ARTICLE IN PR

YNIMG-12783; No. of pages: 14; 4C: 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12

NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

NeuroImage



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

The cat's meow: A high-field fMRI assessment of cortical activity in response to vocalizations and complex auditory stimuli

Amee J. Hall^{a,e}, Blake E. Butler^{b,e,f}, Stephen G. Lomber^{b,c,d,e,f,*} 02

^a Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 5C2, Canada 4

^b Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 5C2, Canada 5

^c Department of Psychology, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 5C2, Canada 6

7 ^d Brain and Mind Institute, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 5C2, Canada

8 ^c Cerebral Systems Laboratory, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 5C2, Canada

^f National Centre for Audiology, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 5C2, Canada 9

ARTICLE INFO 1 1

12 Article history: Received 27 July 2015 13 14 Accepted 24 November 2015 15Available online xxxx

- 16
- 33 Keywords:
- 34fMRI
- 35Cat

10

- 36 Auditory cortex
- 37 Complex sounds
- Functional hierarchy 38

ABSTRACT

Sensory systems are typically constructed in a hierarchical fashion such that lower level subcortical and cortical 17 areas process basic stimulus features, while higher level areas reassemble these features into object-level repre- 18 sentations. A number of anatomical pathway tracing studies have suggested that the auditory cortical hierarchy 19 of the cat extends from a core region, consisting of the primary auditory cortex (A1) and the anterior auditory 20 field (AAF), to higher level, auditory fields that are located ventrally. Unfortunately, limitations on electrophysi- 21 ological examination of these higher level fields have resulted in an incomplete understanding of the functional 22 organization of the auditory cortex. Thus, the current study uses functional MRI in conjunction with a variety of 23 simple and complex auditory stimuli to provide the first comprehensive examination of function across the entire 24 cortical hierarchy. Auditory cortex function is shown to be largely lateralized to the left hemisphere, and is con-25 centrated bilaterally in fields surrounding the posterior ectosylvian sulcus. The use of narrowband noise stimuli 26 enables the visualization of tonotopic gradients in the posterior auditory field (PAF) and ventral posterior audi-27 tory field (VPAF) that have previously been unverifiable using fMRI and pure tones. Furthermore, auditory fields 28 that are inaccessible to more invasive techniques, such as the insular (IN) and temporal (T) cortices, are shown to 29 be selectively responsive to vocalizations. Collectively, these data provide a much needed functional correlate for 30 anatomical examinations of the hierarchy of cortical structures within the cat auditory cortex. 31

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. 32

40 41

43

45

49

50

Introduction

44 Sensory systems are typically arranged in a processing hierarchy that begins with the coding of basic stimulus features at the sensory epithelium and leads to full-scale object representation in secondary and 46associative cortical areas. At each level of this ascending pathway, 4748more complex features are represented. For example, in the visual system, neurons in primary visual cortex (V1) are most responsive to simple stimuli like spots or bars of light (Drager, 1975; Hubel and

Corresponding author at: Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Medical Sciences Building, Rm 216, University of Western Ontario, 1151 Richmond Street North, London, Ontario N6A 5C1, Canada, Fax: +1 519 931 5233.

E-mail address: steve.lomber@uwo.ca (S.G. Lomber).

Wiesel, 1959, 1968; Singer et al., 1975). Ascending from V1, more com- 51 plex stimuli are required for best activation eventually leading to two 52 parallel streams processing spatial location ("where") dorsally or iden- 53 tification ("what") ventrally (Haxby et al., 1991; Ungerleider and 54 Mishkin, 1982). These streams are comprised of individual areas spe- 55 cialized for specific stimuli such as visually-guided reaching (Karnath 56 and Perenin, 2005; Singhal et al., 2013) in the dorsal stream or faces 57 (Collins and Olson, 2014; Kanwisher et al., 1997; Liu et al., 2010) in 58 the ventral stream. Auditory cortex is not understood in the same 59 level of detail as the visual cortex. However, Chevillet et al. (2011) dem- 60 onstrated that the core, belt, and parabelt regions within human audito- 61 ry cortex can be delineated using pure tones, band-passed noise bursts, 62 or vocalizations, respectively. Thus, an understanding of the way in 63 which hierarchies of cortical fields are arranged has significant conse- 64 quences for our interpretation of how stimuli in the world around us 65 are encoded and reconstructed in the brain. 66

