<u>ARTICLE IN PRESS</u>

NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

NeuroImage



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

Anatomically-adapted graph wavelets for improved group-level fMRI activation mapping

922 Hamid Behjat^{a,*}, Nora Leonardi^b, Leif Sörnmo^a, Dimitri Van De Ville^{b,c}

^a Biomedical Signal Processing Group, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Lund University, Lund, Sweden 4

Institute of Bioengineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland 5

^c Department of Radiology and Medical Informatics, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland 6

ARTICLE INFO 7

Article history: Received 30 January 2015 Accepted 2 June 2015 10 Available online xxxx 11 12Keywords: 13Statistical parametric mapping (SPM) 14 Functional MRI Spectral graph theory 1516Graph wavelets Wavelet thresholding 1729

ABSTRACT

A graph based framework for fMRI brain activation mapping is presented. The approach exploits the spectral 18 graph wavelet transform (SGWT) for the purpose of defining an advanced multi-resolutional spatial transforma- 19 tion for fMRI data. The framework extends wavelet based SPM (WSPM), which is an alternative to the conven- 20 tional approach of statistical parametric mapping (SPM), and is developed specifically for group-level analysis. 21 We present a novel procedure for constructing brain graphs, with subgraphs that separately encode the structur- 22 al connectivity of the cerebral and cerebellar gray matter (GM), and address the inter-subject GM variability by 23 the use of template GM representations. Graph wavelets tailored to the convoluted boundaries of GM are then 24 constructed as a means to implement a GM-based spatial transformation on fMRI data. The proposed approach 25 is evaluated using real as well as semi-synthetic multi-subject data. Compared to SPM and WSPM using classical 26 wavelets, the proposed approach shows superior type-I error control. The results on real data suggest a higher 27 detection sensitivity as well as the capability to capture subtle, connected patterns of brain activity. 28

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction 03

30 32

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) is a key modality to 35localize brain activity based on the blood-oxygen-level-dependent 36 (BOLD) signal (Ogawa et al., 1993). The most widely used approach in 37 fMRI activation mapping is a mass univariate hypothesis-driven method 38 that is implemented in many software packages such as statistical 39 parametric mapping (SPM) (Frackowiak et al., 1997; Friston et al., 40 41 1994). Using regressors defined by the experimental paradigm, a general linear model (GLM) is fitted to the time course of every voxel of the 42brain, followed by a statistical test of a linear combination of the fitted 43parameters, leading to a statistical map indicating evidence for 4445 stimulus-related brain activity. Since using a Bonferroni correction is too conservative, SPM deals with the multiple comparison problem 46 based on Gaussian random field theory (GRFT) (Poline et al., 1997). A 47 48 key characteristic of GRFT is that it requires initial smoothing of the functional data by a fixed Gaussian filter. This pre-filtering not only is 49required to control the spatial smoothness of the data to comply with 5051GRFT, but it also serves as a means to improve the signal-to-noise 52ratio (SNR) by virtue of the matched filter argument. However, such 53linear isotropic filtering comes at the expense of a loss in fine spatial 54details of the underlying activity.

E-mail address: hamid.behjat@bme.lth.se (H. Behjat).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.06.010 1053-8119/© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

As an alternative to GRFT, spatial wavelet transforms have been pro- 55 posed as a means to non-linearly denoise functional data within frame- 56works of both classical inference (e.g., Aston et al., 2005; Ruttimann 57 et al., 1998; Soleymani et al., 2009; Van De Ville et al., 2004, 2007; 58 Wink and Roerdink, 2004) and Bayesian inference (e.g., Flandin and 59 Penny, 2007; Sanyal and Ferreira, 2012). Since brain activity is highly lo- 60 calized in space (Bullmore et al., 2004), the property of sparse signal 61 representation in the wavelet domain makes it possible to encode a 62 cluster of active voxels with only a few coefficients. Such representation 63 enhances the SNR as the background noise remains equally distributed 64 among the wavelet coefficients, and thus, coefficient-wise statistical 65 testing provides a higher sensitivity than voxel-wise testing. Wavelet- 66 based SPM (WSPM) (Van De Ville et al., 2007) has the unique feature 67 of treating thresholding within the wavelet domain as a denoising 68 step only, and the statistical testing is deferred to a second thresholding 69 on the reconstructed map within the spatial domain. 70

