ARTICLE IN PRESS

Physiology & Behavior xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physiology & Behavior



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/phb

Relationship between ethanol preference and sensation/novelty seeking

Lidia Manzo^a, M^a. José Gómez^a, José E. Callejas-Aguilera^a, Rocío Donaire^a, Marta Sabariego^a,
Alberto Fernández-Teruel^b, Antoni Cañete^b, Gloria Blázquez^b, Mauricio R. Papini^c, Carmen Torres^{d,*}

^a Universidad de Jaén, Spain

5 ^b Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Spain

6 ^c Texas Christian University, USA

7 ^d Universidad de Jaén, Spain

9 HIGHLIGHTS

10

 $\frac{16}{17}$

18

- 11 RHA-I rats respond to novelty more than RLA-I rats.
- 12 RHA-I rats show higher preference for ethanol than RLA-I rats.
- 13 Several responses to novelty exhibit independence from each other.
- Two factors were identified—for low and high ethanol concentrations.
- ¹⁵ Sensation/novelty seeking may help identify risk factors in ethanol preference.

19 ARTICLE INFO

20 Article history:

21 Received 5 February 2014

22 Received in revised form 13 April 2014

- 23 Accepted 2 May 2014
- 24 Available online xxxx

25 Keywords:

26 Roman high- and low-avoidance strains

- 27 Ethanol preference28 Sensation seeking
- 28 Sensation seeking29 Novelty seeking
- in the second

45

40

48

02

Q3 1. Introduction

Sensation seeking [1]—a behavior tendency to search and prefer situations involving novelty, complexity, intensity and variety of stimulation, and the willingness to take physical, social, legal, and financial risks for the sake of such experiences—has been frequently linked to the acquisition of drug consumption and abuse in humans [2–5]. The parallel

Corresponding author at: Department of Psychology, University of Jaén, Jaén, 23071,

test (forced exposure to novelty) and the Y-maze and emergence tests (free choice between novel and familiar 33 locations). Then, rats were tested in 24-h, two-bottle preference tests with water in one bottle and ethanol (2, 4, 34 6, 8, or 10% in successive days). Compared to RLA-I rats, RHA-I rats showed (1) higher frequency and time in 35 head dipping, (2) higher activity, and (3) lower frequency of rearing and grooming in the hole-board test, and (4) 36 remained in the novel arm longer in the Y-maze test. No strain differences were observed in the emergence test. 37 RHA-I rats exhibited higher preference for and consumed more ethanol than RLA-I rats at all concentrations. 38 However, both strains preferred ethanol over water for 2–4% concentrations, but water over ethanol for 6–10% 39 concentrations. Factorial analysis with all the rats pooled identified a two-factor solution, one grouping 40 preferred ethanol concentrations (2–4%) with head dipping and grooming in the hole board, and another 41 factor grouping the nonpreferred ethanol concentrations (6–10%) with activity in the hole board and 42 novel-arm time in the Y-maze test. These results show that preference for ethanol is associated with different 43 aspects of behavior measured in sensation/novelty-seeking tests. 44

High- and low-avoidance Roman inbred rat strains (RHA-I, RLA-I) were selected for extreme differences in two-way 30

active avoidance. RHA-I rats also express less anxiety than RLA-I rats. This study compared male Roman rats in eth- 31

anol preference and sensation/novelty seeking. Rats were first exposed in counterbalanced order to the hole-board 32

concept in research with nonhuman animals, *novelty seeking*, has been 56 used to describe high levels of exploratory activity in response to novel 57 environments and unknown objects or stimuli [6,7]. Animals that exhibit 58 strong novelty-seeking behavior tend to self-administer and are more 59 sensitive to the effects of such drugs of abuse as ethanol, nicotine, stimu- 60 lants, and morphine, a fact suggesting that novelty seeking may indicate 61 vulnerability to addiction [3,7–16]. The link between novelty seeking 62 and addiction is suggested by higher dopamine release in the nucleus 63 accumbens in situations involving both novelty and drugs of abuse, in 64 individuals who exhibit vulnerability to addictive disorders [17–20]. 65

Recent animal models suggest a distinction between the initial pro- 66 pensity to consume drugs and the transition to compulsive drug abuse, 67

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.05.003 0031-9384/© 2014 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Spain, Tel.: + 34 953 21 22 92; fax: + 34 953 21 18 81.

