ELSEVIED

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Cardiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijcard



Treatment of cachexia: An overview of recent developments



Stephan von Haehling a,b,*, Stefan D. Anker a

- ^a Division of Innovative Clinical Trials, Department of Cardiology and Pneumology, University Medical Centre Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany
- ^b Applied Cachexia Research, Department of Cardiology, Charité Medical School, Campus Virchow-Klinikum, Berlin, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Available online 16 October 2014

Keywords: Cachexia Cancer Wasting Therapy

ABSTRACT

Body wasting in the context of chronic illness is associated with reduced quality of life and impaired survival. Recent clinical trials have investigated different approaches to improve patients' skeletal muscle mass and strength, exercise capacity, and survival in the context of cachexia and body wasting, many of them in patients with cancer. The aim of this article is to summarise clinical trials published over the last two years. Therapeutic approaches discussed here include appetite stimulants like megestrol acetate, L-carnitine, or melatonin, anti-inflammatory drugs like thalidomide, pentoxyphylline, or a monoclonal antibody against interleukin- 1α as well as ghrelin and the ghrelin agonist anamorelin, nutritional support, and anabolics like enobosarm and testosterone.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd.

1. Introduction

Changes in body composition that occur with chronic diseases are usually considered unwanted and are associated with loss of skeletal muscle mass, fat mass, or both [1,2]. The loss of lean and fat tissue may in turn be associated with weight loss. Such involuntary weight loss has been termed cachexia. Much confusion exists with regard to the different terminologies [3]. A recent consensus definition suggests diagnosing cachexia when there is loss of more than 5% of body weight over 12 months or less in the presence of a chronic illness such as heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), chronic kidney disease, or cancer [4], altogether providing the basis for an estimated 9 million subjects being affected by cachexia in industrialized countries alone [5]. The mere loss of skeletal muscle mass in the limbs that exceeds two standard deviations of the mean of a healthy young reference population has been termed sarcopenia [6–8]. Some researchers have suggested to restrict the use of the term sarcopenia to apparently healthy elderly subjects who lose muscle mass as a consequence of the ageing process. In the context of chronic illness, the terms muscle wasting, myopenia, or even muscle wasting disease have been used or proposed [7,9,10]. In contrast to cachexia, sarcopenia and muscle wasting are not usually associated with weight loss, but with reduced exercise capacity and reduced quality of life [11]. Whilst the development of cachexia is mostly associated with impaired survival, the development of sarcopenia can be associated with poor survival as well. The

E-mail address: stephan.von.haehling@web.de (S. von Haehling).

two conditions have seen much attention in recent years, first, with regard to their definition [4,6], second, with regard to their pathophysiology [12–14], and third, with regard to their treatment [15,16]. In fact, pathophysiological pathways of the two clinical entities can, but do not necessarily have to overlap. For clinicians actively involved in the care of patients at risk of cachexia or muscle wasting, i.e. surgeons, oncologists, nephrologists, cardiologists, and many more, the available terms often create more confusion than help, making the diagnosis of cachexia and muscle wasting a rarity [17]. This is unfortunate, in particular because both require medical attention, and treatment approaches are currently underway that will hopefully enable physicians to maintain their patients' muscle mass and body weight and therefore their ability to maintain activities of daily living for longer than is currently possible. The aim of this article is to highlight clinical intervention trials that have been published over the last 2 years with the primary purpose of treating cachexia. Studies that have shown beneficial results in animal experiments only using approaches such as myostatin blockade [18], use of green tea [19], ursodeoxycholic acid [20], or inhibition of nuclear factor-KB [21] are not discussed.

