Molecular & Biochemical Parasitology 190 (2013) 51–55

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Molecular & Biochemical Parasitology

Short communication

Adenine and adenosine salvage in *Leishmania donovani*

Jan M. Boitz, Buddy Ullman*

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, Portland, OR 97239-3098 USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 10 May 2013
Received in revised form 18 June 2013
Accepted 24 June 2013
Available online 8 July 2013

Keywords:
Leishmania donovani
Purine salvage
Adenine metabolism
Adenosine metabolism
Adenine phosphoribosyltransferase
Adenine aminohydrolase

ABSTRACT

6-aminopurine metabolism in *Leishmania* is unique among trypanosomatid pathogens since this genus expresses two distinct routes for adenine salvage: adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (APRT) and adenine deaminase (AAH). To evaluate the relative contributions of APRT and AAH, adenine salvage was evaluated in Δ*aprt*, Δ*aah*, and Δ*aprt*/Δ*aah* null mutants of *L. donovani*. The data confirm that AAH plays the dominant role in adenine metabolism in *L. donovani*, although either enzyme alone is sufficient for salvage. Adenosine salvage was also evaluated in a cohort of null mutants. Adenosine is also primarily converted to hypoxanthine, either intracellularly or extracellularly, but can also be phosphorylated to the nucleotide level by adenosine kinase when the predominant pathways are genetically or pharmacologically blocked. These data provide genetic verification for the relative contributions of 6-aminopurine metabolizing pathways in *L. donovani* and demonstrate that all of the pathways can function under appropriate conditions of genetic or pharmacologic perturbation.

Published by Elsevier B.V.

All genera of protozoan parasites are auxotrophic for purines, and, consequently, each genus has evolved a unique suite of salvage enzymes that enables purine scavenge from their hosts [1]. Among the protozoan parasites that infect humans, *Leishmania*, as well as *Trypanosoma brucei* and *T. cruzi*, express particularly elaborate purine acquisition pathways that encompass numerous routes for salvaging purines [1]. *Leishmania* also express at least four purine transporters on their plasma membrane, each of which is capable of translocating a restricted cohort of purine nucleosides or nucleobases [2,3]. It has been experimentally determined that virtually any exogenously supplied naturally occurring purine base or nucleoside can satisfy the purine requirements of *L. donovani* [3–5]. Once assimilated into the parasite nucleotide pool, any salvageable purine, which includes adenine and adenosine, can then be interconverted into all other purine nucleotides by the metabolic machinery of the parasite. The purine salvage pathways of *Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma* that have been deduced through both experimental studies and bioinformatic analyses are remarkably similar with one notable exception [6]. Whereas *Leishmania* uniquely express two distinct routes of adenine metabolism, adenine aminohydrolase (AAH) and adenine phosphoribosyltransferase (APRT) enzymes that convert adenine to hypoxanthine and AMP, respectively, both *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi* lack AAH and only metabolize the 6-aminopurine through APRT (Fig. 1). Hypoxanthine, the product of AAH catalysis, is then salvaged to the nucleotide level by hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HGPRT) or xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase (XPRT), both of which recognize hypoxanthine as a substrate (Fig. 1) [7,8]. Adenosine metabolism and its assimilation in the parasite nucleotide pool are a bit more complex. The 6-aminopurine nucleoside has at least three immediate metabolic fates in *Leishmania*: (1) direct phosphorylation to AMP a reaction that is catalyzed by adenosine kinase (AK); (2) cleavage to adenine after which the nucleobase can be incorporated via the AAH/HGPRT/XPRT or APRT routes; and (3) extracellular metabolism to inosine and hypoxanthine by host enzymes or enzymes in the growth medium after which the 6-oxypurines are taken up by the parasite and fluxed through HGPRT and/or XPRT to IMP (see Fig. 1).

