# ARTICLE IN PRESS

#### NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## NeuroImage



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

## Functional connectivity hubs of the mouse brain

#### Adam Liska<sup>a,b</sup>, Alberto Galbusera<sup>a</sup>, Adam J. Schwarz<sup>c</sup>, Alessandro Gozzi<sup>a,\*</sup> Q2

<sup>a</sup> Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Center for Neuroscience and Cognitive Systems @ UniTn, 38068 Rovereto, TN, Italy 3

<sup>b</sup> Center for Mind/Brain Sciences, University of Trento, Rovereto, TN, Italy 4

<sup>c</sup> Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA 5

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 December 2014 8 9 Accepted 16 April 2015 10 Available online xxxx

11 Keywords:

12fMRI

13 Functional connectivity Hubs

14Mouse

6

15

34

36 37

16 Default mode network 17 Resting state

### ABSTRACT

Recent advances in functional connectivity methods have made it possible to identify brain hubs - a set of highly 18 connected regions serving as integrators of distributed neuronal activity. The integrative role of hub nodes makes 19 these area points of high vulnerability to dysfunction in brain disorders, and abnormal hub connectivity profiles 20 have been described for several neuropsychiatric disorders. The identification of analogous functional connectiv- 21 ity hubs in preclinical species like the mouse may provide critical insight into the elusive biological underpinnings 22 of these connectional alterations. To spatially locate functional connectivity hubs in the mouse brain, here we ap- 23 plied a fully-weighted network analysis to map whole-brain intrinsic functional connectivity (i.e., the functional 24 connectome) at a high-resolution voxel-scale. Analysis of a large resting-state functional magnetic resonance im- 25 aging (rsfMRI) dataset revealed the presence of six distinct functional modules related to known large-scale func- 26 tional partitions of the brain, including a default-mode network (DMN). Consistent with human studies, highly- 27 connected functional hubs were identified in several sub-regions of the DMN, including the anterior and poste- 28 rior cingulate and prefrontal cortices, in the thalamus, and in small foci within well-known integrative cortical 29 structures such as the insular and temporal association cortices. According to their integrative role, the identified 30 hubs exhibited mutual preferential interconnections. These findings highlight the presence of evolutionarily- 31 conserved, mutually-interconnected functional hubs in the mouse brain, and may guide future investigations 32 of the biological foundations of aberrant rsfMRI hub connectivity associated with brain pathological states. 33 © 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc.

#### Introduction 39

Resting-state BOLD functional magnetic resonance imaging (rsfMRI) 40 has been widely employed to investigate the intrinsic functional organi-41 zation of the human brain (Bullmore and Sporns, 2009). Graph theory 42 43 representations of rsfMRI networks, whereby brain connectivity is conceptualized as a set of nodes (neuronal elements) and edges (their 44 interconnections), have demonstrated that the human brain has topo-45logical features recapitulating the defining characteristics of complex 4647 networks (Watts and Strogatz, 1998), including the presence of functionally specialised modules encompassing well-characterised 48 neurofunctional systems (Fair et al., 2009; Meunier et al., 2009; Power 49 50et al., 2011). In order to account for the brain's ability to simultaneously coordinate multiple network systems and ensure efficient communica-51 tion, the presence of functional hub nodes serving as integrators of dis-5253tinct neuronal systems has been hypothesized. Numerous rsfMRI studies have indicated the presence of highly-connected cortical regions 5455as putative functional hubs for the human brain, most of which appear 56to exhibit overlap with sub-regions of the default mode network 57(DMN) (Cole et al., 2010; Tomasi and Volkow, 2011; Zuo et al., 2012).

Corresponding author. E-mail address: alessandro.gozzi@iit.it (A. Gozzi).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.033 1053-8119/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Importantly, the integrative role of these hub regions renders them 58 points of potential vulnerability to dysfunction in brain disorders. Con- 59 sistent with this notion, aberrant rsfMRI connectivity profiles have 60 been described for several hub regions in pathological conditions such 61 as autism, schizophrenia and neurodegenerative disorders (Buckner 62 et al., 2009; van den Heuvel and Sporns, 2013). However, fundamental 63 issues related to the etiopathological and biological foundations of 64 these alterations remain to be addressed. For one, the neurophysiologi- 65 cal cellular underpinnings of functional hub derangement observed in 66 neuropsychiatric disorders remain largely unknown. It is also unclear 67 whether these alterations are patho-physiologically relevant, or just 68 epiphenomenal to underlying brain disorders. (See Table 1.) 03