E-mail address: mctorres@ujaen.es (C. Torres).

Please cite this article as: Manzo L, et al, Relationship between ethanol preference and sensation/novelty seeking, Physiol Behav (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.05.003

ABSTRACT

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

L. Manzo et al. / Physiology & Behavior xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

indentifying some behavioral traits that contribute differentially to the 68 69 stages characterizing addiction [3]. It has been proposed that exploratory behavior in forced/inescapable novelty tests (known as "sensation-70 71 seeking," "response-to-novelty," or "novelty-responder" tests) is a good predictor of the proneness to take drugs [21], whereas preference for nov-72elty in free-choice tasks (labeled "novelty-seeking" tests) correlates with 73 74compulsive drug taking and severity of addictive behavior (e.g., persis-75tence in drug seeking, inability to stop taking drugs, enhanced relapse, 76reinstatement following abstinence, etc.) [22,23]. Examples of inescap-77 able tests include exposure to a circular corridor or an open-field arena 78for a variable period varying between 5 min and 2 h [11,13,17,19,24, 79 25]. Examples of free-choice tests include several place preference proce-80 dures based on exposure to one of two or more compartments (or arms 81 in which an object can be found and explored) for one or more trials, followed by a trial in which animals are allowed to freely explore the 82 familiar vs. the novel environment/object [10,12,16,22,26]. The hole-83 board test seems to have both inescapable and free-choice components 84 [27], although it has been frequently considered an inescapable novelty 85 test [7,15]. Consistent with this distinction, impulsivity, a behavioral 86 trait associated to substance-use disorders, is related to preference for 87 novelty in free-choice tests. Rats selected on the five-choice serial reac-88 tion time task for high impulsivity showed more preference for novel 89 90 objects and contexts, and were also faster to initiate exploratory behavior in novel environments, compared to low impulsivity rats [28]. This evi-91 dence suggests that novelty seeking in animals is not a unitary behavioral 92trait, but one that includes some behaviors that differentially predict 93 vulnerability to addiction [3,10,27]. 94

95Selectively-bred rat strains with differential propensity for novelty seeking may provide insights on the relationship between novelty seek-96 97ing and addiction [3,6,29-31]. The Roman high- and low-avoidance rat 98 strains (RHA and RLA, respectively) stand as an example of selectively 99 bred lines that show extreme differences in behavioral traits related to 100 sensation/novelty seeking and addiction [32,33]. Although initially selected for their performance in two-way active avoidance, these 101 Roman strains have shown a host of correlated behavioral traits, includ-102ing anxiety/emotional reactivity [32], impulsivity [34-38], coping styles 103104 in novel/stressful environments [24,39-46], consumption of palatable tastes [41,47], and vulnerability to addiction [33,48]. This experi-105 ment was designed to test RHA-I and RLA-I rats in a battery of tests 106 assessing ethanol preference and sensation/novelty-seeking behav-107 ior, aiming at understanding (1) whether novelty seeking (as assessed 108 109 by the hole-board test) can be dissociated from sensation/novelty responses (as assessed by the emergence test and the Y-maze test), 110 and (2) whether this distinction relates to the acquisition of drug-111 taking behavior. 112

Ethanol preference (modeling the initial propensity to take drugs) 113 114 was assessed by an increasing-dose series in two-bottle tests against water. Using this procedure, Manzo et al. [49] observed that both inbred 115RHA and inbred RLA (RHA-I, RLA-I) rats prefer ethanol over water at low 116 concentrations (e.g., 2-4%), but switch over to water at higher ethanol 117 concentrations (e.g., 8-10%). However, RHA-I rats showed consistently 118 119higher preference for ethanol than RLA-I rats across all concentrations 120 (2–10%). Sensation/novelty seeking was measured in three tests: holeboard test (forced/inescapable; animals are placed in a novel environ-121ment), emergence test (free choice; animals choose to either stay in the 122123familiar location or move out of it), and Y-maze novelty test (free choice; 124animals are given a choice between two familiar arms and a novel arm). Based on the evidence reviewed above, we predicted that (1) RHA-I rats 125would exhibit greater preference for ethanol than RLA-I rats at all concen-126 trations, although both strains would switch from ethanol to water pref-127erence as the ethanol dose increases to 10%; (2) RHA-I rats would tend to 128exhibit higher sensation and novelty-seeking behaviors than RLA-I rats 129in all three tests; and (3) preference for ethanol would correlate with 130sensation-seeking behaviors in the forced/inescapable hole-board test, 131 but not with novelty-seeking behaviors in the free-choice Y-maze novelty 132133 and emergence tests.