The profoundly restricted growth phenotype exhibited by a conditional lethal *L. donovani* Δ*hgprt*/Δ*xprt* knockout line highlighted the importance of AAH in adenine metabolism since the only permissive growth conditions for this null mutant are a 6-aminopurine in the presence of 2′-deoxycoformycin (dCF), an inhibitor of AAH [9–11]. The Δ*hgprt*/Δ*xprt* promastigotes are incapable of utilizing hypoxanthine, xanthine, guanine, or their corresponding ribonucleosides as purine sources and cannot survive in adenine or adenosine as the sole purine source in the absence of dCF [9]. This inability to proliferate in adenine or adenosine alone intimates that the majority, if not all, exogenous 6-aminopurines are funneled into hypoxanthine by the metabolic machinery of the parasite and, consequently, that AAH is the predominant route for adenine salvage by *L. donovani* promastigotes (see Fig. 1). Furthermore, the

Abbreviations: AAH, adenine aminohydrolase; APRT, adenine phosphoribosyltransferase; HGPRT, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase; XPRT, xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase; AK, adenosine kinase; dCF, 2′-deoxycoformycin; ADSS, adenylosuccinate synthetase; EHNA, erythro-9-(2-hydroxy-3-nonyl)adenine; ADA, adenosine deaminase.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +503 494 2546; fax: +503 494 8393.
E-mail address: ullmanb@ohsu.edu (B. Ullman).

0166-6851/$ – see front matter. Published by Elsevier B.V.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2013.06.005



Fig. 1. 6-aminopurine metabolism in *L. donovani*. The enzymes involved in adenine and adenosine salvage into the parasite nucleotide pool are depicted. ADA, adenosine deaminase; PNP, purine nucleoside phosphorylases; AAH, adenine aminohydrolase; APRT, adenine phosphoribosyltransferase; AK, adenosine kinase; NH, nucleoside hydrolase; HGPRT, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase; XPRT, xanthine phosphoribosyltransferase; ADSS, adenylosuccinate synthetase; ASL, adenylosuccinate lyase.

Δ*hgprt*/Δ*xprt* strain also displays a profoundly incapacitated infectivity phenotype in mice implying that all purines available to the *L. donovani* amastigote in the mouse model of visceral leishmaniasis are either or channeled into HGPRT/XPRT substrates [9]. These findings demonstrate that the pathways of purine acquisition are likely to be very similar in both life cycle stages of the parasite and that HGPRT and XPRT play the principal roles in the salvage of extracellular purines into the parasite nucleotide pools.

In order to dissect the relative contributions of the various adenine and adenosine salvage mechanisms in intact parasites, a series of mutants in *L. donovani* were created that were genetically deficient in APRT, AAH, or both APRT and AAH. The construction and phenotypic characterization of the Δ*aprt* and Δ*aah* cell lines have been described previously [10,12]. To generate the Δ*aah*/Δ*aprt* double knockout, two *APRT*/*aprt* heterozygotes, were first created within the Δ*aah* background using independent targeted gene replacement constructs harboring two separate drug markers to generate Δ*aah/APRT/aprt*-1 and Δ*aah/APRT/aprt*-2 heterozygotes. The Δ*aah*/Δ*aprt* null parasites were then generated from the Δ*aah/APRT/aprt*-2 heterozygote (Fig. 2A). Southern blot analysis of genomic DNA from wild type, Δ*aprt*, Δ*aah*, Δ*aah/APRT/aprt*-1, Δ*aah/APRT/aprt*-2, and Δ*aah*/Δ*aprt* cells confirmed the homologous gene replacement events in the genetically manipulated strains (Fig. 2A). The hybridization signals observed when the blots were probed with the *APRT* open reading frame, the *APRT* 5′-flanking region, or the *AAH* coding region corresponded to the sizes of the restriction fragments predicted from the sequences of the *APRT* and *AAH* ORFs and their adjacent 5′ and 3′ UTRs [10,13]. The homozygous gene replacements in the parasite lines harboring Δ*aprt*, Δ*aah*, and Δ*aah*/Δ*aprt* lesions were corroborated by western blot analysis of lysates prepared from wild type, heterozygous, and homozygous knockout parasites using polyclonal antisera specific for APRT [14] and AAH [10] (Fig. 2B). Equivalent loading of cell lysates on the western blot was confirmed using antisera to α-tubulin (Fig. 2B).

