ge 97\%$) sequences from China were clustered with the CD-HIT program (Li and Godzik, 104 105 2006) using an online web server (Huang et al., 2010) and only 106 one sequence per cluster was selected. It was obtained a final data 107 set of 190 N gene sequences identified from 30 countries over the 108 past 25 years (Table 1).

2.2. Evolutionary and phylogeographic analyses 109

110 Nucleotide sequences were aligned using the CLUSTAL W pro-111 gram (Thompson et al., 1994) and hand edited. Alignment is available from the authors upon request. Based on this alignment, the 112 spatial-temporal and demographic dynamics of dissemination of 113

Table 1

Nucleotide sequences of rodent-borne hantavirus analyzed in the study.

Region	Country	Ν	Sampling dates
South America	Argentina	11	1997-1999
	Bolivia	4	1992-2008
	Brazil	15	2001-2006
	Chile	4	1997-1999
	Paraguay	4	1995-2000
	Peru	1	1996
	Venezuela	1	1994
Central and North America	Costa Rica	1	1989
	Panama	1	2000
	Mexico	8	2006
	USA	11	1985–2006
Europe	Croatia Czech Republic Denmark Finland Germany Greece Poland Latvia Serbia Slovakia Sweden Russia	1 1 3 15 3 2 5 1 6 16 21	2000 1995 2000 1991–2000 1997–2008 1999 1995 2000–2008 1997 2001–2004 2004–2005 1993–2005
Asia	China	25	1999–2007
	Kazakhstan	1	1995
	Japan	10	1995–2010
	Russia	21	1993–2005
	South Korea	10	1997–2009
	Singapore	4	2006
	Thailand	2	1998–2004
Africa	Guinea	2	2004
4	30	190	1985–2010

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2013.11.015

rodent-borne hantavirus was reconstructed using the Bayesian 114 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach using the BEAST 115 1.7.4 program (Drummond et al., 2012). For these analysis, use 116 the general time reversible GTR + I + G nucleotide substitution 117 model as determined by jModeltest (Posada, 2008), an uncorre-118 lated Lognormal relaxed molecular clock model (Drummond 119 et al., 2006) and a Bayesian skyline coalescent model (Drummond 120 et al., 2005), as previously described (Ramsden et al., 2009). 121 Time-scale was inferred using an informative substitution rate 122 interval $(1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ to 1.0×10^{-3} substitutions/site/year) 123 previously estimated for the N gene of rodent-borne hantavirus 124 (Ramsden et al., 2009; Ramsden et al., 2008). Sequences were as-125 signed to 15 geographic locations: China, Japan, South Korea, 126 Southeast Asia (Thailand and Singapore), former URSS (Kazakh-127 stan, Latvia and Russia), Central/Eastern Europe (Croatia, Czech 128 Republic, Germany, Greece, Poland, Serbia and Slovakia), Northern 129 Europe (Denmark, Finland and Sweden), USA, Mexico/Central 130 America (Costa Rica and Panama), Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Boli-131 via/Paraguay/Peru Venezuela and Guine. Migration events be-132 tween discrete locations were reconstructed by applying a 133 Bayesian phylogeographic approach that models the unobserved 134 diffusion process as a reversible continuous-time Markov chain 135 process (Lemey et al., 2009). MCMC chain was run for 1×10^8 gen-136 erations and adequate chain mixing was checked, after excluding 137 an initial 10%, by calculating the effective sample size (ESS) using 138 TRACER v1.4 program (http://www.beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer). 139 Maximum clade credibility (MCC) trees were summarized from 140 the distribution of trees with TreeAnnotator and were visualized 141 with FigTree v1.4.0 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree). 142

3. Results

Please cite this article in press as: Souza, W.M., et al. Phylogeography and evolutionary history of rodent-borne hantaviruses. Infect. Genet. Evol. (2013),

The Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of 190 hantavirus S gene se-144 quences confirmed the existence of two highly supported (Poster-145 ior Probability [PP] = 1) monophyletic clades associated with the 146 rodent host families Muridae (subfamily Murinae) and Cricetidae 147 (subfamilies Arvicolinae, Neotominae and Sigmodontinae) (Fig. 1A). 148 Viruses included in the Cricetidae family were subdivided in two reciprocally monophyletic clades (PP = 1) related to Arvicolinae and Neotominae/Sigmodontinae subfamilies. The Sigmodontinae hantaviruses branched in a well-supported (PP = 1) monophyletic subcluster that was nested within the paraphyletic group of Neotominae hantaviruses. The analysis also suggest a subdivision of hantaviruses into genus or tribe of their rodent hosts (Fig. 1B). Muridae-borne hantaviruses adapted to rodents of genus Apodemus and Rattus. The Arvicolinae-associated viruses were mostly found in hosts of the genus Myodes or Microtus. Neotominae-associated hantaviruses adapted to genus Peromyscus and Reithrodontomys (both belong to Reithrodontomyini tribe). The Sigmodontinae hantaviruses were found principally in Oryzomyini and Akodontini tribes. 161

The estimated rate of nucleotide substitutions per site per year for the *N* gene of hantavirus was 6.8×10^{-4} . The 95% HPD interval of such estimate (2.5 \times 10^{-4} to 1×10^{-3} subst./site/year) almost coincided with the informative prior interval $(1.0\times 10^{-4}\ to$ 1.0×10^{-3} subst./site/year), thus indicating a correlation between both data. According to this estimated rate, the most recent common ancestor (T_{MRCA}) for all rodent-borne hantaviruses occurred 1915 years before present (ybp) (95% HPD: 5541–922 ybp); whereas the major hantaviruses subfamily clades emergerded around 500-600 ybp: Murinae (573 ybp, 95% HPD 1644-339 ybp), Arvicolinae (628 ybp, 95% HPD 1782-384 ybp) and Neotominae/Sigmodontinae (549 ybp, 95% HPD 1555-341 ybp) (Fig. 1A).

The posterior root state probability (PRSP) distributions at the nodes of the rodent subfamilies clades in the Bayesian tree, allowed to infer on the spreading of hantaviruses around the world

143

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5910198

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5910198

Daneshyari.com