



Short communication

Dynamics of CD3 $\gamma\epsilon$ and CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ dimer expression during murine T cell development

Renee M. Laird, Sandra M. Hayes*

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY 13210, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 August 2009

Accepted 3 September 2009

Available online 9 October 2009

Keywords:

T cell development

T cell antigen receptor

CD3

ABSTRACT

The preTCR, $\gamma\delta$ TCR, and $\alpha\beta$ TCR are the three isoforms of the T cell antigen receptor that are expressed during thymocyte development. Signaling by these isoforms is required at different stages of T cell development for lineage commitment, thymocyte maturation, and repertoire selection. All three isoforms are multimeric complexes, which are dependent on invariant CD3 dimers (CD3 $\gamma\epsilon$ and CD3 $\delta\epsilon$) and TCR $\zeta\zeta$ dimers for their assembly, stable surface expression and signal transduction. Notably, differences have been reported regarding the requirement for CD3 δ in the assembly, surface expression and signaling abilities of the three TCR isoforms. Specifically, it has been shown that both the preTCR and $\gamma\delta$ TCR do not require CD3 δ to transduce signals, whereas the $\alpha\beta$ TCR does. The differences noted between the murine $\alpha\beta$ - and $\gamma\delta$ TCRs in their requirement for CD3 δ can be easily explained by the fact that CD3 δ is a component of the $\alpha\beta$ TCR but not the $\gamma\delta$ TCR. However, it is not clear why the preTCR does not require CD3 δ , considering that CD3 δ has been reported to be a subunit of the preTCR. Because the preTCR can be expressed on thymocytes at the immature CD4 $^-$ CD8 $^-$ stage and, to a lesser extent, at the later CD4 $^+$ CD8 $^+$ stage, it is conceivable that CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ dimer expression is developmentally regulated during early T cell development such that preTCRs expressed on immature CD4 $^-$ CD8 $^-$ thymocytes contain primarily CD3 $\gamma\epsilon$ dimers while those expressed on CD4 $^+$ CD8 $^+$ thymocytes express both CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ and CD3 $\gamma\epsilon$ dimers. To investigate this, we determined whether the expression of CD3 δ and CD3 γ are developmentally regulated and whether there are differences in the availability and/or stability of CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ and CD3 $\gamma\epsilon$ dimers during early T cell development. We report that even though both CD3 δ and CD3 γ were expressed at relatively high levels in immature CD4 $^-$ CD8 $^-$ thymocytes, CD3 $\gamma\epsilon$ dimers predominated over CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ dimers at this early stage. However, expression of CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ dimers was rescued when pT α , TCR β and TCR α chains were also expressed at the CD4 $^-$ CD8 $^-$ stage, indicating that the relative amounts of pT α , TCR β and TCR α chains during early thymocyte development control the stability and, therefore, availability of CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ dimers.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The development of T cells is dependent on the signaling capacity of a fully assembled antigen receptor. There are three isoforms of the T cell antigen receptor (TCR) that are expressed during thymocyte development. Two of the three isoforms are mature TCRs, in that they are also expressed on functional T cells residing in the periphery. Both of these isoforms, the $\alpha\beta$ TCR and the $\gamma\delta$ TCR, are comprised of an antigen-binding clonotypic heterodimer (TCR $\alpha\beta$ or TCR $\gamma\delta$) and the signal-transducing invariant CD3 ϵ , CD3 γ , CD3 δ and TCR ζ chains, and it is the expression of the $\alpha\beta$ TCR and $\gamma\delta$ TCR that defines the $\alpha\beta$ and $\gamma\delta$ T cell lineages, respectively. The third isoform, which is known as the preTCR, is similar to the $\alpha\beta$ TCR

in composition except that it expresses the invariant preT α (pT α) chain in place of the TCR α chain. The expression of this isoform on immature thymocytes serves to test whether the TCR β chain is productively rearranged (termed β selection), promotes transition of thymocytes from the CD4 $^-$ CD8 $^-$ (double negative; DN) to the CD4 $^+$ CD8 $^+$ (double positive; DP) stage, and is believed to denote cells choosing the $\alpha\beta$ lineage.