Based on the restricted growth and noninfectious phenotype of the Δ*hgprt*/Δ*xprt* double knockout, it was reasonable to infer that *L. donovani* preferentially incorporates adenine into nucleotides via AAH-mediated deamination to hypoxanthine rather than via direct phosphoribosylation by APRT. In order to test this hypothesis, the abilities of wild type, Δ*aprt*, Δ*aah*, and Δ*aah*/Δ*aprt* cell lysates to convert [^{14}C]adenine to the nucleotide level were compared (Fig. 2C). Because APRT is a bisubstrate reaction, phosphoribosylpyrophosphate, the ribose-phosphate donor, was added to the reaction mixtures at a concentration of 1 mM. The rates by which wild type, Δ*aprt*, and Δ*aah* extracts metabolized adenine into nucleotides were linear over a 15 min time course, whereas no incorporation was observed with Δ*aah*/Δ*aprt* cell lysates. The rates by which the 6-oxypurine [^{14}C]hypoxanthine was incorporated into phosphorylated nucleotides served as controls for sample preparation and quality since the 6-oxypurine nucleobase is phosphoribosylated to the nucleotide level via HGPRT and XPRT and were equivalent among all four cell lines (data not shown) [5,15]. The finding that extracts prepared from the Δ*aah*/Δ*aprt* double null mutant fail to convert adenine to nucleotides indicate that AAH and APRT are the sole mechanisms by which adenine can be assimilated into the parasite nucleotide pool. Furthermore, because these incorporation assays were conducted at 20 μM [^{14}C]adenine, a concentration that exceeds the K_m value of APRT by an order of magnitude [16] but is comparable to the K_m value determined for AAH [10], these findings strengthen the notion that AAH is the preferred route of adenine uptake in *L. donovani*.

The rates by which intact wild type, Δ*aprt*, Δ*aah*, and Δ*aah*/Δ*aprt* promastigotes assimilated [^{14}C]adenine into the nucleotide pool were also assessed. No adenine conversion to nucleotides was observed for intact Δ*aah*/Δ*aprt* promastigotes over a 90 min interval, confirming that AAH and APRT are the exclusive routes by which adenine is metabolized in intact parasites, while wild type, Δ*aprt*, and Δ*aah* promastigotes incorporated adenine into phosphorylated metabolites at roughly equivalent rates (Fig. 2D). The discrepancy in the relative efficiencies by which Δ*aprt* and Δ*aah* cell lysates and intact parasites metabolize adenine can be ascribed to the fact that the rates and extents of adenine assimilation into anionic phosphorylated metabolites by live parasites is contingent upon the interplay of a multiplicity of cellular processes, including transport, nucleotide interconversion, and nucleic acid synthesis.

The metabolic incorporation experiments on Δ*aah*/Δ*aprt* lysates and parasites confirmed that AAH and APRT are the only routes of adenine metabolism in *L. donovani* (Fig. 2C and D). These short-term experiments, however, do not directly assess the involvement of AAH and APRT in enabling 6-aminopurines to support parasite growth. To evaluate the nutritional roles of AAH and APRT in facilitating *L. donovani* growth, the abilities of wild type, Δ*aprt*, Δ*aah*, and Δ*aah*/Δ*aprt* promastigotes to grow on adenine, as well as adenosine, were appraised. Included in this nutritional analysis were previously isolated gene deletion mutants with known 6-aminopurine growth deficits. These mutants include *L. donovani* harboring genetic lesions in adenylosuccinate synthetase (ADSS), AAH and ADSS (Δ*aah*/Δ*adss*), HGPRT and XPRT (Δ*hgprt*/Δ*xprt*), and the triple null mutant Δ*aah*/Δ*hgprt*/Δ*xprt* (Table 1) [9,10,17]. Nutritional assessments were performed in purine-deficient Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium-*Leishmania* (DME-L) growth medium supplemented with 5% dialyzed fetal bovine serum to which either 100 μM adenine or adenosine was added. None of these cell lines, of course, could grow in purine-deficient medium (data not shown). As expected, wild type, Δ*aprt*, and Δ*aah* promastigotes displayed robust growth in adenine, while Δ*aah*/Δ*aprt* promastigotes failed to proliferate (Table 1). As shown previously, neither Δ*adss* nor Δ*hgprt*/Δ*xprt* promastigotes could grow in adenine [9,17], although when a Δ*aah* mutation was inserted into each of these genetic backgrounds, the cells grew robustly [10,17]. Furthermore, pharmacologic simulation of the AAH deficiency with dCF enabled Δ*adss* [17] and Δ*hgprt*/Δ*xprt* (Table 1) promastigotes to proliferate with adenine as the sole purine nutrient but prevented growth of Δ*aprt* promastigotes by

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5915462

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5915462

Daneshyari.com