2. Appetite stimulants

Loss of appetite appears in many patients with cancer, which is not only frequent, but also associated with poor prognosis and reduced quality of life. The origin of appetite loss has been deemed multifactorial, and a recent study failed to show a genetic association of appetite loss in patients with cancer [22]. However, overexpression of proinflammatory cytokines like interleukin-1, interleukin-6, tumour necrosis factor, or interferon-γ as well as macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1/growth differentiation factor 15 (MIC-1/GDF-15) appears to be involved [23,24]. Activation of these factors has effects on peripheral (lipolysis, proteolysis, insulin resistance) as well as on central pathways

[☆] This review is published in identical form also elsewhere [reference: von Haehling S, Anker SD. Treatment of Cachexia: An Overview of Recent Developments. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2014 Dec;15(12):866-872. (doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2014.09.007. Epub 2014 Nov 20.)].

^{*} Corresponding author at: Division of Innovative Clinical Trials, Department of Cardiology and Pneumology, University Medicine Centre Göttingen, Robert-Koch-Str. 40, 37075 Göttingen, Germany.

Table 1Cachexia intervention trials published between 2012 and 2014.

Reference	Study design	Disease	Number of patients ^a	Duration	Intervention groups	Main results
Appetite stimulation						
Wen et al. [27]	Single centre, randomised, controlled,	Cancer with loss of 5% of body	102	8 weeks	(1) Megestrol acetate 160 mg twice daily p.o. plus	Increases in body weight, quality of life, appetite,
	open-label	weight	(93)		thalidomide 50 mg twice daily p.o.	and grip strength.
					(2) Megestrol acetate 160 mg twice daily p.o.	Increases in body weight and appetite.
Greig et al. [28]	Single centre, non-randomised,	Cancer	13		Formoterol 80 μg/day p.o. plus megestrol acetate	Six responders with an increase in quadriceps
	uncontrolled, open-label		(7)		480 mg/day p.o.	volume; trend for increases in quadriceps and handgrip strength.
Maddedu et al. [30]	Single centre, randomised, controlled, open-label	Cancer with weight loss 5%	60	4 months	(1) L-Carnitine 4 g/day p.o. plus celecoxib	Increases in lean body mass and 6-minute walk
					300 mg/day p.o.	distance. No significant difference between the two
					(2) (2) (3)	groups.
					(2) L-Carnitine 4 g/day p.o. plus celecoxib 300 mg/day p.o. plus megestrol acetate	
					320 mg/day p.o.	
Kraft et al. [31]	Multi centre, randomised, placebo- controlled, double-blind	Advanced pancreatic cancer	72 (26)	12 weeks	(1) L-Carnitine 4 g/day p.o.	Weight gain due to increases in body cell mass and
					(1) 2 carmone 1 g, day plot	body fat.
			()		(2) Placebo	No effect.
Cuvelier et al. [32]	Single centre, randomised, placebo- controlled, double-blind	Cancer with weight loss ≥5%	26 children	90 days	(1) Megestrol acetate suspension 7.5 mg/kg/day	Increases in body weight, body mass index, and mid
					p.o.	upper arm circumference.
					(2) Placebo	Weight loss.
Del Fabbro et al.	Single centre, randomised, placebo-	Cancer with weight loss \geq 5%	73	28 days	(1) Melatonin 20 mg at bedtime p.o.	Terminated early for futility.
[34]	controlled, double-blind		(48)		(2) Placebo	
Inflammation						
Hong et al. [35]	Single centre, non-randomised,	Cancer	52	8 weeks	Monoclonal anti-interleukin- 1α antibody (MABp1)	Decrease in serum interleukin-6, increase lean body
	uncontrolled, open-label		(42)		3.75 mg/kg IV	mass, partial response in 1 of 34, stable disease in 10 of 34 patients.
Yennurajalingam	Single centre, randomised, placebo-	Cancer with weight loss \geq 5%	31	14 days	(1) Thalidomide 100 mg/day p.o.	Decrease and fat mass and fat-free mass.
et al. [38]	controlled, double-blind		(21)	augo	(2) Placebo	No effect.
Davis et al. [39]	Single centre, non-randomised,	Cancer	35 ′	14 days	Thalidomide 50 mg po at bedtime, up-titrated to	Improvments in appetite, insomnia, and quality of

(continued on next page)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5968420

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5968420

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>