YNIMG-12054; No. of pages: 17; 4C: 6, 7, 10, 11, 12

NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

NeuroImage

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg



- Evidence for an anterior–posterior differentiation in the human hippocampal formation revealed by meta-analytic parcellation of fMRI coordinate maps: Focus on the subiculum
- Henry W. Chase ^{a,*}, Mareike Clos ^{b,c}, Sofia Dibble ^d, Peter Fox ^{e,f}, Anthony A. Grace ^{a,d,g}, Mary L. Phillips ^a, Simon B. Eickhoff ^{b,h}
- - ^a Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- ^b Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine (INM-1), Research Center Jülich, Germany
- ^c Department of Systems Neuroscience, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany
- ^d Department of Neuroscience, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- ^e Research Imaging Center, University of Texas Health Science Center San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA 10
 - ^f South Texas Veterans Administration Medical Center, San Antonio, TX, USA
- g Department of Psychology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
 - ^h Institute of Clinical Neuroscience and Medical Psychology, Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

- Article history:
- Received 19 July 2014 16
- Accepted 25 February 2015 17
- Available online xxxx
- 19 Kevwords:
- 20 fMRI

44

46

47

48 49

50

51 52

53

54

- Subiculum
- Meta analytic connectivity modeling 22
- 23 Resting fMRI
- K-means clustering

ABSTRACT

Previous studies, predominantly in experimental animals, have suggested the presence of a differentiation of 25 function across the hippocampal formation. In rodents, ventral regions are thought to be involved in emotional 26 behavior while dorsal regions mediate cognitive or spatial processes. Using a combination of modeling the co- 27 occurrence of significant activations across thousands of neuroimaging experiments and subsequent data- 28 driven clustering of these data we were able to provide evidence of distinct subregions within a region corre- 29 sponding to the human subiculum, a critical hub within the hippocampal formation. This connectivity-based 30 model consists of a bilateral anterior region, as well as separate posterior and intermediate regions on each hemi- 31 sphere. Functional connectivity assessed both by meta-analytic and resting fMRI approaches revealed that more 32 anterior regions were more strongly connected to the default mode network, and more posterior regions were 33 more strongly connected to 'task positive' regions. In addition, our analysis revealed that the anterior subregion 34 was functionally connected to the ventral striatum, midbrain and amygdala, a circuit that is central to models of 35 stress and motivated behavior. Analysis of a behavioral taxonomy provided evidence for a role for each subregion 36 in mnemonic processing, as well as implication of the anterior subregion in emotional and visual processing and 37 the right posterior subregion in reward processing. These findings lend support to models which posit anterior- 38 posterior differentiation of function within the human hippocampal formation and complement other early steps 39 toward a comparative (cross-species) model of the region.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc. 41

Introduction

The hippocampal formation is crucial for mnemonic and spatial representation, as well as an involvement in emotional and stress-related processes. The region is made up of several independent subregions, but functional specialization within the structure remains an area of ongoing experimental and theoretical concern. A variety of evidence supports the presence of functional specialization across a dorsoventral gradient in rodents (Fanselow and Dong, 2010). Shaped as a cashew in these animals, the longitudinal axis extends in a dorsoventral (and septotemporal) direction. Broadly, ventral regions of the hippo- 55 campal formation are often considered to play a role in emotional 56 behavior such as anxiety, whereas dorsal regions are thought to play a 57 role in cognitive factors such as spatial and mnemonic processes 58 (Bannerman et al., 2014). In primates, the hippocampal formation is 59 shaped as a ram's horn, extending in the posterioanterior direction. 60 Consequently, the rodent ventral hippocampus is thought to corre- 61 spond to the anterior hippocampus in humans, whereas the rodent 62 dorsal hippocampus is located posterior in humans (Strange et al., 63 2014).

Similar evidence for differentiation of function across the region in 65 humans is perhaps sparser (Poppenk et al., 2013), partly due to the 66 technical challenges associated with experimental manipulations, neu- 67 rophysiological recordings or neuroimaging of the region. Nevertheless, 68 several fMRI studies have reported distinct patterns of activation across 69

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.069 1053-8119/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Please cite this article as: Chase, H.W., et al., Evidence for an anterior-posterior differentiation in the human hippocampal formation revealed by meta-analytic parcellation of ..., NeuroImage (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.069

Corresponding author at: Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, Loeffler Building, 121 Meyran Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA, Fax: +1 412 383 8336. E-mail address: chaseh@upmc.edu (H.W. Chase).