Functional hub identification in preclinical species like the mouse, 70 where genetic, cellular and molecular underpinnings of several brain dis-71 orders can be reproduced in controlled conditions and manipulated with 72 cellular specificity (Deisseroth, 2011), may offer new critical insight into 73 the above-mentioned issues. Initial attempts to unravel the rodent's 74 brain functional topology have been carried out in rats (D'Souza et al., 75 2014; Liang et al., 2011, 2012) and more recently in mice (Mechling 76 et al., 2014; Stafford et al., 2014). By using independent-component anal-77 ysis (ICA) decomposition of rsfMRI signals in awake rats, Liang et al. 78 (2011) reported the presence of three large modules, covering cortical 79 areas, prefrontal and limbic hippocampal regions and basal forebrain 80 2

### Table 1

t1.2 List of abbreviations.

1.3	Abbreviation	Description
1.4	Acb	Nucleus accumbens
1.5	Amy	Amygdala
1.6	AO	Anterior olfactory nucleus
1.7	AON	Anterior olfactory nucleus
1.8	BF	Basal forebrain module
1.9	CA1/3	CA1/3 fields of hippocampus
1.10	Cg	Cingulate cortex
1.11	CM	Central medial nucleus
1.12	dHc	Dorsal hippocampus
1.13	DMN	Default mode network
1.14	FrA	Frontal association cortex
1.15	Hc	Hippocampus/hippocampal module
1.16	Нуро	Hypothalamus
1.17	Ins	Insular cortex
1.18	LCN	Lateral cortical network
1.19	M1/2	Primary/secondary motor cortex
1.20	M2	Secondary motor cortex
1.21	mPFc	Medial prefrontal cortex
1.22	MS	Medial septal nucleus
1.23	OFc	Orbitofrontal cortex
1.24	Р	Pons
1.25	PtA	Parietal association cortex
1.26	Rs	Retrosplenial cortex
1.27	S1/2	Primary/secondary somatosensory cortex
1.28	TeA	Temporal association cortex
1.29	Thal	Thalamus module
1.30	Th	Thalamus
1.31	vHc	Ventral hippocampus
1.32	VM	Ventral midbrain module
1.33	vSub	Ventral subiculum
1.34	VTA	Ventral tegmental area

structures, respectively. Using anatomically-defined labels, D'Souza et al. 81 82 (2014) identified six communities in medetomidine sedates rats, including two purely cortical systems (i.e., frontal and somatosensory) togeth-83 er with four mixed communities involving hippocampal and peri-84 hippocampal cortices, basal ganglia, thalamic nuclei and pons. ICA-85 86 based decomposition has also been recently applied to mouse rsfMRI datasets acquired under isoflurane anaesthesia (Mechling et al., 2014), 87 leading to the identification of a basal ganglia module plus four other 88 composite communities which included complex combinations of corti-89 cal and subcortical systems. Two of the above studies also report at-90 tempts to identify inter-connecting hub regions. D'Souza (2014) 04 attributed a putative integrative function to the hippocampus, striatum 9293 plus all cortical subdivision, with the sole exception of visual, primary motor and parietal cortices. These latter regions are part of a set of eleven 94 putative hub regions described by Mechling in the mouse brain (2014), 9596 which also included somatosensory, frontal as well as subcortical diencephalic structures and the striatum. Collectively, while these initial studies 97 led to the identification of seemingly stable functional partitions, sub-98 stantial heterogeneity exists in their anatomical composition, as well as 99 in the location of integrative structures, a finding that may reflect dis-100 101 crepant experimental procedures (e.g., anaesthesia, preprocessing pro-102 cedures) and is probably exacerbated by heterogeneity in the regional parcellation schemes (coarse ICA-based, or anatomical volumes) and 103network thresholding strategies employed. Moreover, none of the func-104tional partitions described so far can be straightforwardly related to 105106 known distributed human networks (e.g., DMN), which is a limiting factor in the translation of preclinical research to human condition. 107

Employing rigorous control of motion and potential physiological 108 confounds (Ferrari et al., 2012), we recently demonstrated the presence 109of robust distributed rsfMRI networks in the mouse brain (Zhan et al., 110 2014), including functional precursors of the human salience and de-111 fault mode networks (Sforazzini et al., 2014a,b), an observation recently 112 replicated by an independent group (Stafford et al., 2014). Our datasets 113 offer the opportunity to spatially locate functional hubs in the mouse 114 115 brain and relate them to known network system of the human brain,