Accounting for intra-subject gray matter structure

71

Gaussian filters as well as standard wavelets such as those deployed 72 by WSPM share several basic properties: they are (i) isotropic in 73 structure, (ii) defined within regular Euclidean spaces (either a square 74 in 2-D space or a cube in 3-D space) and (iii) stationary and quasi 75 shift-invariant, meaning that their structure does not vary as applied 76 to different regions within a volume. To various extents, these proper-77 ties are opposed to the expected geometrical properties of the activation 78

Corresponding author at: Department of Biomedical Engineering, Lund University, Box 118, 221 00 Lund, Sweden,

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

H. Behjat et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

pattern. Since the gray matter (GM), within which the BOLD response is 79 80 expected, has a convoluted structure, isotropically shaped activation patterns that cross boundaries of GM are unlikely. Moreover, due to 81 82 the differences in the structure of the sulci and gyri across the brain, intra-subject variability of GM geometry is widely observed (Fischl 83 et al., 2002; Riviere et al., 2002). Thus, it is essential to construct filters 84 that adapt to the intricately convoluted GM domain rather than assum-85 86 ing the spatial characteristics of the underlying signal independent of its 87 location. As a step in this direction, surface-based approaches have been 88 proposed that restrict the analysis to the cortex by using reconstructions 89 of the cortical surface. One such approach is the anatomically-informed basis function (AIBF) method proposed in Kiebel et al. (2000) and Kiebel 90 and Friston (2002), where a forward model is determined for solving 91the inverse problem of explaining the distribution of the functional 92data using circular Gaussian basis functions defined on the cortical sur-93 face. In other approaches, here collectively referred to as cortical surface 94 mapping (CSM), an interpolation scheme is used to map the functional 95 96 data to the extracted cortical surface, followed by iteratively smoothing the data on the surface using different procedures such as diffusion 97 smoothing (Andrade et al., 2001), heat kernel smoothing (Chung et al., 98 2005; Hagler et al., 2006) and spline smoothing (Qiu et al., 2006). Never-99 theless, the problem of loss in spatial accuracy remains in CSM due to the 100 101 irreversible smoothing. Aside from that, the mapping of volumetric data to a surface is challenging due to the variability in cortical thickness. 102

In the present paper, we introduce an alternative approach where we 103 define a volumetric GM domain with the help of graph theory, where the 104 graph vertices correspond to irregularly sampled points of the 3-D 105106 Euclidean space. Numerous neuroimaging applications have benefited from brain data being modeled as graphs and graph signals (Bullmore 107and Sporns, 2009; Richiardi et al., 2013). Here, we propose constructing 108brain graphs that encode local structural connectivity of GM geometry 109110 (irregular domain in 3-D), as opposed to the surface-based approaches 111 which mainly incorporate cortical topology (2-D surface that is folded). Functional data can then be modeled as a scalar function (signal) defined 112 on the vertices, and graph filters that diffuse only within the GM volume 113can be constructed. As such, the performance in fMRI brain activation 114 mapping can be improved by attenuating the effect of non-signal compo-115 116 nents that originate from outside the GM.