Rouiller et al. (1991) first proposed a hierarchical organization with- 67 in auditory cortex of the cat that was based on anatomical connections 68 (Figs. 1A,B). This study focused on the second auditory cortex (A2) 69 and the four areas of the auditory cortex known to be organized by 70

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.11.056 1053-8119/© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Hall, A.J., et al., The cat's meow: A high-field fMRI assessment of cortical activity in response to vocalizations and complex auditory stimuli, NeuroImage (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.11.056

Abbreviations: A1, primary auditory cortex; A2, second auditory cortex; AAF, anterior auditory field; aes, anterior ectosylvian sulcus; BBN, broadband noise; dPE, dorsal posterior ectosylvian: DZ. dorsal zone: FAES, auditory field of the anterior ectosylvian sulcus; FM, frequency modulated; fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; IN, insular cortex; iPE, intermediate posterior ectosylvian; NBN, narrow band noise; PAF, posterior auditory field; pes, posterior ectosylvian sulcas; PSC, percent signal change; ss, suprasylvian sulcus; T, temporal cortex; V1, primary visual cortex; VAF, ventral auditory field; VPAF, ventral posterior auditory field; vPE, ventral posterior ectosylvian.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

A.J. Hall et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Fig. 1. Hierarchy of auditory cortex. A) Lateral view of the cat cortical surface with the thirteen acoustically responsive areas outlined as defined by electrophysiological and anatomical investigations. Core (red), tonotopic non-core (orange), non-tonotopic (green) and multisensory (blue) areas are also indicated. B) Hierarchy of cat auditory cortex as originally proposed by Rouiller et al. (1991) including only 5 of the 13 cortical areas. C) More recent hierarchy of cat auditory cortex as proposed by Lee and Winer (2011) included all 13 areas. D) Auditory cortex of the old world monkey with core (red), tonotopically organized belt (orange), and non-tonotopic para-belt (green) areas indicated. E) Most recent hierarchy within old world monkey auditory cortex as proposed by Hackett (2015).

frequency (i.e. those with tonotopic organization); primary auditory 71 72cortex (A1), the anterior auditory field (AAF), the posterior auditory 73field (PAF), and the ventral posterior auditory field (VPAF). Based on an-74atomical connectivity, Rouiller et al. placed A1 and AAF at the base of the 75hierarchy, with A2, VPAF, and PAF at increasingly higher levels. More re-76cent anatomical investigations have confirmed the separation between 77 low-level (A1 and AAF) and higher-level (A2, VPAF, PAF) cortical areas (Fig. 1C; see Lee and Winer, 2011 for review). In addition, anatomical 7879 evidence suggests that there are parallel processing streams in the auditory cortex (Lee et al., 2004; Lee and Winer, 2011) that may be analo-80 81 gous to the separate ventral and dorsal streams of visual cortex (Ungerleider and Mishkin, 1982; Lomber et al., 1996). While these stud-82 ies have been critical to establishing a proposed hierarchy within the 83 auditory cortex of the cat, complementary functional data are necessary 84 to provide a complete understanding of perception within the auditory 85 86 system.

