



A Clinical Model to Identify Patients With High-Risk Coronary Artery Disease

Yelin Yang, BHSc,* Li Chen, MSc,* Yeung Yam, BSc,* Stephan Achenbach, MD,† Mouaz Al-Mallah, MD, MSc,‡ Daniel S. Berman, MD,§ Matthew J. Budoff, MD,|| Filippo Cademartiri, MD, PhD,¶ Tracy Q. Callister, MD,** Hyuk-Jae Chang, MD, PhD,†† Victor Y. Cheng, MD,§ Kavitha Chinnaiyan, MD,‡‡ Ricardo Cury, MD,§§ Augustin Delago, MD,||| Allison Dunning, MSc,¶¶ Gudrun Feuchtnner, MD,## Martin Hadamitzky, MD,## Jörg Hausleiter, MD,*** Ronald P. Karlsberg, MD,††† Philipp A. Kaufmann, MD,††† Yong-Jin Kim, MD,§§§ Jonathon Leipsic, MD,|||| Troy LaBounty, MD,§ Fay Lin, MD,¶¶¶ Erica Maffei, MD,¶¶ Gilbert L. Raff, MD,‡‡ Leslee J. Shaw, PhD,**** Todd C. Villines, MD,†††† James K. Min, MD,§ Benjamin J.W. Chow, MD*

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES This study sought to develop a clinical model that identifies patients with and without high-risk coronary artery disease (CAD).

BACKGROUND Although current clinical models help to estimate a patient's pre-test probability of obstructive CAD, they do not accurately identify those patients with and without high-risk coronary anatomy.

METHODS Retrospective analysis of a prospectively collected multinational coronary computed tomographic angiography (CTA) cohort was conducted. High-risk anatomy was defined as left main diameter stenosis $\geq 50\%$, 3-vessel disease with diameter stenosis $\geq 70\%$, or 2-vessel disease involving the proximal left anterior descending artery. Using a cohort of 27,125, patients with a history of CAD, cardiac transplantation, and congenital heart disease were excluded. The model was derived from 24,251 consecutive patients in the derivation cohort and an additional 7,333 nonoverlapping patients in the validation cohort.

RESULTS The risk score consisted of 9 variables: age, sex, diabetes, hypertension, current smoking, hyperlipidemia, family history of CAD, history of peripheral vascular disease, and chest pain symptoms. Patients were divided into 3 risk categories: low (≤ 7 points), intermediate (8 to 17 points) and high (≥ 18 points). The model was statistically robust with area under the curve of 0.76 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.75 to 0.78) in the derivation cohort and 0.71 (95% CI: 0.69 to 0.74) in the validation cohort. Patients who scored ≤ 7 points had a low negative likelihood ratio (< 0.1), whereas patients who scored ≥ 18 points had a high specificity of 99.3% and a positive likelihood ratio (8.48). In the validation group, the prevalence of high-risk CAD was 1% in patients with ≤ 7 points and 16.7% in those with ≥ 18 points.

CONCLUSIONS We propose a scoring system, based on clinical variables, that can be used to identify patients at high and low pre-test probability of having high-risk CAD. Identification of these populations may detect those who may benefit from a trial of medical therapy and those who may benefit most from an invasive strategy. (J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2015;8:427-34) © 2015 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.

From the *Department of Medicine (Cardiology), University of Ottawa Heart Institute, Ottawa, Canada; †Department of Medicine, University of Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany; ‡Department of Medicine, Wayne State University, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, Michigan; §Department of Imaging, Cedars Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California; ||Department of Medicine, Harbor UCLA Medical Center, Los Angeles, California; ¶Department of Radiology, Giovanni XXIII Hospital, Monastier di Treviso, Italy; #Department of Radiology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands; **Tennessee Heart and Vascular Institute, Hendersonville, Tennessee; ††Division of Cardiology, Severance Cardiovascular Hospital, Seoul South Korea; ‡‡Department of Cardiology, William Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak, Michigan; §§Baptist Cardiac and Vascular Institute, Miami, Florida; |||Capitol Cardiology Associates, Albany, New York; ¶¶Department of Public Health, Weill Cornell Medical College and the New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, New York; ##Department of Radiology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria; ***Division of Cardiology, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany; †††Cardiovascular Medical Group, Los Angeles, California; ††††Cardiac Imaging, University Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; §§§Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, South Korea; ||||Department of Medicine and Radiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada; ¶¶¶Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College and the New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, New York; ###Department

**ABBREVIATIONS
AND ACRONYMS****CAD** = coronary artery disease**CTA** = computed tomographic angiography**HRA** = high-risk anatomy**ICA** = invasive coronary angiography**ROC** = receiver operating characteristic

The diagnosis and subsequent stratification of patients with suspected coronary artery disease (CAD) are important to management. Traditionally, patients with CAD are categorized according to the presence and absence of high-risk coronary anatomy because those patients with high-risk CAD often derive the greatest mortality benefit with revascularization (1-3). Conversely, a trial of optimal medical therapy may be appropriate for those patients with non-high-risk CAD (4).

