



## Reconciling sensory cues and varied consequences of avian repellents

Scott J. Werner<sup>a,\*</sup>, Frederick D. Provenza<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> USDA/APHIS/ WS/ National Wildlife Research Center, 4101 LaPorte Avenue, Fort Collins, CO 80521-2154, United States

<sup>b</sup> Department of Wildland Resources, Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322-5230, United States

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 12 July 2010

Received in revised form 4 October 2010

Accepted 15 October 2010

#### Keywords:

*Agelaius phoeniceus*

Anthraquinone

Avoidance learning

Chemical repellent

Feeding behavior

Methiocarb

Methyl anthranilate

### ABSTRACT

We learned previously that red-winged blackbirds (*Agelaius phoeniceus*) use affective processes to shift flavor preference, and cognitive associations (colors) to avoid food, subsequent to avoidance conditioning. We conducted three experiments with captive red-winged blackbirds to reconcile varied consequences of treated food with conditioned sensory cues. In Experiment 1, we compared food avoidance conditioned with lithium chloride (LiCl) or naloxone hydrochloride (NHCl) to evaluate cue–consequence specificity. All blackbirds conditioned with LiCl (gastrointestinal toxin) avoided the color (red) and flavor (NaCl) of food experienced during conditioning; birds conditioned with NHCl (opioid antagonist) avoided only the color (not the flavor) of food subsequent to conditioning. In Experiment 2, we conditioned experimentally naïve blackbirds using free choice of colored (red) and flavored (NaCl) food paired with an anthraquinone- (postingestive, cathartic purgative), methiocarb- (postingestive, cholinesterase inhibitor), or methyl anthranilate-based repellent (preingestive, trigeminal irritant). Birds conditioned with the postingestive repellents avoided the color and flavor of foods experienced during conditioning; methyl anthranilate conditioned only color (not flavor) avoidance. In Experiment 3, we used a third group of blackbirds to evaluate effects of novel comparison cues (blue, citric acid) subsequent to conditioning with red and NaCl paired with anthraquinone or methiocarb. Birds conditioned with the postingestive repellents did not avoid conditioned color or flavor cues when novel comparison cues were presented during the test. Thus, blackbirds cognitively associate pre- and postingestive consequences with visual cues, and reliably integrate visual and gustatory experience with postingestive consequences to procure nutrients and avoid toxins.

Published by Elsevier Inc.

Taste, smell, and sight help mammals and birds identify and discriminate among foods, but these senses play somewhat different roles in food preferences and food selection [1]. In Sprague–Dawley rats, flavor aversions are strongest when conditioned with illness caused by X-ray or lithium chloride (LiCl); aversions for audiovisual and spatial cues are strongest when conditioned with electric shock [2] or the pain-like effect of gallamine triethiodide and naloxone hydrochloride (NHCl) [3]. Thus, sensory cues are specifically related to consequences of the subsequent reinforcer (i.e., cue–consequence specificity) [2]. Like the rat, bobwhite quail (*Colinus virginianus*) avoid flavored water subsequent to induced illness; unlike the rat, quail also avoided colored water subsequent to conditioning [4].

We learned previously that red-winged blackbirds (*Agelaius phoeniceus*) use affective processes to shift flavor preference, and cognitive associations (visual cues) to avoid food, subsequent to avoidance conditioning [5]. Unlike conditioned flavor avoidance, blackbirds were conditioned to avoid red food only when blue food was made familiar prior to conditioning [5]. Whereas no effective avian repellents are presently registered for agricultural applications

in the United States, nonlethal repellents that effectively condition food avoidance are needed to reduce bird damages to newly planted and ripening crops. Thus, we recommended further evaluation of color–flavor–feedback relationships as part of avian repellent applications for reducing agricultural damage caused by blackbirds [5].

Based upon these findings, we wanted to investigate cue–consequence specificity among red-winged blackbirds using conditioned color and flavor cues, and varied consequences of treated food. To do so, we first compared avoidance conditioned with varied consequences via intraperitoneal administration. We then compared color and flavor avoidance conditioned via free choice of food treated with one of three avian repellents that exhibited varying modes of action. After evaluating cue–consequence specificity, we used novel comparison cues to test avoidance conditioned with the postingestive repellents.