70 71

72

73

74

75 76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90 91

92

93

94

95

97

98

99

100 101

102

103

104

105 106

107

108

109

110 111

112

113

114

115

116

117

119

 $120 \\ 121$

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

anterior and posterior regions of the hippocampus (e.g. Baumann and Mattingley, 2013; Hirshhorn et al., 2012; Kuhn and Gallinat, 2014; Nadel et al., 2013; Strange et al., 1999; Voets et al., 2014). Another promising approach has been to examine patterns of resting functional connectivity with other structures, using for example, resting state fMRI (rsfMRI). These methods have proven to be a powerful way to investigate the communication of information across the human brain (Van Dijk et al., 2010), yielding patterns of connectivity that appear to correspond well to known neural circuits, and may reflect underlying anatomical connections (Baria et al., 2013; Damoiseaux and Greicius, 2009) and functional networks. A recent resting state fMRI study described the functional connectivity of the hippocampus with perirhinal and parahippocampal regions as following an antero-posterior gradient: most posterior regions were connected to parahippocampal compared with perirhinal cortex, whereas the reverse pattern was observed in anterior regions (Libby et al., 2012). An intermediate region demonstrated no preferential connectivity. This study implied that zones of differential functional connectivity within the hippocampus may reflect the presence of different functional properties of the more anterior and more posterior portions of the region, and accorded well with anatomical properties of the region as primarily known from animal models. Another recent study identified a gradient of connectivity across the structure with respect to connectivity with ventral striatum and midbrain (Kahn and Shohamy, 2013). While resting fMRI methods infer differential functional connectivity by comparing BOLD variations across time in a single brain state, such networks have the drawback that they lack a functional or neuropsychological context. Resting fMRI studies also tend to focus on particular frequency bands and stationary association, an approach which has proved highly robust but may only reflect a limited range of inter-regional information transmission.

Further characterization of functional connectivity may be obtained by alternative approaches, including meta-analytic connectivity modeling (MACM). In the MACM approach, the inference of functional interactions is based on the co-occurrence of significant activations across studies. While in practice, networks identified by MACM appear to correspond well to those identified by direct covariance using fMRI, discrepancies have also been noted (cf. Clos et al., 2013; Eickhoff et al., 2014; Jakobs et al., 2012). In general, a good corroboration of MACMbased or similar approaches with well-established brain functional connectivity patterns is seen (Clos et al., 2014; Crossley et al., 2013; Di et al., 2013). Nevertheless, distinct properties of MACM-estimated functional connectivity on large scale connectivity networks have been identified, which may reflect, at first approximation, the influence of a general task set (Crossley et al., 2013; Di et al., 2013). Neurofunctional context may be particularly relevant for understanding the functional connectivity of the hippocampal formation, as information transmission to and from the region can be modulated both by behavioral context and input from a third region (e.g. Belujon and Grace, 2008; Gill and Grace, 2013). A recent development for functional mapping has been to examine patterns of differential connections via clustering algorithms to demonstrate distinct subregions with internally coherent connectivity within large anatomical structures ('connectivity-based parcellation'). In particular, data driven clustering based on MACM maps has been employed to demonstrate distinct subregions of the amygdala (Bzdok et al., 2012), supplementary motor area (Eickhoff et al., 2011), temporo-parietal junction (Bzdok et al., 2013) and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (Cieslik et al., 2013).