SEE PAGE 435

The current standard for the anatomic diagnosis of CAD is invasive coronary angiography (ICA); however, ICA is expensive and has associated procedural hazards (5). Therefore, it would be desirable to identify patients at greatest probability of high-risk CAD who require further investigations and those patients with low probability of high-risk CAD in whom a trial of optimal medical therapy may be appropriate. Current clinical models estimate a patient's pre-test probability for obstructive CAD, but they do not accurately predict the presence or absence of high-risk CAD (left main coronary artery diameter stenosis $\geq 50\%$, 3-vessel disease [diameter stenosis $\geq 70\%$] or 2-vessel disease involving the proximal left anterior descending artery). Previous models have defined significant CAD as ≥ 1 vessel with a $\geq 50\%$ or $\geq 75\%$ lesion (6-8). To our knowledge, no studies have examined models to ascertain likelihood of 'high-risk coronary anatomy'. This is most relevant given recent evidence that optimal medical therapy is a reasonable treatment option in patients with CAD.

Using a large, prospective international registry of patients referred to coronary computed tomographic angiography (CTA) for suspected CAD, this study

sought to develop a clinical model to identify the presence and absence of high-risk CAD.

METHODS

PATIENTS AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA. Patients referred to coronary CTA for suspected CAD were included in the study. Patients with documented CAD or a history of myocardial infarction, coronary revascularization, cardiac transplantation, and congenital heart disease were excluded from analysis. Between 2005 and 2009, 27,125 consecutive adult patients ≥ 18 years old who were undergoing ≥ 64 -detector row coronary CTA were prospectively enrolled into the CONFIRM (Coronary CT Angiography Evaluation for Clinical Outcomes: An International Multicenter) registry and were used for the derivation cohort (9). Using the same inclusion and exclusion criteria, an additional nonoverlapping cohort (comprising the CONFIRM validation cohort and the University of Ottawa Heart Institute Cardiac CT Registry) of 7,333 patients was used as a validation cohort.

Each center obtained approval from the Institutional Review Board, and all patients provided informed consent for study participation.

CLINICAL DEFINITIONS. At the time of coronary CTA, medical history and available laboratory results were recorded for all patients (6,10). A detailed description of the methods has been previously published (9). Symptoms were analyzed according to the criteria for angina pectoris, in which patients with typical angina exhibited all 3 characteristics (chest pain, onset with exertion, improvement with rest) and atypical angina with any 1 or 2 characteristics (6).

Hypertension was defined as a known history of systolic blood pressure >140 mm Hg or treatment with antihypertensive medications. Diabetes mellitus was defined as a previous diagnosis of diabetes or use

of Radiology, Weill Cornell Medical College and the New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, New York; ****Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia; and the ††††Department of Medicine, Walter Reed Medical Center, Washington, DC. Dr. Chow holds the Saul and Edna Goldfarb Chair in Cardiac Imaging Research and receives research support from GE Healthcare; and educational support from TeraRecon Inc. Dr. Achenbach has received grant support from Siemens and Bayer Schering Pharma; and is a consultant for Servier. Dr. Al-Mallah is a consultant for GE Healthcare. Dr. Budoff is on the Speakers Bureau for GE Healthcare. Dr. Cademartiri receives grant support from GE Healthcare; is a consultant for Servier; is on the Speakers Bureau of Bracco; is a consultant for GE Healthcare; and has given expert testimony for Siemens. Dr. Chinnaiyan has received grant support from Bayer Pharma and Blue Cross Blue Shield Blue Care Network of Michigan. Dr. Hadamitzky's department has an unrestricted research grant from Siemens Healthcare. Dr. Kaufmann receives grant support from the Swiss National Science Foundation and GE Healthcare. Dr. Leipsic is a consultant for GE Healthcare. Dr. Maffei has received grant support from GE Healthcare. Dr. Min has received research support and is on the Speakers Bureau for GE Healthcare; is a consultant for Heartflow, Abbott Vascular, Neograft Technologies, and CardioDx; is on the scientific advisory board of Arineta; and has ownership in MDDX, Autoplaq, and TC3. Dr. Raff has received grant support from Siemens, Blue Cross Blue Shield Blue Care Network of Michigan and Bayer Schering Pharma. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose. Udo Hoffmann, MD, served as Guest Editor for this paper.

Manuscript received September 18, 2014; accepted November 10, 2014.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5980156>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5980156>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)