We tested four hypotheses. If cue–consequence specificity [2,3] is behaviorally adaptive for red-winged blackbirds (hypothesis 1), then we predicted that blackbirds would avoid flavor cues previously paired with gastrointestinal toxicosis and color cues previously paired with peripheral distress. The terms primary and secondary repellents have been used to characterize the modes of action of chemical repellents [6]. If primary repellents concurrently elicit reflexive withdrawal or escape behavior from specific or combined sensory

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 970 266 6136; fax: +1 970 266 6138.  
E-mail address: [Scott.J.Werner@aphis.usda.gov](mailto:Scott.J.Werner@aphis.usda.gov) (S.J. Werner).

stimuli, including odor, taste [7], and irritation [6] (hypothesis 2), we predicted that blackbirds would not avoid sensory cues previously paired with a trigeminal irritant. If secondary repellents subsequently yield learned avoidance via association between adverse post-ingestive effects and specific sensory cues, including taste, odor [7], and visual cues [6] (hypothesis 3), we predicted that blackbirds would avoid flavor and color cues previously paired with post-ingestive repellents. If blackbirds use flavor–feedback relationships (i.e., affective processes) to shift preference for both novel and familiar flavors [5] (hypothesis 4), we predicted that blackbirds would avoid novel flavor cues (not novel color cues) subsequent to conditioning with post-ingestive repellents.

## 1. General methods

### 1.1. Bird subjects and testing facilities

We conducted three feeding experiments with red-winged blackbirds at the outdoor animal research facility of the National Wildlife Research Center (NWRC) in Fort Collins, CO (USA). All birds were maintained in  $4.9 \times 2.4 \times 2.4$  m cages within an open-sided building for  $\geq 2$  weeks prior to the experiments. During quarantine and holding, birds were provided free access to grit (sand) and maintenance food (2 millet: 1 milo: 1 safflower: 1 sunflower). Feeding experiments were conducted within individual cages ( $0.9 \times 1.8 \times 0.9$  m) in an open-sided building. We provided water *ad libitum* to all birds throughout the experiments.

### 1.2. Statistical analyses

The dependent measure for preference testing associated with Experiments 1–3 was average daily consumption of colored or flavored rice throughout each 4-day test. Test consumption data for each conditioning group of Experiments 1–3 were subjected to a repeated-measures ANOVA. The random effect of our models was bird subjects, the between-subject effects were cues (test colors and flavors) and test groups, and the within-subject effect was test day. We evaluated the cue-by-test group and cue-by-test group-by-day interactions using the mixed procedure of SAS. We used Tukey's tests to separate the means of significant ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) interactions and descriptive statistics (mean  $\pm$  SE) to summarize test consumption.

## 2. Experiment one

### 2.1. Method

We compared food avoidance conditioned with LiCl or NHCl to evaluate cue–consequence specificity among red-winged blackbirds. We previously observed baseline preference for red ( $8.7 \pm 0.5$  g; average  $\pm$  SE) vs blue rice ( $0.5 \pm 0.4$  g), and baseline indifference for rice treated with NaCl ( $4.8 \pm 0.6$  g) vs citric acid ( $3.7 \pm 0.6$  g) [5]. Thus, we paired induced gastrointestinal toxicosis (LiCl) or opioid antagonism (NHCl) with otherwise preferred (red) and neutral (NaCl) sensory cues, and evaluated resultant color and flavor preferences. We captured 44 adult red-winged blackbirds (M) near Fort Collins, CO and transported them to NWRC. We transferred birds to individual cages following group quarantine and holding, and offered each bird unadulterated seed rice (*ad libitum*) in each of two food bowls for 5 days (Wed–Sun).

Following acclimation, we offered each bird two food bowls at 0800–0930 h, daily for four pretreatment days (Mon–Thur). Both food bowls contained 30 g of seed rice treated with blue pigment and citric acid (Table 1). Seed treatments included 100 g of blue #2 (FD&C aluminum lake dispersion; Roha U.S.A., L.L.C., St. Louis, MO), 150 g citric acid (Sigma-Aldrich, Inc., Bellefonte, PA), and 1 l of water [5]. We uniformly applied aqueous solutions to 10 kg certified seed rice

**Table 1**

Schedule for conditioning ( $n = 22$  birds per conditioning group) and preference testing ( $n = 11$  birds per test group) associated with conditioned avoidance among red-winged blackbirds in Experiment 1.