## ARTICLE IN PRESS

#### A. Liska et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

which greatly enhances the translational value of this approach. To 116 this purpose, here we applied a computationally unbiased, fully- 117 weighted network analysis of rsfMRI connectivity at a voxel scale in a 118 large cohort of adult mice. We show the presence of six large-scale func- 119 tional partitions, and anatomically localise mutually inter-connected 120 hubs in several sub-regions of the DMN as well as in several cortical as- 121 sociation areas of the mouse brain. These bear a strong resemblance to 122 findings in the human brain, suggesting the presence of evolutionarily 123 conserved cortical regions serving as integrators of segregated brain 124 systems in the mouse, and supporting the use of this species to investi- 125 gate aberrant rsfMRI hub connectivity associated to brain pathological 126 states. 127

### Materials and methods

All in vivo studies were conducted in accordance with the Italian law 129 (DL 116, 1992 Ministero della Sanità, Roma) and the recommendations 130 in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National 131 Institutes of Health. Animal research protocols were also reviewed and 132 consented to by the animal care committee of the Istituto Italiano di 133 Tecnologia (permit 07-2012). All surgical procedures were performed 134 under anaesthesia. 135

128

136

152

167

#### Animal preparation

MRI experiments were performed on male 20-24 week old C57BL/ 137 6J (B6) mice (n = 41, Charles River, Como, Italy). The animal pre- 138 paration protocol was recently described in detail (Ferrari et al., 2012; 139 Sforazzini et al., 2014a,b; Zhan et al., 2014). Briefly, mice were 140 anaesthetised with isoflurane (5% induction), intubated and artificially 141 ventilated (2% maintenance). The left femoral artery was cannulated 142 for continuous blood pressure monitoring and blood sampling. At the 143 end of surgery, isoflurane was discontinued and substituted with halo- 144 thane (0.75%). Functional data acquisition commenced 45 min after 145 isoflurane cessation. Mean arterial blood pressure was recorded 146 throughout the imaging sessions. Arterial blood gases ( $p_aCO_2$  and 147  $p_aO_2$ ) were measured at the end of the functional time series to exclude 148 non-physiological conditions. Mean p<sub>a</sub>CO<sub>2</sub> and p<sub>a</sub>O<sub>2</sub> levels recorded 149 were 20  $\pm$  5 and 257  $\pm$  33 mm Hg, respectively, well within the physiological range. 151

#### Image data acquisition

All in vivo experiments were performed using a 7.0 T MRI scanner 153 (Bruker Biospin, Milan). Transmission and reception were achieved 154 using a 72 mm birdcage transmit coil and a custom-built saddle-155 shaped four-channel solenoid coil for signal reception. Shimming was 156 performed on a 6 mm × 6 mm × 6 mm region, using a FASTMAP proto-157 col. For each session, high-resolution anatomical images were acquired 158 with a fast spin echo sequence (RARE, Hennig et al., 1986) with the following parameters: repetition time (TR)/echo time (TE) 5500/60 ms, 160 matrix 192 × 192, field of view 2 × 2 cm<sup>2</sup>, 24 coronal slices, and slice 161 thickness 0.50 mm. Co-centred single-shot BOLD rsfMRI time series 162 were acquired using an echo planar imaging (EPI) sequence with the 163 following parameters: TR/TE 1200/15 ms, flip angle 30°, matrix 164 100 × 100, field of view 2 × 2 cm<sup>2</sup>, 24 coronal slices, slice thickness 165 0.50 mm, 300 volumes and a total rsfMRI acquisition time of 6 min.

#### Image data preprocessing

Image preprocessing was carried out using tools from FMRIB Soft- 168 ware Library (FSL, v5.0.6; http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/) (Jenkinson 169 et al., 2012) and AFNI (v2011\_12\_21\_1014; http://afni.nimh.nih.gov/ 170 afni/). RsfMRI time series were despiked (AFNI/3dDespike), corrected 171 for motion (AFNI/3dvolreg), and spatially normalised to an in-house 172 C57Bl/6J mouse brain template (Sforazzini et al., 2014b) (FSL/FLIRT, 12 173

Please cite this article as: Liska, A., et al., Functional connectivity hubs of the mouse brain, NeuroImage (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.neuroimage.2015.04.033 Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6025330

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6025330

Daneshyari.com