## VIEWPOINT

## **Challenges Facing Early Career Academic Cardiologists**



Carl W. Tong, MD, PHD,\* Tariq Ahmad, MD, MPH,† Evan L. Brittain, MD,‡ T. Jared Bunch, MD,§ Julie B. Damp, MD,‡ Todd Dardas, MD, MS,|| Amalea Hijar, BA, MPP,¶ Joseph A. Hill, MD, PHD,# Anthony A. Hilliard, MD,\*\* Steven R. Houser, PHD,†† Eiman Jahangir, MD, MPH,‡‡ Andrew M. Kates, MD,§§ Darlene Kim, MD,|||| Brian R. Lindman, MD,§§ John J. Ryan, MD,¶¶ Anne K. Rzeszut, MA,¶ Chittur A. Sivaram, MD,## Anne Marie Valente, MD,\*\*\* Andrew M. Freeman, MD||||

Temple, Texas; Durham, North Carolina; Murray and Salt Lake City, Utah; Seattle, Washington; Washington, DC; Loma Linda, California; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; New Orleans, Louisiana; St. Louis, Missouri; Denver, Colorado; Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; and Boston, Massachusetts

Early career academic cardiologists currently face unprecedented challenges that threaten a highly valued career path. A team consisting of early career professionals and senior leadership members of American College of Cardiology completed this white paper to inform the cardiovascular medicine profession regarding the plight of early career cardiologists and to suggest possible solutions. This paper includes: 1) definition of categories of early career academic cardiologists; 2) general challenges to all categories and specific challenges to each category; 3) obstacles as identified by a survey of current early career members of the American College of Cardiology; 4) major reasons for the failure of physician-scientists to receive funding from National Institute of Health/National Heart Lung and Blood Institute career development grants; 5) potential solutions; and 6) a call to action with specific recommendations. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63:2199–208) © 2014 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

The majority of advances in cardiovascular care emerge from academic medical centers (AMC) through research, publication, and development of clinical protocols by physicians and scientists (1). Our ability to advance knowledge, to develop novel technologies/therapeutics, to educate trainees, and to provide quality care is currently in jeopardy due to increasingly challenging conditions placed on AMC and early career academic cardiologists. As heart disease remains the number 1 cause of death in the United States (2) and 40% of Americans will develop some form of cardiovascular disease by 2030 (3), academic cardiologists in conjunction with AMC strive to continually improve cardiovascular care through discovery and teaching.

Early career academic cardiologists, defined as those who are within 10 years of completion of a cardiology fellowship training program, often find themselves challenged to remain in academics and thus must consider a transition to nonacademic practice. Many early career cardiologists

From the \*Department of Medical Physiology and Department of Medicine/Cardiology Division, Texas A&M University Health Science Center-Baylor Scott & White Healthcare, Temple, Texas; †Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina; ‡Department of Medicine/Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee; §Heart Rhythm Program, Intermountain Medical Center, Murray, Utah; ||Department of Internal Medicine, University of Washington Medical Center, Seattle, Washington; ¶Member Strategy and Career Development Department, American College of Cardiology, Washington, DC; #Department of Internal Medicine/Cardiology Division and Harry S. Moss Heart Center, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas; \*\*Department of Medicine/Cardiology Division, Loma Linda University Medical Center, Loma Linda, California; ††Department of Physiology, Temple University School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; <sup>‡</sup> Department of Cardiology, Ochsner Clinical School-the University of Queensland School of Medicine, New Orleans, Louisiana; §§Department of Medicine/Cardiology Division, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri; ||||Department of Medicine/Division of Cardiology, National Jewish Health, Denver, Colorado; ¶¶Department of Medicine/Division of Cardiology, University of Utah Health Care, Salt Lake City,

Utah; ##Department of Medicine/Cardiovascular Section, University of Oklahoma Health Science Center, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; and the \*\*\*Department of Cardiology, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Women's Hospital, and Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts. This effort was supported in part by the American College of Cardiology, grants from the National Institutes of Health (#NIH/K23HL11660 to Dr. Lindman, and #NIH/K08HL114877 to Dr. Tong), and an American Heart Association grant #13FTF16070002 to Dr. Brittain. Dr. Bunch serves on the advisory board of Boston Scientific; and receives consulting fees from St. Jude Medical and Biosense Webster. Dr. Dardas received the ACC/Daiichi Sankyo Career Development Award; and is a research site co-investigator for ENDURANCE CAP (Heartware Destination Therapy Trial). Dr. Lindman received consulting fees from Gerson Lehrman Group Research; and assay support for research from BG-Medicine and Roche. Dr. Sivaram is a member of the Data Safety Monitoring Board for Medtronic. Dr. Freeman receives consulting fees from Gilead; and speaking fees from Medtronic. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Manuscript received December 6, 2013; revised manuscript received March 1, 2014, accepted March 4, 2014.