2. Method

2.1. Subjects

The subjects were 48 inbred male rats (24 RHA-I, 24 RLA-I) obtained 136 from the Autonomous University of Barcelona, Spain, when they were 137 approximately 3.5 months old. Rats were 4 months old and weighed 138 an average of 406 g (\pm 5.19) for RHA and 399 g (\pm 8.91) for RLA rats at 139 the start of the experiment. Animals were housed individually with free 140 access to food and water throughout the experiment, in a room kept at 141 22–23 °C, and subjected to a 12:12 h light cycle (lights on at 08:00 h). 142 The experiment was conducted following the European Union directive 143 guidelines for the use of animals in research (2010/63/EU) and the 144 Spanish Law (RD 53/2013). 145

2.2. Apparatus

Access to ethanol was provided in the home cage, in 24-h cycles. 147 Home cages were $32 \times 15 \times 30$ cm (L × H × W), made of acrylic, with 148 a wire lid. The floor was covered with saw dust. Each cage was equipped 149 with two glass bottles and an area to store food pellets on the wire lid. 150 Each bottle had a stainless steel sipper tube equipped with a ball to minimize spillage (Bioscape, Castrop-Rauxel, Germany). Fluid consumption 152 was measured by weighing the bottles before and after each 24-h cycle 153 with a Cobos JT-300C digital scale. The different concentrations of ethanol 154 used during the experiment were diluted (v/v) in tap water from an original concentration of 96% (Panreac, Castellar del Vallés, Spain). Animals 156 were weighed daily with a Baxtran scale (model BS3, Girona, Spain). 157

The apparatus for the three novelty tests were placed in a sound- 158 attenuated room under dim illumination. Numerous visual cues 159 were placed on the walls of the testing room and were kept constant 160 across tests. The hole-board apparatus was a white $66 \times 66 \times 47$ cm 161 (L × H × W) wooden box divided into 16 equal squares, containing 162 four holes (diameter: 3.7 cm) in the floor. Partially hidden objects 163 (small metallic toys) were located below the holes; this procedure 164 has been reported to specifically induce novelty-seeking, rather 165 than exploratory behavior or locomotor activity [39].

The Y-maze apparatus was similar to the one previously described 167 by Dellu et al. [26]. The Y-maze was made of acrylic; arms were 168 $50 \times 32 \times 16$ cm (L × H × W). The floor was black and the walls 169 were transparent. The floor of the maze was covered with odor- 170 saturated sawdust. 171

The apparatus used for the emergence test (adapted from Dellu et al. 172 [26]) consisted of a box with two equal compartments measuring 173 $27 \times 28 \times 25$ cm (L × H × W). A door (9 × 9 cm) enabled the rats to 174 pass from one compartment to the other. One of the compartments 175 was completely enclosed by black opaque plastic sides with a lid of 176 the same material, while the other was made of white plastic and had 177 no lid. The white compartment was illuminated by a 60 W lamp placed 178 100 cm above it. 179

2.3. Procedure

The three sensation/novelty tests were conducted in the early 181 part of the light cycle, between 09:30–13.30 h, to reduce the possi-182 ble influence of diurnal variation in activity. The order of these tests 183 was counterbalanced across rats. There were 7 days between succes-184 sive tests. Dependent variables for each test were video recorded and 185 then processed with JWatcher (http://www.jwatcher.ucla.edu) by two 186 observers. These observers received training from a senior researcher 187 (MJG) until all discrepancies were resolved. Then, each observer was 188 assigned half the sessions. Both observers were blind to the strain of 189 the animal being observed. Frequency variables were measured on 190 a ratio scale with an absolute zero and unbounded upper limit. Time 191 variables were measured in seconds with a manual chronometer 192 (Extech, Madrid, Spain). 193

134

135

146

180

Please cite this article as: Manzo L, et al, Relationship between ethanol preference and sensation/novelty seeking, Physiol Behav (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.05.003

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5924130

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5924130

Daneshyari.com