Electrophysiological (Harrington et al., 2008; Carrasco et al., 2013; 87 Carrasco and Lomber, 2009a, 2011) and functional imaging (Hall and 88 89 Lomber, 2015) studies have confirmed that A1 and AAF are at similar, low level of cortical processing (Fig. 1). Collectively, these fields appear 90 91 to be analogous to the auditory core of old world monkeys (Figs. 1D,E; Carrasco et al., 2013, 2015; Hackett, 2011, 2015; Hall and Lomber, 922015; Ma et al., 2013; Petkov et al., 2006; Schönwiesner et al., 2014), 93 which also consists of multiple areas. Beyond core areas, it has been pro-94posed that information flow within auditory cortex of the cat proceeds 9596 postero-ventrally (Carrasco and Lomber, 2011; Hackett, 2011). Laten-97 cies within individual areas are increasingly longer moving ventrally 98 with AAF and A1 having similar, shorter latencies and A2 and PAF hav-99 ing longer latencies (Harrington et al., 2008; Carrasco and Lomber, 2011). Also, there is some anatomical (Andersen et al., 2004) electro-100 physiological evidence (Carrasco and Lomber, 2009a, 2009b) to support 101 parallel processing streams within auditory cortex of the cat while be-102havioral studies have identified areas that are selective for localization 103 but not for discrimination, and vice versa (Lomber and Malhotra, 104 2008; Malhotra et al., 2004; Malhotra and Lomber, 2007). Indeed, func-105tional evidence for dual-stream processing in auditory cortex has also 106 been observed in humans (DeWitt and Rauschecker, 2012, 2013; 107 Rauschecker, 1997), and monkeys (Rauschecker, 1997; Rauschecker 108 and Tian, 2004; Rauschecker et al., 1995, 1997). However, functional in-109 110 vestigations of cortical processing in the cat have provided only a limited glimpse of the hierarchy of cortical processing due to three 111 major limitations: 1) electrophysiological studies often focus on only 112 one or two cortical areas per animal, 2) the position of the external auditory meatus typically limits investigations to the more dorsal fields of 114 auditory cortex, and 3) these studies have traditionally relied on simple acoustic stimuli which may not be well-suited to evoking activity in higher-level cortical areas. 117

While electrophysiological methods may be limited to dorsal audito- 118 ry cortex, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), which has 119 been used extensively with human and non-human primate subjects, 120 provides the ability to observe activity throughout cortex. Recently, 121 fMRI has also been used to image sound processing in the auditory 122 cortex of the cat. Differential patterns of activity have been observed 123 in response to broadband noise and tonal stimuli (Hall et al., 2014). 124 Moreover, responses to pure tones of different frequencies have been 125 employed to illustrate the capacity of fMRI to represent tonotopic gradi- 126 ents in A1, AAF, PAF, and VPAF in accordance with those measured elec- 127 trophysiologically (Hall and Lomber, 2015). Finally, fMRI has also been 128 shown to be capable of measuring higher-level feature extraction in 129 the cat (Butler et al., 2015). Thus, fMRI is well suited to investigate the 130 function of ventral auditory cortex in the cat, including the ventral audi- 131 tory field (VAF), insular cortex (IN) and temporal cortex (T). In addition, 132 the present investigation employs a variety of more complex stimuli in- 133 cluding conspecific vocalizations, narrow band noise (NBN), frequency 134 modulated (FM) sweeps, harmonics, and broadband noise (BBN) that 135 are better suited to elicit activity from higher-level auditory cortical 136 areas. We hypothesize that these complex stimuli will most effectively 137 activate areas outside of core auditory cortex. Also, static stimuli will 138 be presented with no location information, such that the functional 139 stream dedicated to discrimination or identification, will be preferen- 140 tially activated. 141

Methods

Ten adult (>6 month) domestic shorthair cats were selected for this 143 project. All animals were housed as a clowder and obtained from a com-144 mercial breeding facility (Liberty Labs, Waverly, NY). The University of 145 Western Ontario's Animal Use Subcommittee approved all procedures. 146 All procedures were also in accordance with the National Research 147 Council's *Guidelines for the Care and Use of Mammals in Neuroscience* 148

Please cite this article as: Hall, A.J., et al., The cat's meow: A high-field fMRI assessment of cortical activity in response to vocalizations and complex auditory stimuli, NeuroImage (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.11.056

142

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6024536

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6024536

Daneshyari.com