ARTICLE IN PRESS

NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

NeuroImage



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

QI Default mode network connectivity during task execution

Q2 D. Vatansever ^{a,b,*}, D.K. Menon ^{a,b}, A.E. Manktelow ^{a,b}, B.J. Sahakian ^c, E.A. Stamatakis ^{a,b}

Q3 ^a Division of Anaesthesia and Department of Clinical Neurosciences, School of Clinical Medicine, UK

4 ^b Wolfson Brain Imaging Centre, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

5 ^c Department of Psychiatry, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

ARTICLE INFO

I7Article history:8Received 30 January 20159Accepted 20 July 201510Available online xxxx

11 Keywords:

6

Default mode network
Finger opposition task

14 Functional connectivity

15 Graph theory

16 Hierarchical clustering

ABSTRACT

Initially described as task-induced deactivations during goal-directed paradigms of high attentional load, the unresolved functionality of default mode regions has long been assumed to interfere with task performance. Howlever, recent evidence suggests a potential default mode network involvement in fulfilling cognitive demands. We independent resting state scan using functional magnetic resonance imaging and a comprehensive analysis pipeline including activation, functional connectivity, behavioural and graph theoretical assessments. The results in-22 dicate task specific changes in the default mode network topography. Behaviourally, we show that increased 23 connectivity of the posterior cingulate cortex with the left superior frontal gyrus predicts faster reaction times. 24 Moreover, interactive and dynamic reconfiguration of the default mode network regions' functional connections 25 illustrates their involvement with the task at hand with higher-level global parallel processing power, yet pre-26 served small-world architecture in comparison with rest. These findings demonstrate that the default mode net-27 work does not disengage during this paradigm, but instead may be involved in task relevant processing. 28 © 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc. 29

32

30

34 Introduction

Extensive neuroimaging research has identified a set of brain regions 35 that displays relative deactivation during goal-driven, attention de-36 manding paradigms (Binder et al., 1999; Mazover et al., 2001; 37 Shulman et al., 1997). Despite the difficulty in assigning functional sig-38 nificance to these observations, the detected activity in the posterior 39 cingulate, medial prefrontal cortices and bilateral angular gyri has 40 been attributed to a "default mode of brain function" (Raichle et al., 41 42 2001), prominent in the absence of any external task demands (Gusnard and Raichle, 2001: Gusnard et al., 2001). 43

Initial findings reported on the activity/inactivity of default mode 44 regions during cognitive paradigms were later complemented by func-4546tional connectivity analyses of task-free functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) data. Acquired during no-task conditions, resting state 47 fMRI has provided remarkable insight into the human brain organiza-48 49 tion by revealing synchronous oscillations of distant brain regions that form distinct large-scale brain networks (Biswal et al., 1995). Using 50this technique, Greicius and colleagues advanced our understanding of 5152the default mode brain by showing not only that the same set of regions,

* Corresponding author at: Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Division of Anaesthesia, University of Cambridge, Box 93, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, UK.

E-mail address: ddsv2@cam.ac.uk (D. Vatansever).

URL: http://www.neuroscience.cam.ac.uk/directory/profile.phpddsv2 (D. Vatansever).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.07.053 1053-8119/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc. which deactivate during cognitive tasks, form an intrinsic default mode 53 network (DMN) at rest (Greicius et al., 2003), but also that this network 54 is mirrored by direct structural connections (Greicius et al., 2009). Fur- 55 ther exploration of both rest and task-based fMRI data revealed interac- 56 tions between DMN and other large-scale brain networks. A prevailing 57 anti-correlation was reported between DMN and dorsal attention net- 58 works (Fox et al., 2005) at rest, and DMN coupling with the fronto- 59 parietal control network has been observed during task execution 60 (Spreng et al., 2010). Moreover, the quantification of such neural com- 61 munication through network level graph theoretical analyses also pro- 62 vided robust support for the economical organization of the brain into 63 biologically relevant complex architecture (Achard et al., 2006; 64 Buckner et al., 2009; Bullmore and Sporns, 2012; Fransson and 65 Marrelec, 2008; Hagmann et al., 2008) with a central role attributed to 66 the DMN (van den Heuvel and Sporns, 2011). 67

