ARTICLE IN PRESS

YNIMG-12999; No. of pages: 18; 4C: 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14

NeuroImage xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

NeuroImage



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

Evaluation of sliding window correlation performance for characterizing dynamic functional connectivity and brain states

o2 Sadia Shakil^{a,1}, Chin-Hui Lee^{a,1}, Shella Dawn Keilholz^{b,c,*,2}

^a Georgia Institute of Technology, Electrical and Computer Engineering, Atlanta, GA, USA

03 ^b Georgia Institute of Technology, Biomedical Engineering, Atlanta, GA, USA

6 ^c Emory University, Biomedical Engineering, Atlanta, GA, USA

8 ARTICLE INFO

Article history: 9 10 Received 9 December 2015 Accepted 29 February 2016 11 12 Available online xxxx 15 34 Keywords: Resting-state functional MRI 35 36 Functional connectivity 37 Sliding window correlation 38 Network dynamics 39 k-Means 40 States

ABSTRACT

A promising recent development in the study of brain function is the dynamic analysis of resting-state functional 18 MRI scans, which can enhance understanding of normal cognition and alterations that result from brain disor- 19 ders. One widely used method of capturing the dynamics of functional connectivity is sliding window correlation 20 (SWC). However, in the absence of a "gold standard" for comparison, evaluating the performance of the SWC in 21 typical resting-state data is challenging. This study uses simulated networks (SNs) with known transitions to examine the effects of parameters such as window length, window offset, window type, noise, filtering, and sam- 23 pling rate on the SWC performance. The SWC time course was calculated for all node pairs of each SN and 24 then clustered using the k-means algorithm to determine how resulting brain states match known configurations 25 and transitions in the SNs. The outcomes show that the detection of state transitions and durations in the SWC is 26 most strongly influenced by the window length and offset, followed by noise and filtering parameters. The effect 27 of the image sampling rate was relatively insignificant. Tapered windows provide less sensitivity to state transi-28 tions than rectangular windows, which could be the result of the sharp transitions in the SNs. Overall, the SWC 29 gave poor estimates of correlation for each brain state. Clustering based on the SWC time course did not reliably 30 reflect the underlying state transitions unless the window length was comparable to the state duration, 31 highlighting the need for new adaptive window analysis techniques. 32

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc. 33

42 43 45

7

8

Introduction

Resting-state functional MRI (rsfMRI) has had much success as a tool 46 for the study of normal and disordered brain function (Rombouts et al., 47 2005; Sorg et al., 2007; Zang et al., 2007; Xia et al., 2013). Initially, 48 49 rsfMRI analysis assumed networks in the resting-brain were stationary over the whole scan length (typically ranging from six to ten minutes), 50but more recently methods that examine the network connectivity as a 51function of time have been applied. Several studies have reported that 5253the connectivity of these networks changes over the course of the scan (within a few seconds) and reveal a number of functional connec-54tivity (FC) states in the brain, which can be sensitive to changes related 5556to neurological disorders (Sakoğlu et al., 2010; Leonardi et al., 2013a, 2013b; Damaraju et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014; Ou et al., 2015). These 04

(C.-H. Lee), shella.keilholz@bme.gatech.edu (S.D. Keilholz).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.02.074 1053-8119/© 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc. dynamics are also linked to changes in human behavior (Kucyi et al., 58 2013; Thompson et al., 2013a, 2013b; Jia et al., 2014; Sadaghiani et al., **Q5** 2015). 60

Sliding window correlation (SWC) is the simplest and most com- 61 monly used method for dynamic FC analysis and most of the dynamic 62 FC studies use it at some point (Schulz and Huston, 2002; Chang and 0607 Glover, 2010: Kiviniemi et al., 2011: Handwerker et al., 2012: Chang 64 et al., 2013; Hutchison et al., 2013a, 2013b; Keilholz et al., 2013; 0809 Thompson et al., 2013a, 2013b; Wilson et al., 2015). It should be noted Q10 that in this study dynamic FC refers to the dynamics of resting-state net- 67 works only and not the dynamics because of any environmental input 68 or task. In the SWC, a temporal window of a certain size and shape is se- 69 lected, and the correlation coefficient between two signals of interest 70 within that window is computed. Afterwards the window is shifted 71 (slided) by some offset, and the process is repeated for the entire scan 72 length. Despite the popularity of the SWC, results are strongly depen-73 dent on window length (Sakoğlu et al., 2010; Hutchison et al., 2013a, 74 2013b; Keilholz et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2015) and the ideal values **Q11Q12** for this and other parameters for the dynamic FC analysis remain un-76 known. A nice but simplified examination of the relationship between 77 the minimum window length and the frequency components of the sig-78 nals has been presented (Leonardi and Van De Ville, 2015). Another Q13 study used windows of different sizes on resting state and sleep data 80

