

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Atherosclerosis

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/atherosclerosis



Review

Effect of fructose on postprandial triglycerides: A systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled feeding trials



D. David Wang ^{a,b}, John L. Sievenpiper ^{b,c,*}, Russell J. de Souza ^{b,d}, Adrian I. Cozma ^{a,b}, Laura Chiavaroli ^{a,b}, Vanessa Ha ^{a,b}, Arash Mirrahimi ^{b,e}, Amanda J. Carleton ^{b,f}, Marco Di Buono ^a, Alexandra L. Jenkins ^b, Lawrence A. Leiter ^{a,b,g,h,i}, Thomas M.S. Wolever ^{a,b,g,h,i}, Joseph Beyene ^{d,j,k}, Cyril W.C. Kendall ^{a,d,l}, David J.A. Jenkins ^{a,b,g,h,i}

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 23 May 2013
Received in revised form
9 October 2013
Accepted 22 October 2013
Available online 2 November 2013

Keywords: Sugar Nutrition Lipids and lipoprotein metabolism Clinical trial Systematic review Meta-analysis

ABSTRACT

Background: In the absence of consistent clinical evidence, concerns have been raised that fructose raises postprandial triglycerides.

Purpose: A systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted to assess the effect of fructose on postprandial triglycerides.

Data sources: Relevant studies were identified from MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane databases (through September 3, 2013).

Data selection: Relevant clinical trials of \geq 7-days were included in the analysis.

Data extraction: Two independent reviewers extracted relevant data with disagreements reconciled by consensus. The Heyland Methodological Quality Score (MQS) assessed study quality. Data were pooled by the generic inverse variance method using random effects models and expressed as standardized mean differences (SMD) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Heterogeneity was assessed (Cochran Q statistic) and quantified (I^2 statistic).

Data synthesis: Eligibility criteria were met by 14 isocaloric trials (n=290), in which fructose was exchanged isocalorically for other carbohydrate in the diet, and two hypercaloric trials (n=33), in which fructose supplemented the background diet with excess energy from high-dose fructose compared with the background diet alone (without the excess energy). There was no significant effect in the isocaloric trials (SMD: 0.14 [95% CI: -0.02, 0.30]) with evidence of considerable heterogeneity explained by a single trial. Hypercaloric trials, however, showed a significant postprandial triglyceride raising-effect of fructose (SMD: 0.65 [95% CI: 0.30, 1.01]).

Limitations: Most of the available trials were small, short, and of poor quality. Interpretation of the isocaloric trials is complicated by the large influence of a single trial.

^a Department of Nutritional Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

^b Toronto 3D Knowledge Synthesis and Clinical Trials Unit, Clinical Nutrition and Risk Factor Modification Centre, Toronto, ON, Canada

^c Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

d Department Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

^e School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, CANADA

^f Undergraduate Medical Education (MD Program), Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

^g Keenan Research Center of the Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, Toronto, ON, Canada

h Division of Endocrinology, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada

¹Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

^j Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

^k Population Health Sciences, Research Institute Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON, Canada

¹College of Pharmacy and Nutrition, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada

^{*} Corresponding author. Toronto 3D Knowledge Synthesis and Clinical Trials Unit, Clinical Nutrition and Risk Factor Modification Centre, St. Michael's Hospital, #6137-61 Queen Street East, Toronto, ON M5C 2T2, Canada. Tel.: +1 416 867 7475; fax: +1 416 867 7495.

Conclusions: Pooled analyses show that fructose in isocaloric exchange for other carbohydrate does not increase postprandial triglycerides, although an effect cannot be excluded under all conditions. Fructose providing excess energy does increase postprandial triglycerides. Larger, longer, and higher-quality trials are needed

Protocol registration: ClinicalTrials.gov identifier, NCT01363791.

© 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. Open access under CC BY-NC-SA license.

Contents

| 1. | . Introduction | | .126 |
|--|--|--|-------|
| 2. | Metho | Methods | |
| | 2.1. | Study selection | . 126 |
| | 2.2. | Data extraction | . 127 |
| | 2.3. | Statistical analyses | . 127 |
| | 2.4. | Role of the funding source | . 128 |
| Results | | ts | .129 |
| | 3.1. | Search results | . 129 |
| | 3.2. | Trial characteristics | . 129 |
| | 3.3. | Isocaloric feeding trials | . 129 |
| | 3.4. | Hypercaloric feeding trials | . 130 |
| | 3.5. | Publication bias | |
| 4. | Discus | ssion | .130 |
| | Funding | | .132 |
| | Contributions | | .132 |
| Conception and design | | onception and design | . 132 |
| | Analysis and interpretation of the data Drafting of the article | | . 132 |
| | | | |
| | | ritical revision of the article for important intellectual content | . 132 |
| | | nal approval of the article | . 132 |
| | | . 132 | |
| | Obtaining of funding | | . 132 |
| Administrative, technical, or logistic support | | dministrative, technical, or logistic support | . 132 |
| | Co | ollection and assembly of data | . 132 |
| | Gı | uarantors | . 132 |
| | Comp | peting interests | .132 |
| 7 i | | lementary data | |
| | | ences | . 133 |
| | | | |

1. Introduction

Postprandial lipids were first associated with atherogenesis in 1979 by Zilversmit [1]. Several studies have demonstrated that nonfasting trigycerides, in particular peak postprandial triglycerides, are better predictors of cardiovascular risk than fasting triglycerides. The Copenhagen City Heart Study demonstrated an association between increased nonfasting triglycerides and myocardial infarction and death with postprandial triglycerides 4 h after the last meal (within the peak range) the strongest predictors of cardiovascular events [2]. In the Women's Health Study, nonfasting triglyceride levels were more strongly correlated with cardiovascular disease incidence than fasting triglycerides, which lost significance after adjustment for total and HDL cholesterol [3]. Based on these data, the American Heart Association has proposed an initial lipid screen for non-fasting triglycerides with a cut point of 200 mg/dL (2.26 mM) [4].

Dietary factors which contribute to raised postprandial triglycerides have become a focus of concern. Particular attention has been focussed on the role of fructose. Highly reproducible animal models of fructose overfeeding have shown raised triglycerides secondary to increases in triglyceride secretion [5], impaired VLDL clearance, and enhanced fatty acid esterification [6]. Whether these findings hold true in humans under "real-world" intake patterns is unclear. Earlier systematic reviews and meta-analyses of controlled feeding trials have suggested a dose threshold for triglyceride-

raising effects of fructose with increases in fasting triglyceride seen only at doses >60-g/day in type 2 diabetes [7] and ≥ 100 -g/day across different metabolic phenotypes [8]. The threshold appears to be even lower for postprandial triglycerides with increases seen only at ≥ 50 -g/d [8], a threshold roughly equivalent to the average fructose intake in the US [9]. This effect of fructose on postprandial triglycerides, however, is derived largely from acute, single-bolus studies [8]. The effect of fructose on postprandial triglycerides under chronic feeding conditions needs further investigation.

To assess the effects of longer-term fructose intake on postprandial triglycerides, we conducted a systematic review and metaanalysis of controlled feeding trials.

2. Methods

We followed the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions for the planning and conduct of this meta-analysis [10]. The reporting followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [11]. The review protocol is available at ClinicalTrials.gov (registration number: NCT01363791).

2.1. Study selection

We searched Ovid MEDLINE (1946 through September 3, 2013), Embase (1980 through September 3, 2013) and The Cochrane

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5945914

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5945914

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>