The volume of research demonstrating the existence of the DMN 68 mechanistically is now substantial; nonetheless, explanations for its 69 exact contribution to brain function remain scarce. A meta-analytic 70 comparison of DMN to task-based activation maps (Smith et al., 2009) 71 reported substantial overlap with tasks that encompassed theory of 72 mind, social cognition, episodic recall and imagined scenes (Laird 73 et al., 2011). Furthermore, many task-free studies have all revealed al-74 terations in this network's properties in different patient populations 75 such as Alzheimer's disease (Buckner et al., 2009) and traumatic brain 76 injury (Sharp et al., 2011), following pharmacological interventions 77 such as propofol (Stamatakis et al., 2010), and with normal ageing 78 (Damoiseaux et al., 2008). Overall, existing evidence advocates for a 79 2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

D. Vatansever et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

fundamental, possibly adaptive role that spans a variety of cognitive do mains (Hasson et al., 2009; Schacter et al., 2012).

Although not extensively investigated, some recent studies concur 82 83 with this view by revealing changes in DMN topography during task conditions and alluding to a possible involvement in cognitive process-84 ing. Specifically, differential changes in DMN functional connectivity 85 and network properties have been demonstrated in tasks such as work-86 87 ing memory, auditory oddball, and autobiographical planning 88 (Arbabshirani et al., 2013; Fransson and Marrelec, 2008; Harrison 89 et al., 2008; Newton et al., 2011; Spreng et al., 2013). Furthermore, 90 there have been claims for a positive relationship between DMN con-91nectivity and performance during a working memory task (Hampson et al., 2006). These studies, which may appear disparate at first sight, 9293 display one important commonality: the persistence of DMN functional connectivity during task execution, with some connectivity attenuation 94 during paradigms of high mental load (Fransson, 2006). Given this evi-95 dence for DMN reconfiguration and its interaction with other large-96 97 scale brain networks during tasks, we would expect DMN to persist and exchange information with task related networks in a variety of ex-98 perimental paradigms with a comprehensive role that implies direct 99 contribution to cognitive processing. 100

We discuss here our initial approach to testing this hypothesis dur-101 102 ing a task of relatively low cognitive demand, in which participants 103 followed visual cues to execute purposeful movement (finger opposition). Our specific questions pertained to the possible task-induced 104 alterations in the DMN topography with potential behavioural signifi-105cance, and interaction with the task related somatomotor network 106 107(SMN). We aimed to identify mechanisms of DMN engagement or disengagement during task and fixation conditions as well as rest by inves-108 tigating them with activation, functional connectivity, behavioural 109correlation and graph theoretical analyses. In comparison with tradi-110 111 tional subtractive activation/deactivation approaches, such multi-112faceted analysis could further quantify DMN engagement during task execution. In line with these objectives, our findings provide evidence 113on the nature and extent of DMN involvement in task execution and 114 may advance our understanding of its contribution to brain function. 115

116 Materials and methods

117 Participants

The study was approved by the local ethics committee and all partic-118 ipants gave informed consent following the presentation of a study spe-119 cific information sheet. The exclusion criteria comprised of a score 120 below 70 on the National Adult Reading Test (NART) and 23 on the 121 122Mini Mental State Exam (MMSE), any history of drug or alcohol abuse, psychiatric and neurological disorders, head injury, medication use af-123fecting cognitive performance (e.g. tricyclic antidepressants), physical 124handicap hindering the completion of the study, left-handedness, con-125traindication to MRI scanning and severe claustrophobia. Complying 126127with these conditions, 22 healthy participants were recruited (19-12857 years old, mean = 35.0, SD = 11.2, 9/13 female to male ratio) with average scores of 117.1 (SD = 5.76) on NART and 29.33 (SD = 0.85) 129on MMSE. 130

131 Behavioural assessment

The participants were assessed with an extensive set of neuropsychological tests using the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTABeclipse). In the simple reaction time test the participants were instructed to press a button in response to the visual presentation of a white box stimulus over a black background. Our measure of choice, the mean simple reaction time denoted the speed of motor response, in which shorter latency implied faster processing.