Please cite this article as: Shakil, S., et al., Evaluation of sliding window correlation performance for characterizing dynamic functional connectivity and brain states, NeuroImage (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.02.074

Abbreviations: rsfMRI, resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging; FC, functional connectivity; SWC, sliding window correlation; SN, simulated network; GT, ground truth.

^{*} Corresponding author at: 1760 Haygood Dr, HSRB W230, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA. *E-mail addresses:* sadia_shakil@gatech.edu (S. Shakil), chinhui.lee@ece.gatech.edu

¹ Postal address: 75 Fifth Street NW. Atlanta, GA 30308.

² Postal address: 225 North Ave NW Atlanta, GA 30332, USA.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

S. Shakil et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

and reported that short epochs can be used effectively for dynamic FC 81 82 analysis (Wilson et al., 2015). However, no study has convincingly identified the best window length for dynamic FC analysis. Furthermore, 83 84 since these brain networks change states at random times, using the same window over the entire rsfMRI scan may not be the optimum 85 method to capture the true dynamic configurations of these networks. 86 The effect of window length, offset, and other parameters has not 87 been systematically examined in realistic data, and a recent study that 88 89 looked at the effect of window length on the correlation between the 90 BOLD signal and simultaneously-acquired local field potentials found 014 that the optimal window length is somewhat ambiguous (Thompson 92et al., 2013a, 2013b).

After the SWC is performed pairwise for the brain areas of interest, 93 94 clustering is often used to find the number of 'states' that occur over Q15 the length of the scan, and the times at which transitions occur (Hutchison et al., 2013a, 2013b; Allen et al., 2014; Damaraju et al., 016 2014; Shakil et al., 2014). The most commonly used method for cluster-97 ing SWC results is based on the k-means algorithm (Hutchison et al., 017 2013a, 2013b; Allen et al., 2014; Damaraju et al., 2014; Shakil et al., 99 2014). The accuracy of the clustering depends on the clustering algo-100 rithm and the ability of the SWC to resolve transitions of interest, em-101 phasizing the need to evaluate the SWC parameters. 102

103 The biggest obstacle in identifying the best approach to the SWC and 104 clustering for dynamic FC analysis is that there is no 'ground truth (GT)' in standard rsfMRI data, since the actual network dynamics, number of 105states, and state transitions are all unknown. This study circumvents 106 this problem by using simulated networks (SNs) with known transition 107108 points created from real rsfMRI data. We evaluate the SWC algorithm and the effects of window size, window shift, window type, noise, filter-109ing, and sampling or repetition time (TR) on the SWC results, and on the 110 correct identification of state transitions and durations obtained from 111 112these results using k-means clustering. As expected, window size and 113offset had a substantial impact on the accuracy of the results, followed by the impact of noise and filtering, while TR had a very small impact. 114 Tapered windows resulted in poorer state identification than rectangu-115lar windows due to the abrupt sharp state transitions present in the SNs. 116 These findings motivate further work on methods that can dynamically 117 118 adapt the length of the window during the analysis or the formulation of an algorithm which can more accurately detect the state transition 119 points. 120

121 Material and methods

122 Data and preprocessing

123We used rsfMRI scans of nine healthy human subjects (four females, ages: 21-57 years, downloaded from Nathan Klein Institute's Enhanced 124 Rockland dataset of 1000 Functional Conectome Project (http://www. 125nitrc.org/projects/fcon_1000/). The scans were done on SIEMENS 126MAGNETOM TrioTim syngo MR B17 scanner. The scanning parameters 127128were: TR = 645 ms, voxel size = 3 mm isotropic, duration = 10 min, 129TE = 30 ms, slices = 40, multi-band accel, factor = 4, and time points = 900. Each scan contained 900 volumes and the initial 10 volumes of 130each scan were discarded to compensate for transient scanner instabil-131ity. All preprocessing was done in statistical parametric mapping (SPM 132133 12, http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/). Preprocessing included motion correction, coregistration of the functional images with the anatomical 134image, segmentation, normalization, and smoothing. Default parameter 135 values from SPM12 were used during preprocessing but smoothing was 136 done using a Gaussian kernel of size 8 and for normalization a voxel size 137of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ was chosen. The images were coregistered to the AAL atlas 138 (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002) using nearest neighbor interpolation 139without any warping. 140