With the increased interest in graph approaches to data analysis, a 117 great amount of research has been devoted to generalizing signal 118 processing operations to the graph setting (Shuman et al., 2013). This 119 120 includes wavelet transforms, with the spectral graph wavelet transform (SGWT) proposed in Hammond et al. (2011) being an example. To pre-121 vent linear irreversible smoothing and to perform analysis at multiple 122 123scales, we propose the tight-frame SGWT (Leonardi and Van De Ville, 2013) to construct GM-adapted wavelets that are utilized to implement 124 125an advanced spatial transformation on fMRI data, integrated within the statistical analysis of the WSPM framework. 126

127 Accounting for inter-subject GM variability

128 Group-level fMRI activation mapping is further complicated by the 129 *inter-subject* GM variability that is important to address. This variability renders the need for normalization of functional data to a template 130 space, which, in turn, leads to better domain matching across subjects 131 and improved statistical power as activations better overlap. Due to 132 the observed difference in the extent of geometrical GM variety in the 133 cerebrum and the cerebellum across subjects, it is advantageous to 134 define cerebral and cerebellar template spaces separately. 135

The geometry of the cerebral cortex is not consistent across subjects. 136 Although there are similarities in terms of the main fissures, the GM 137 foldings are very inconsistent across individuals even in standard popu-138 lations (Mangin et al., 2004; Riviere et al., 2002), see Fig. 1. The most 139 commonly used cortical templates are based on either the anatomy of 140 a single subject (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002) or the ensemble average 141 over many subjects, such as the ICBM-152 (Evans et al., 1993) that de- 142 fines the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space. Such templates 143 can be viewed as two extremes in GM representation: single subject 144 templates take no account for inter-subject variability, and the group 145 averaged templates, such as the ICBM-152, lack fine anatomical detail 146 of the cerebral GM, which makes both categories unsuitable for our pur- 147 pose. To address this problem, study specific template construction 148 methods such as DARTEL (Ashburner, 2007) are of great benefit. The 149 fast diffeomorphic image registration scheme proposed by Ashburner 150 is among the best performing (Klein et al., 2009) and can produce a de- 151 tailed group-averaged template GM through iterative, nonlinear 152 warping of the segmented GM of a set of subjects. 153

The structural variability within the cerebellum is lower than in the 154 cerebral cortex, since the cerebellar structure is relatively consistent 155 across individuals in terms of the number and shape of its fissures 156 (see Fig. 1). This observation has made it possible to create atlas 157 templates of the cerebellum that prevent a loss in spatial accuracy of 158 the anatomical detail. The spatially unbiased infra-tentorial (SUIT) cer-159 ebellum template (Diedrichsen, 2006) is the most accurate cerebellar 160 template available to date. Compared to the ICBM 152 template 161 (Evans et al., 1993) that is designed through averaging of T1 scans 162 from 152 different subjects, SUIT is constructed from scans of 20 163 subjects, and at the same time, has the unique feature of being spatially 164 unbiased; that is, the location of each of the structures is equal to its ex-165 pected location in the MNI space across subjects (Diedrichsen, 2006). 166

Therefore, we propose the use of the SUIT atlas as the basis for defining a canonical cerebellar subgraph and the DARTEL for constructing 168 study-dependent template cerebral subgraphs. A full GM-adapted 169 brain graph is then defined by merging the two subgraphs. 170

171

Overview

The paper is organized as follows. In the Transform-based SPM 172 (tSPM) section, WSPM is reviewed by generalizing the framework Q4 such that it 1) incorporates any linear spatial transform and 2) is set 174 out for group-level analysis. In the Spectral graph wavelet transform 175 (SGWT) section, we review the necessary concepts from graph theory Q5 and wavelet design. In the Spectral graph wavelet based SPM (tSPM^{sgwt}) 177 section, we introduce the construction of GM-adapted graphs and Q6 wavelets, the required preprocessing steps and contrast mappings. In 179 the Datasets section, we introduce a real dataset as well as the design Q7



Q1 Fig. 1. Segmented gray matter of four individuals from an experimental dataset (see Experimental dataset section) illustrating the inter-subject variability. The variability is less significant in the cerebellum, as opposed to the cerebrum where the pattern of folding varies greatly from one individual to the other.

Please cite this article as: Behjat, H., et al., Anatomically-adapted graph wavelets for improved group-level fMRI activation mapping, NeuroImage (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.06.010

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6024740

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6024740

Daneshyari.com