Paradigm specifications

In addition to the 5 min resting state scanning (eyes closed), a selfpaced, right-handed finger opposition paradigm was employed in a 141 boxcar design with 5 alternating cycles of task and fixation blocks. A visual "move" command indicated for participants to initiate and repeat the movement, while "rest" signalled the fixation state. The participants the movement, while "rest" signalled the fixation state. The participants were instructed to touch the remaining fingers with their right thumb the cycle for the duration of the task period. Since we did not have acters to the scanner compatible equipment to assess speed of finger opposition during task performance, we instead related latencies obtained from the CANTAB simple reaction time task to functional connectivity strengths.

Image acquisition and preprocessing

152

172

185

The MRI data was obtained using a Siemens Trio 3 T scanner at the 153 Wolfson Brain Imaging Centre, Cambridge. The imaging session started 154 with a high resolution T1-weighted, magnetization-prepared 180 de- 155 grees radio-frequency pulses and rapid gradient-echo (MPRAGE) struc- 156 tural scan (TR = 2300 ms; TE = 2.98 ms; TA = 9.14 min; flip angle = 1579°; field of view (FOV) read = 256 mm; voxel size = 158 $1.0 \times 1.0 \times 1.0$ mm, slices per slab = 176), followed by whole-brain 159 echo planar imaging (EPI) for the resting state scanning and the finger 160 opposition paradigm (TR = 2000 ms; TE = 30 ms; flip angle = 78° ; 161 FOV read = 192 mm; voxel size = $3.0 \times 3.0 \times 3.0$ mm; volumes = 162 160: slices per volume = 32). The preprocessing and image analysis 163were all performed using the Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) 164 Version 8.0 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) and MATLAB Version 165 12a platforms (http://www.mathworks.co.uk/products/matlab/). All 166 imaging data were preprocessed following a standard pipeline of 167 slice-time and motion correction, normalization to the Montreal Neuro- 168 logical Institute (MNI) space in combination with the segmented high- 169 resolution grey matter structural image and an a priori grey matter tem- 170 plate, and smoothing with an 8 mm FWHM Gaussian kernel. 171

Task-induced activation analysis

This analysis was carried out in order to validate the task and to derive a set of regions of interest (ROIs) to be used for subsequent functional connectivity analyses. For each subject, the functional images acquired during the task were entered into a first level general linear model with the fixation and task onsets modelled as regressors convolved with a canonical HRF. Further, the data was temporally filtered with a high pass filter (cut-off of 128 s) and no global normalization was performed. A one-sample t-test examined group level effects for the contrast of *task* > *fixation*. The resulting statistical maps were conservatively corrected for multiple comparisons at the voxel level using family wise error (FWE), alpha = 0.05, and the local peaks were assessed for further use as ROIs in the functional connectivity analyses. 184

ROI definitions

Depending on their source of identification (anatomical atlas, task or 186 resting state scanning), the definitions of ROIs can have substantial in-187 fluence on the subsequent functional connectivity and graph theoretical 188 analyses (Smith et al., 2011). Task-based definition is a method shown 189 to reproduce valid network topologies (Dosenbach et al., 2007; Power 190 et al., 2011; Spreng et al., 2013). The employed finger opposition task 191 provided us with 14 somatomotor ROIs, selected according to the local 192 peaks in the *task* > *fixation* contrast of the activation analysis (voxel 193 level multiple comparison correction, FWE p < 0.05). Sixteen seeds de-194 fining the DMN were chosen from the current literature (Andrews-195 Hanna et al., 2010). The MNI coordinates and the corresponding 196

Please cite this article as: Vatansever, D., et al., Default mode network connectivity during task execution, NeuroImage (2015), http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.07.053

139

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6024637

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6024637

Daneshyari.com