141After preprocessing, five functional networks (dorsal DMN, ventral142DMN, anterior-salience, visuospatial, and sensorimotor) were extracted

using the masks from the Stanford FIND (http://findlab.stanford.edu/ 143 home.html) lab (Shirer et al., 2012) for all subjects. 144

145

165

179

Region-of-interest (ROI) time series

For each subject, seven, non-overlapping, three-dimensional, 146 regions-of-interest (ROIs) consisting of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ voxels were chosen 147 from each of the abovementioned five networks (dorsal DMN, ventral 148 DMN, anterior-salience, visuospatial, and sensorimotor). The anatomi- 149 cal location of the ROIs in the five networks (taken from Supplementary 150 data of Shirer et al. (2012)) is given in Supplementary Fig. 1. Maps of the 151 five functional networks (taken from Supplementary data of Shirer et al. 152 (2012)) along with the locations of the ROIs selected for the current 153 study (arrows) are given in Supplementary Fig. 2. Each ROI time series 154 was formed by extracting the intensities of the voxels in the ROI and 155 then computing their mean at each time point. In order to observe the 156 dependency of the analysis on the location of ROIs, we later performed 157 the analysis on a second, entirely different sets of ROIs (shown in Sup- 158 plementary Fig. 3). These ROIs were used to create simulated networks 159 (SNs) as described in the next section. The averaged time series of each 160 ROI was extracted and bandpass filtered (0.016-0.08 Hz, order 20 FIR) 161 before the formation of the SNs. As expected, the ROIs that came from 162 the same network were highly correlated, which was confirmed by 163 computing the pairwise stationary correlations (Supplementary Fig. 4). 164

Sliding window correlation of actual resting-state networks

The main goal of this study was to analyze the performance of the 166 SWC with variable parameters using SNs with known timing formed 167 from real rsfMRI data. However, before starting this analysis we computed the pairwise SWC of the time series of the five actual networks 169 (dorsal DMN, ventral DMN, anterior-salience, visuospatial, and sensorimotor) using the same window sizes as the ones used for the SNs 171 (discussed in detail in the Simulated networks and sliding window 172 correlation section). The purpose was to compare the SWC of the actual Q18 data with the results of previous studies (Hutchison et al., 2013a, 2013b; Q19 Keilholz et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2015), and to determine how the 175 abrupt intensity changes (outliers) introduced in our SNs due to state 176 transitions (explained in the Simulated networks and sliding window 177 correlation section) might influence results of the SWC. Q20

Simulated networks and sliding window correlation

To form a SN, seven ROIs from one of the abovementioned rsfMRI 180 networks were used. A portion of the time courses for these ROIs was 181 taken and used as the time courses for the seven nodes of the SN until 182 the first state transition point t_1 . At t_1 , a portion of the time courses 183 from the seven ROIs of a different network was added to the SN to create 184 a new state lasting from t_1 to t_2 . This process was repeated until the de- 185 sired length of 890 time points was obtained. For example, if we chose 186 the nodes from ventral DMN from t_1 to t_2 , then the nodes from t_2 to t_3 187 were from another network e.g. sensorimotor network of the same sub- 188 ject, and this process continued till we reached the last interval from 189 t_{n-1} to t_{n} . Formation of the SNs in such a manner incorporated real 190 rsfMRI data but gave us control over the time at which the SNs changed 191 states (switched from one resting-state functional network to another) 192 since we chose the transition times t₁ to t_n. It should be noted here that 193 our SNs were formed from five resting-state networks but some of them 194 had more than five transitions which means the data from the same 195 resting-state network would be taken more than once in formation of 196 these SNs. However, apart from one SN (QPeriodicSN explained later 197 in this section) there is no repetition of data. For example, if the data 198 from ventral DMN is taken for the durations $t_{x - 1}$ to t_{x} and $t_{y - 1}$ to t_{y} 199 (x and y are integers) for a SN then it would be from two entirely differ- 200 ent non-overlapping intervals of the ventral DMN. This step insured that 201

Please cite this article as: Shakil, S., et al., Evaluation of sliding window correlation performance for characterizing dynamic functional connectivity and brain states, NeuroImage (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.02.074

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6023550

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6023550

Daneshyari.com