CHEST

Special Features

CHEST IMAGING

A Practical Algorithmic Approach to the Diagnosis and Management of Solitary Pulmonary Nodules

Part 1: Radiologic Characteristics and Imaging Modalities

Vishal K. Patel, MBBS; Sagar K. Naik, MBBS; David P. Naidich, MD, FCCP; William D. Travis, MD, FCCP; Jeremy A. Weingarten, MD, FCCP; Richard Lazzaro, MD; David D. Gutterman, MD, FCCP; Catherine Wentowski, MD; Horiana B. Grosu, MD; and Suhail Raoof, MBBS, FCCP

The solitary pulmonary nodule (SPN) is frequently encountered on chest imaging and poses an important diagnostic challenge to clinicians. The differential diagnosis is broad, ranging from benign granulomata and infectious processes to malignancy. Important concepts in the evaluation of SPNs include the definition, morphologic characteristics via appropriate imaging modalities, and the calculation of pretest probability of malignancy. Morphologic differentiation of SPN into solid or subsolid types is important in the choice of follow-up and further management. In this first part of a two-part series, we describe the morphologic characteristics and various imaging modalities available to further characterize SPN. In Part 2, we will describe the determination of pretest probability of malignancy and an algorithmic approach to the diagnosis of SPN. CHEST 2013; 143(3):825–839

 $\label{eq:abbreviations: ATS = American Thoracic Society; ERS = European Respiratory Society; FDG = {}^{18}F-2-deoxy-2-fluoro-D-glucose; GGN = ground glass nodule; IASLC = International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer; NPV = negative predictive value; NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer; PPV = positive predictive value; SPN = solitary pulmonary nodule; SUV = standardized uptake value$

The solitary pulmonary nodule (SPN) is defined as a radiographic opacity ≤ 3 cm in diameter with at least two-thirds of its margins surrounded by lung parenchyma.^{1,2} Implied in this definition is the exclusion of lymph nodes, atelectasis, and postobstructive pneumonia. However, it may be difficult at times to exclude intraparenchymal lymph nodes based on just radiologic appearance. SPNs have been noted in 0.09% to 7% of all chest radiographs.³⁻⁵ A review of eight large studies on lung cancer screening using CT imaging⁶⁻¹³ documented the prevalence of SPN from 8% to 51%, and the prevalence of malignancy from 1.1% to 12%.14 The etiologic spectrum of SPN represents a veritable minefield of diseases, including benign conditions such as hamartomas to potentially fatal ones such as primary lung cancer (Table 1). Establishing the etiology of a SPN in a timely and accurate manner, therefore, assumes critical importance, since surgical resection in a patient with early-stage

lung cancer provides the highest chance of cure. By the same token, avoiding thoracic surgery for a benign SPN whenever possible does obviate significant morbidity. We will describe an algorithmic approach to diagnosis of SPN in Part 2 (see page 840). 15

CLINICAL EVALUATION

A SPN does not typically herald its presence with clinical symptoms, nor does it lend itself to self-awareness like melanoma or a breast lump. Although the SPN may be insidious, a variety of clinical risk factors such as advancing age and history of smoking have been associated with a higher OR of the SPN being malignant. ¹⁵⁻¹⁹ Elucidating a thorough history of prior malignancy is crucial; the majority of SPNs detected in patients with a history of prior malignancy are malignant. ²⁰⁻²² Interestingly, the malignant SPNs are equally or more likely to represent primary lung cancer rather

journal.publications.chestnet.org CHEST / 143 / 3 / MARCH 2013 825

than metastasis from the extrapulmonary malignancy, with the notable exceptions of sarcoma, melanoma, and testicular carcinoma (Table 2). The presence of mediastinal lymph node enlargement on CT scan strongly suggests a new primary lung cancer rather than metastasis.²⁰ Interstitial lung diseases, such as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, asbestosis, and scleroderma are associated with an increased incidence of lung cancer.²³ The prevalence of lung cancer in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, for example, ranges from 9% to 38%, with a predilection for peripheral lung areas in the lower lobes in elderly male smokers.²⁴⁻²⁹ Finally, residence in or travel to an area with endemic fungal pathogens could suggest a benign, infectious SPN in the correct clinical context. For example, coccidioidomycosis is endemic in the southwestern United States and Mexico, and often presents as a SPN on chest CT scans.³⁰ Cryptococcus³¹⁻³³ infection and histoplasmosis can also present as a SPN.

RADIOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS: CT SCAN

Specific morphologic characteristics of SPNs on imaging may help differentiate benign from malignant SPNs. It is recommended that CT images be thin section, with contiguous 1-mm images through nodules. Both lung and mediastinal windows should be obtained, the former for the edges, the latter for solid components. Low-dose (milliamperes second [mAs] <80) CT scan can be used for this purpose.

Growth Rate

Malignant, solid SPNs have a volume doubling time of 20-400 days, $^{34-38}$ with a majority having volume doubling times of significantly <100 days. 39 A volume doubling time >400 days suggests slow growth and is usually associated with benign SPNs, whereas volume doubling time <20 days indicates very rapid growth, usually attributable to infectious processes. 40 It is important to realize that since the volume of a sphere equals $4\pi r^3/3$, an increase in nodule diameter by only 26% indicates doubling of volume. In other words, a

Manuscript received June 12, 2012; revision accepted October 10, 2012.

Affiliations: From the New York Methodist Hospital (Drs Patel, Naik, Weingarten, Lazzaro, Wentowski, Grosu, and Raoof), Brooklyn, NY; New York University Langone Medical Center (Dr Naidich), New York, NY; Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (Dr Travis), New York, NY; and Medical College of Wisconsin (Dr Gutterman), Milwaukee, WI.

Correspondence to: Suhail Raoof, MBBS, FCCP, New York Methodist Hospital, Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care, 506 Sixth St, Brooklyn, NY 11215; e-mail: suhailraoof@gmail.com © 2013 American College of Chest Physicians. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Physicians. See online for more details.

DOI: 10.1378/chest.12-0960

Table 1—Differential Diagnosis of Solitary Pulmonary Nodules

Infectious

TB (tuberculoma)

Round pneumonia, organizing pneumonia

Lung abscess

Fungal: aspergillosis, blastomycosis, cryptococcosis,

histoplasmosis, coccidioidomycosis

Parasitic: amoebiasis, echinococcosis, Dirofilaria immitis

(dog heartworm)

Measles

Nocardia

Atypical mycobacteria

Pneumocystis jiroveci

Septic embolus

Neoplastic

Benign

Hamartoma

Chondroma

Fibroma

Lipoma

Neural tumor (Schwannoma, neurofibroma)

Sclerosing hemangioma

Plasma cell granuloma

Endometriosis

Malignant

Lung cancer

Primary pulmonary carcinoid

Solitary metastasis

Teratoma

Leiomyoma

Vascular

Arteriovenous malformation

Pulmonary infarct

Pulmonary artery aneurysm

Pulmonary venous varix

Hematoma

Congenital

Bronchogenic cyst

Lung sequestration

Bronchial atresia with mucoid impaction

Inflammatory

Rheumatoid arthritis

Granulomatosis with polyangiitis (Wegener)

Microscopic polyangiitis

Sarcoidosis

Lymphatic

Intrapulmonary or subpleural lymph node

Lymphoma

Outside lung fields

Skin nodule

Nipple shadows

Rib fracture

Pleural thickening, mass or fluid (pseudotumor

[ie, loculated fluid])

Miscellaneous

Rounded atelectasis

Lipoid pneumonia

Amyloidosis

Mucoid impaction (mucocele)

Infected bulla

Pulmonary scar

826 Special Features

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5956048

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5956048

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>