To our knowledge, a data-driven parcellation of the hippocampal formation using MACM maps has not been conducted (but see Bonnici et al., 2012). However, given the complexity of the hippocampal formation, with respect to its geometry, anatomical differentiation and connectivity, we focused on the subiculum rather than the entire region. Continuous with the CA1 region of the hippocampus, but located within the parahippocampal gyrus in humans (Duvernoy, 2005), the subiculum provides a central role in the integration of information within the hippocampus (Naber et al., 2000) as well as its transmission to

other brain regions (Witter, 2006). The subiculum has also gained 136 attention in the context of pathophysiological models for a variety of 137 psychiatric conditions, in particular those with a component reflecting 138 maladaptive responses to stress (Herman and Mueller, 2006), including 139 schizophrenia, addiction and mood disorders (Belujon and Grace, 2014; 140 Grace, 2010). Consistent with the presence of functional differentia- 141 tion across the structure, distinct behavioral consequences of dorsal 142 and ventral subiculum manipulations have been observed in rodents 143 (Andrzejewski et al., 2006; Caine et al., 2001). The dorsal-most regions 144 of the subiculum are known to contain place cells which encode location 145 within the spatial domain (O'Mara, 2006). However, as one moves 146 ventrally, this location information is overlaid with limbic inputs. 147 Thus, ventral regions are able to encode the emotional salience of a loca- 148 tion, consistent with a contextual signal (Grace, 2012). This functional 149 segregation is mirrored by distinct patterns of anatomical connectivity 150 across the rodent subiculum. The entire structure is connected to the 151 septum, thalamus, mammillary bodies and retrosplenial cortex, al- 152 though each region receives topographically organized projections. In 153 addition, the ventral subiculum is connected to orbital and medial 154 prefrontal cortex, nucleus accumbens (Aggleton, 2012; Groenewegen 155 et al., 1987; Witter, 2006), and shows bidirectional connectivity with 156 the amygdala (French et al., 2003). Anterior cingulate and prelimbic re- 157 gions of the rodent prefrontal cortex receive input from the dorsal 158 subiculum, whereas infralimbic regions receive input from ventral 159 subiculum (Witter, 2006). Finally, some investigations have hinted at 160 the presence of an intermediate region with mixed anatomical connectivity (Groenewegen et al., 1987; Strange et al., 2014; Wright et al., 162 2013).

Although the small size of the subregion and the resolution of imaging studies within the BrainMap database provides an upper limit on 165 our ability to distinguish the subiculum per se from other nearby re- 166 gions, this region was chosen as a seed for our analyses for two principle 167 reasons: first, as the subiculum is generally considered to be an important output node through which the hippocampus proper communi- 169 cates with downstream regions, estimates of functional connectivity 170 are likely to be interpretable in terms of the pattern of known efferent 171 connections from the region. Moreover, a prevailing interpretation of 172 local BOLD signals (e.g. Bartels et al., 2008) might suggest that regions 173 which receive synaptic input directly from the hippocampus should 174 provide a promising place for initial focus. Second, the region, as defined 175 by the cytoarchitectonic work of Amunts et al. (2005) is a relatively 176 long, thin structure which traverses the entire anterior/posterior axis 177 of the hippocampal formation. Although this limited resolution in the 178 medial-lateral dimension, it provided a potential for discrimination in 179 the dimension of interest. We were therefore optimistic that a data- 180 driven parcellation of the region would reflect the functional differentiation across the anterior/posterior axis of the hippocampal formation. 182

In the present study, we aimed to map the subiculum based on re- 183 gional patterns of functional connectivity using whole brain maps de- 184 scribing the co-occurrence of significant activations across studies. 185 These maps were generated using the BrainMap database for each 186 voxel within the subiculum. The cross-correlation of whole-brain co- 187 occurrence of significant activations between each pair of seed voxels 188 within the subiculum was computed. Clusters of seed voxels with 189 similar patterns of connectivity were determined. The obtained clusters 190 were cross-validated using multivariate clustering methods (Clos et al., 191 2013). We also aimed to map the (specific) whole-brain interaction pattern of the identified subregions using both task (using MACM) and 193 resting state (examining variation in low frequency resting state 194 BOLD) functional connectivity analyses. We investigated the extent to 195 which the MACM and resting fMRI signals overlapped by using activation loci defined by the former to mask the latter, as well as performing 197 whole brain analyses of each. A final aim was to characterize the func- 198 tions of the resulting sub-regions with reference to the behavioral 199 taxonomy information in the BrainMap database. We performed a func- 200 tional characterization of the region via statistical forward and reverse 201

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6025210

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6025210

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>