Abbreviations and Acronyms	opp
ACC = American College of	that
Cardiology	infl
AMC = academic medical	sub
center	as a
GME = general medical	trai
education	pro
NHLBI = National Heart Lung	cert
and Blood Institute	of a
NIH = National Institutes of	ma
Health	dio
RVU = relative value unit	I
	me

view a career in academics as an opportunity to make discoveries that may lead to new therapies or influence clinical practice in substantive ways. Others view it as an opportunity to educate and train the next generation of providers. Sadly, current uncertainties regarding the viability of an academic career are driving many early career academic cardiologists away.

In light of these developments, the early career profes-

sional section of the American College of Cardiology (ACC), along with senior leadership, initiated this manuscript to summarize challenges faced by early career academic cardiologists, to present data on perceived obstacles, and to discuss potential solutions to these challenges and obstacles.

## Defining the Academic Cardiologist

Physician-scientist. Physician-scientists provide direct patient care and conduct research as principal investigators. They formulate research hypotheses based on existing data and their experience in treating patients. They then test their hypotheses by means of basic, translational, or clinical research, culminating sometimes in intellectual property development. Responsibilities of these individuals include overseeing research, publishing results, and writing grants to obtain external funding. Physician-scientists are often expected to provide didactic lectures to medical students and to serve as the teaching attending for medical students and residents on hospital services. By participating in both clinical and research domains, physician-scientists provide a critical bridge to translate clinical observations into the realm of scientific discovery and to return newfound knowledge to direct patient care.

**Scientist-researcher.** Scientist-researchers dedicate nearly 100% effort to research. Whereas their investigative responsibilities are similar to those of a physician-scientist, scientist-researchers do not have clinical duties.

**Clinician-educator.** Clinician-educators dedicate a significant amount of time to educational activities: participating in preceptorships, presenting didactic lectures to house staff and students, and participating as instructors in formal coursework offered through an associated medical school and/or graduate medical education program. The relative distribution of effort among these activities for clinician-educators is predominantly clinical. More recently, preceptor and mentor roles have extended beyond the traditional medical trainee to include allied health professionals, such as physician assistants, nurse practitioners, and pharmacy students.

**Clinician-educator-administrator.** Clinician-educatoradministrators have responsibilities in course administration, rotation administration, and clinical operations in addition to the role of clinician-educator. Administrative roles include program director, director of clinical cardiology, or medical school course director. Some may chair or cochair institutional, regional, national, or international continuing medical education courses.

**Pure clinician.** Pure clinicians have the primary role of providing direct patient care. Unlike the other types of academic cardiologist, pure clinicians are contracted to dedicate essentially 100% of their allotted effort to direct patient care. Pure clinicians who wish to maintain academic interests must do so outside of their allotted and expected clinical time, whereas other categories of academic

Table 1	Common Challenges	
Traditional sources of funding have declined		
Federal	Federal NIH/NHLBI	
	Funds vast majority of cardiovascular research	
	Budget plateaued in 2010 and then declined (Fig. 1) (22-24)	
	Grant funding success rates have steadily decreased by ${>}50\%$ from 2003 to 2012 (Fig. 1) (22–24)	
	Using 1995-constant U.S. dollars, NIH 2013 budget was 22% lower than for 2003 $(25)$	
	GME	
	Medicare support of GME has been frozen at 1996 levels (26)	
	Frozen cap led to 12% decrease in cardiology fellowship slots in 1995 to 2001 $(11)$	
	Presidential budgets proposed to reduce indirect Medicare support of GME by 10% (26–28)	
Industry	Research spending for new cardiovascular therapies has been declining (29,30)	
	Cardiovascular drug development has decreased (31)	
Increasing	number of PhDs competing for smaller available funding pool	
Life-scier to >8,	ice/medical PhDs awarded per year grew from <2,000 in 1993 000 in 2007 (32)	
Biologica to 8,0	I sciences PhDs awarded per year grew from <5,000 in 1993 52 in 2010 (32,33)	
PhD grov applica	wth likely contributed to steadily increasing number of grant ations (Fig. 1) $\$	
Over-reliand	e on RVU discourages academic pursuits	
Medicare code >	; pays by the following formula: current procedure terminology $<$ RVU $\times$ conversion factor	
Medicare	formula does not reimburse academic pursuits	
Consequently, healthcare systems do not award RVU "credit" to academic pursuits		
Academicians are forced to add RVU tasks in lieu of academic pursuits		
Trends in n acade	nedicine and academia reduce available time for investigator-initiated mic pursuits	
Progress	ive limitations on house staff increasingly shift work to cardiology faculty	
Explosive admin Biologi and Er	growth of complex regulatory compliance requirements add increasing istrative burdens (e.g., Institutional Review Board, Institutional ical Safety Committee, Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee, nvironmental Health Safety)	
More tim	e is required to maintain expert competency	
Increas	sing number of studies and guidelines for each subspecialty	
Increa: (e.g., y board	sing requirement for formal demonstration of competency early maintenance of certification exercises and more frequent certification exams)	

$$\label{eq:GME} \begin{split} &\mathsf{GME} = \mathsf{general} \mbox{ medical education; NHLBI} = National \mbox{ Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; } \\ &\mathsf{NIH} = National \mbox{ Institutes of Health; RVU} = \mbox{ relative value units.} \end{split}$$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5982793

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5982793

Daneshyari.com