The invariant CD3 and TCR ζ chains play a role not only in TCR signal transduction but also in TCR assembly and surface expression (reviewed in Hayes et al., 2003). TCR assembly starts in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), where all TCR subunits are synthesized and CD3 $\gamma\epsilon$, CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ and TCR $\zeta\zeta$ dimers are formed (Alarcon et al., 1988; Bonifacino et al., 1988; Klausner et al., 1990). The CD3 dimers then pair with individual clonotypic TCR chains (i.e., TCR α , $-\beta$, $-\gamma$, $-\delta$) or pT α via oppositely charged residues in their respective transmembrane domains prior to formation of the disulfide linkage between the two appropriate TCR chains (Alarcon et al., 1988; Klausner et al., 1990; Saint-Ruf et al., 1994; Kearsse et al., 2005). TCR $\zeta\zeta$ is added as the final component of the TCR complex in the ER before the

* Corresponding author at: Department of Microbiology and Immunology, SUNY Upstate Medical University, 750 E. Adams St., 2220 Weiskotten Hall, Syracuse, NY 13210, United States. Tel.: +1 315 464 7692; fax: +1 315 464 4417.

E-mail address: HayesSa@upstate.edu (S.M. Hayes).

entire complex is transported to the Golgi compartment. It is in the Golgi where the carbohydrate moieties on the glycosylated subunits are processed before transportation of the fully assembled TCR complex to the cell surface (reviewed in Klausner et al., 1990). Studies using cell lines, as well as mice with null mutations in the genes encoding the invariant TCR subunits, demonstrate that loss of any one of these subunits results in either undetectable or unstable TCR isoform expression (reviewed in Hayes et al., 2003). Without stable expression *in vivo*, TCR signaling is impaired and profound effects on T cell development ensue (Love et al., 1993; Liu et al., 1993; Malissen et al., 1993, 1995; Dave et al., 1997; Dejarnette et al., 1998; Haks et al., 1998).

There are notable differences among the TCR isoforms in their requirement for CD3 δ for assembly, surface expression and signaling ability. It has been shown that $\alpha\beta$ T cell development but not $\gamma\delta$ T cell development is defective in CD3 δ -deficient mice (Dave et al., 1997). This difference between murine $\alpha\beta$ and $\gamma\delta$ T cells in their requirement for CD3 δ is due to the fact that $\alpha\beta$ TCRs contain CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ dimers while $\gamma\delta$ TCRs do not (Hayes and Love, 2002). As a consequence, the $\alpha\beta$ TCR fails to be stably expressed on CD3 $\delta^{-/-}$ thymocytes, resulting in a block in $\alpha\beta$ T cell development at the DP stage (Dave et al., 1997). Interestingly, CD3 δ -deficient mice have wild-type numbers of DP thymocytes, indicating that the DN to DP transition is not compromised in these mice (Dave et al., 1997; Berger et al., 1997). As assembly, surface expression and signaling by the preTCR are required for the DN to DP transition, these findings suggest that CD3 δ may not be a component of the preTCR. Biochemical analyses of the subunit composition of preTCR complexes expressed on thymic lymphomas, which were transformed at different developmental stages, do not provide a solution to this issue, as their results are conflicting regarding whether CD3 δ is indeed a component of the preTCR (Groettrup et al., 1993; Mombaerts et al., 1995; Jacobs et al., 1996). However, in the one study that analyzed the subunit composition of preTCR complexes expressed on *ex vivo* TCR $\alpha^{-/-}$ thymocytes, CD3 δ is in fact found to be physically associated with the preTCR (Berger et al., 1997). It is important to note that this latter study used unfractionated TCR $\alpha^{-/-}$ thymocytes as the source of preTCR $^{+}$ cells. Because >95% of TCR $\alpha^{-/-}$ thymocytes are DP, it is conceivable that the preTCRs containing CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ dimers represent those expressed on DP thymocytes rather than those expressed on DN thymocytes. If CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ dimers are limiting at the DN stage but not the DP stage, then this could provide an explanation for not only the contradictory reports concerning the subunit composition of the preTCR expressed on the various thymic lymphomas but also why CD3 δ appears to be dispensable for preTCR assembly, surface expression and function.

