Interventional Cardiology

CME

Triple Therapy With Aspirin, Prasugrel, and Vitamin K Antagonists in Patients With Drug-Eluting Stent Implantation and an Indication for Oral Anticoagulation

Nikolaus Sarafoff, MD,* Amadea Martischnig, MD,† Jill Wealer, MS,† Katharina Mayer, MD,† Julinda Mehilli, MD,* Dirk Sibbing, MD,* Adnan Kastrati, MD†

Munich, Germany

JACC JOURNAL CME

This article has been selected as the month's JACC Journal CME activity.

Accreditation and Designation Statement

The American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The ACCF designates this Journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s). Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Method of Participation and Receipt of CME Certificate

To obtain credit for JACC CME, you must:

- 1. Be an ACC member or JACC subscriber.
- 2. Carefully read the CME-designated article available online and in this issue of the journal.
- 3. Answer the post-test questions. At least 2 out of the 3 questions provided must be answered correctly to obtain CME credit.
- 4. Complete a brief evaluation.
- Claim your CME credit and receive your certificate electronically by following the instructions given at the conclusion of the activity.

CME Objective for This Article: At the conclusion of this activity, the learner should be able to evaluate whether prasugrel may serve as an alternative to clopidogrel in patients with triple therapy.

CME Editor Disclosure: *JACC* CME Editor Ajit Raisinghani, MD, FACC, reports that he has no financial relationships or interests to disclose.

Author Disclosures: Dr. Sibbing is a consultant for Verum Diagnostica; and receives payment for lectures from Eli Lilly and Daiichi Sankyo. Dr. Mehilli has received lecture fees from Lilly/Daiichi Sankyo, AstraZeneca, Abbott Vascular, and Terumo. Dr. Kastrati has received payment for lectures from AstraZeneca, Daiichi Sankyo, and Eli Lilly. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Medium of Participation: Print (article only); online (article and quiz)

CME Term of Approval:

Issue date: May 21, 2013 Expiration date: May 20, 2014

From the *Klinikum der Ludwig Maximilians Universität München, Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik I, Munich, Germany; and the †Deutsches Herzzentrum, Technische Universität, Munich, Germany. Dr. Sibbing is a consultant for Verum Diagnostica; and receives payment for lectures from Eli Lilly and Daiichi Sankyo. Dr. Mehilli has received lecture fees from Lilly/Daiichi Sankyo, AstraZeneca, Abbott

Vascular, and Terumo. Dr. Kastrati has received payment for lectures from Astra-Zeneca, Daiichi Sankyo, and Eli Lilly. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Manuscript received October 31, 2012; revised manuscript received December 29, 2012, accepted February 5, 2013.

Objectives

This study sought to evaluate whether prasugrel may serve as an alternative to clopidogrel in patients with triple therapy.

Background

Approximately 10% of patients who receive dual antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention have an indication for oral anticoagulation and are thus treated with triple therapy. The standard adenosine diphosphate receptor blocker in this setting is clopidogrel. Data regarding prasugrel as part of triple therapy are not available.

Methods

We analyzed a consecutive series of 377 patients who underwent drug-eluting stent implantation and had an indication for oral anticoagulation between February 2009 and December 2011 and were treated with a 6-month regimen of aspirin and oral anticoagulation with either prasugrel or clopidogrel. The primary endpoint was a composite of Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) major and minor bleeding at 6 months. The secondary endpoint was a composite of death, myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke, or definite stent thrombosis.

Results

Twenty-one patients (5.6%) received prasugrel instead of clopidogrel. These patients had a higher-risk profile at baseline, and the majority had high platelet reactivity to clopidogrel. TIMI major and minor bleeding occurred significantly more often in the prasugrel compared with the clopidogrel group (6 [28.6%) vs. 24 [6.7%]; unadjusted hazard ratio (HR): 4.6, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.9 to 11.4], p < 0.001; adjusted HR: 3.2, 95% CI: 1.1 to 9.1], p = 0.03). There was no significant difference regarding the combined ischemic secondary endpoint (2 [9.5%] vs. 25 [7.0%]; unadjusted HR: 1.4, 95% CI: 0.3 to 6.1], p = 0.61).

Conclusions

These findings suggest that substitution of prasugrel for clopidogrel in patients needing triple therapy increases the risk of bleeding. However, specific randomized trials are needed to define the role of newer adenosine diphosphate receptor antagonists in this setting. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:2060-6) © 2013 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation

Approximately 5% to 10% of patients undergoing coronary stenting have an additional indication for oral anticoagulation (OAC) (1) and will thus require a so-called triple therapy consisting of aspirin, OAC, and an adenosine diphosphate (ADP) receptor antagonist. The most common combination currently consists of aspirin, clopidogrel, and a vitamin K antagonist.

Data from retrospective studies revealed that there is a 3-to 5-fold increase in bleeding rates associated with this triple therapy compared with various combinations of dual therapy (2–8). When it comes to ischemic outcomes, however, a meta-analysis of nonrandomized studies suggested that triple therapy is more efficacious than dual antiplatelet therapy in the prevention of major adverse cardiovascular events and that there is a significant reduction in all-cause mortality (9). Current guidelines therefore advocate triple therapy in patients on OAC undergoing coronary stent implantation (10,11).

See page 2067

For years clopidogrel has been the standard antiplatelet agent after coronary stent implantation because of its good safety and efficacy profile (12,13). Its downside, however, is its nonuniform and rather slow transformation to its active metabolite, leading to a substantial number of patients who display on-treatment high platelet reactivity (HPR), a con-

dition associated with a significant increase in ischemic events (14). Newer antiplatelet drugs such as prasugrel (15,16) have therefore been developed to overcome clopidogrel's limitations and recent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) guidelines suggest that prasugrel might be considered an alternative agent in patients treated with clopidogrel with HPR (12). However, data regarding prasugrel as part of triple therapy are not available.

The purpose of our study was therefore to evaluate whether prasugrel may serve as an alternative to clopidogrel in patients with an indication for OAC and drug-eluting stent (DES) implantation.

Methods

Study population. This is an analysis of prospectively collected data on patients who presented at Deutsches Herzzentrum and 1. Medizinische Klinik, Klinikum rechts der Isar, both in Munich, Germany, between February 2009, when prasugrel was approved in Europe, and December 2011. All patients who underwent DES placement and were discharged with a 6-month regimen of aspirin, OAC with phenprocoumon, and an ADP antagonist were included. In stable patients on OAC who underwent PCI, the procedure was postponed until international normalized ratio (INR) values were ≤2. In general, bridging therapy was not performed unless patients had a high thromboem

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5983317

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5983317

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>