Experiment 1	Color cue	Flavor cue	Consequence
Pretreatment exposure (4 days)	Blue	Citric acid	
Conditioning (1 day)			
Group 1	Red	NaCl	Lithium chloride
Group 2	Red	NaCl	Naloxone hydrochloride
Preference testing (4 days)			
Color preference test			
Group 1A	Red vs blue		
Group 2A	Red vs blue		
Flavor preference test			
Group 1B		NaCl vs citric acid	
Group 2B		NaCl vs citric acid	

Conditioning groups 1 and 2 were conditioned with lithium chloride and naloxone hydrochloride, respectively, to avoid an otherwise preferred color (red) and neutral flavor (NaCl). Daily food consumption in each of two food bowls was measured to evaluate color preference (test groups 1A and 2A) and flavor preference (test groups 1B and 2B) subsequent to conditioning.

(Louisiana State University Rice Research Station, Crowley) using a rotating mixer and household spray equipment for all seed treatments (Experiments 1–3). We randomly assigned conditioning treatments between two groups ( $n = 22$  birds per each of 2 conditioning groups) at the conclusion of the pretreatment.

We removed the pretreatment diet at 1600–1700 h on Thursday of the pretreatment (i.e., the day prior to conditioning). We offered two food bowls at 0430 h on the subsequent day (Friday). Both food bowls contained 30 g of seed rice treated with red pigment and NaCl (Table 1). Seed treatments included 100 g of red #40 (FD&C aluminum lake dispersion; Roha U.S.A., L.L.C.), 300 g NaCl (Sigma-Aldrich, Inc.), and 1 l of water [5]. For the purpose of avoidance conditioning, birds in conditioning group 1 received a 10 ml/kg intraperitoneal injection of 0.3 M LiCl and birds in conditioning group 2 received a 10 ml/kg intraperitoneal injection of 0.003 M NHCl between 0900–1000 h on Friday (Table 1). We measured rice consumption at 1100–1200 h on Friday. For each conditioning group, we ranked blackbirds based upon conditioning rice consumption and assigned them to one of two test groups ( $n = 11$  birds per each of 4 test groups). We randomly assigned test cues among groups. We provided maintenance food (2 millet: 1 milo: 1 safflower: 1 sunflower; *ad libitum*) in each of two food bowls to all birds for three days (Fri–Sun) following conditioning, beginning 1100–1200 h on Friday.

We offered two food bowls (30 g rice each) at 0800–0930 h, daily for four days of preference testing (Mon–Thur). For conditioning groups 1 and 2, we evaluated color preference with test groups 1A and 2A, respectively (Table 1). We evaluated flavor preference with test groups 1B and 2B. The north–south placement of food bowls was randomized on the first day and alternated on subsequent days of the preference test. We measured daily rice consumption, and accounted for rice spillage and desiccation throughout preference testing (Tue–Fri).

### 2.2. Results and discussion

Blackbirds conditioned with LiCl (Fig. 1a) manifest both conditioned color (Tukey  $p = 0.0001$ ) and flavor avoidance (Tukey  $p = 0.0001$ ) during the test. Average consumption of red and blue rice was 0 g ( $\pm 0.0$ ) and 10.2 g ( $\pm 0.4$ ), respectively, and average consumption of rice treated with NaCl and citric acid was 0.2 g ( $\pm 0.2$ ) and 10.7 g ( $\pm 0.3$ ), respectively. Thus, we observed a cue-by-test group interaction for the red, NaCl, LiCl conditioning group ( $F(3, 30) = 624.83$ ,  $p = 0.0001$ ). We also observed cue–test group–day interaction ( $F(12, 119) = 3.71$ ,  $p = 0.0001$ ); blackbirds consumed more blue rice on day 3 (Tukey

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5925713>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5925713>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)