In the current study, we sought to determine whether the expression of CD3 δ and CD3 γ are developmentally regulated and/or whether there are differences in the availability and/or stability of CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ and CD3 $\gamma\epsilon$ dimers during early T cell development. Here, we report that all CD3 subunits were expressed in high levels at the DN stage compared to their levels at the DP stage. Despite the high level of expression of both CD3 δ and CD3 γ in DN thymocytes, CD3 $\gamma\epsilon$ dimers predominated over CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ dimers at this early stage. Rescue of CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ dimers, however, was observed when the preTCR and/or $\alpha\beta$ TCR were expressed, indicating that CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ dimers are unstable unless they are incorporated into a fully assembled preTCR and/or $\alpha\beta$ TCR. This finding is consistent with those from *in vitro* studies demonstrating the selective degradation of CD3 δ in the absence of one or more of the other TCR subunits (Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1988; Chen et al., 1988; Bonifacio et al., 1989). Taken together, the data show that it is the expression levels of pT α , TCR β and TCR α chains during early thymocyte development that control CD3 $\delta\epsilon$ dimer stability and availability.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Mice

C57BL/6J (B6), B6; SJL-Tg(TcrAND)53Hed/J (AND TCR Tg) and B6.129S2-Tcr α^{tm1Mom} /J (TCR $\alpha^{-/-}$) mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. B6.129S6-Rag2 tm1Fwa (RAG $^{-/-}$) and B6.129-H2-Ab1 tm1Gru B2m tm1Jae (MHC $^{-/-}$) mice were purchased from Taconic Farms. C57BL/6-CD3 δ^{tm1} (CD3 $\delta^{-/-}$) mice were provided by D. Kappes (Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA) and 129-CD3 γ^{tm1} (CD3 $\gamma^{-/-}$) mice were provided by D. Wiest (Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA). B6.129-CD3 ϵ^{tm1} (CD3 $\epsilon^{-/-}$) and B6.129-Lat tm1 (LAT $^{-/-}$) mice were provided by P. Love (NIH, Bethesda, MD). All mice used in this study were bred and maintained in the Department of Laboratory Animal Resources at SUNY Upstate Medical University in accordance with the specifications of the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care. Mouse protocols were approved by the SUNY Upstate Medical University Committee on the Humane Use of Animals. Mice were sacrificed at 6–8 weeks of age, except RAG $^{-/-}$ mice, which were sacrificed at 4 weeks of age.

2.2. Antibodies and reagents

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) used for flow cytometric analysis, cell sorting and immunoprecipitations included anti-CD4 (RM4-5), anti-CD8 α (53-6.7), anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ (UC7-13D5), anti-TCR β (H57-597), anti-CD3 $\gamma\epsilon/\delta\epsilon$ (145-2C11), anti-CD19 (1D3), anti-CD25 (PC61), anti-CD44 (IM7), anti-NK1.1 (PK136), anti-CD49b (DX5), anti-V α 11 (RR8-1), and anti-V β 3 (KJ25), which were purchased from BioLegend, eBiosciences and BD Pharmingen. Antibodies (Abs) used for Western blot analysis were goat anti-CD3 ϵ (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), rabbit anti-CD3 δ (R9) (provided by L. Samelson, NIH, Bethesda, MD), HRP-conjugated Protein A (Transduction Laboratories), and HRP-conjugated donkey anti-goat IgG (H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories). The anti-CD3 $\gamma\epsilon$ hybridoma (7D6) (Coulie et al., 1991) was obtained from D. Wiest and A. Singer (NIH, Bethesda, MD) and was used to produce ascites. Purified anti-CD3 $\gamma\epsilon$ mAb was conjugated to the fluorochrome Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated streptavidin was purchased from Invitrogen. Lastly, Protein A-Sepharose, which was used for all immunoprecipitations, was purchased from Sigma.

2.3. Immunoprecipitations and Western blot analysis

Thymocytes were lysed in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, protease inhibitors (Roche Laboratories), and 1% Brij97 (Aldrich). Lysates were then subjected to three rounds of immunoprecipitation with the anti-CD3 $\gamma\epsilon$ (7D6) mAb followed by one round of immunoprecipitation with the anti-CD3 $\gamma\epsilon/\delta\epsilon$ (145-2C11) mAb. Immunoprecipitated proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes and blotted with Abs against CD3 ϵ and CD3 δ .

2.4. Flow cytometric analysis

Flow cytometric analysis for surface antigens was performed as previously described (Laird and Hayes, 2009). Intracellular staining for CD3 dimers was performed using the Cytofix/Cytoperm kit (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Surface staining for CD3 dimers on immature DN thymocytes from TCR $\alpha^{-/-}$ mice was performed using an enzymatic amplification staining kit (Flow-Amp Systems) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Surface staining for CD3 dimers on thymocytes from

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5917652>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5917652>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)