## ARTICLE IN PRESS

YNIMG-11674; No. of pages: 10; 4C: 5

NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### NeuroImage

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg



# Lost for emotion words: What motor and limbic brain activity reveals about autism and semantic theory

- Rachel L. Moseley <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Yury Shtyrov <sup>a,c,d</sup>, Bettina Mohr <sup>e</sup>, Michael V. Lombardo <sup>b,f</sup>, Simon Baron-Cohen <sup>b,g</sup>, Friedemann Pulvermüller <sup>h</sup>
- <sup>a</sup> MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, Cambridge, UK
- 6 b Autism Research Centre, Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, UK
- <sup>c</sup> Centre for Functionally Integrative Neuroscience, Aarhus University, Denmark
- 8 d Centre for Languages and Literature, Lund University, Sweden
- <sup>e</sup> Charité-University Medicine, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Campus Benjamin Franklin, Berlin, Germany
- 10 f Department of Psychology, University of Cyprus, Cyprus
- 11 g Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust, CLASS Clinic, UK
- 2 h Brain Language Laboratory, Department of Philosophy and Humanities, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany

#### 3 ARTICLE INFO

- 14 Article history:
- 15 Accepted 20 September 2014
- 16 Available online xxxx
- 17 Keywords:
- 18 Autism
- 19 Emotion20 Embodied cognition
- 21 Semantics

38

39 **40** 42

44

45

46 47

48

49 50

51 52

Q2

#### ABSTRACT

Autism spectrum conditions (ASC) are characterised by deficits in understanding and expressing emotions and 22 are frequently accompanied by alexithymia, a difficulty in understanding and expressing emotion words. 23 Words are differentially represented in the brain according to their semantic category and these difficulties in 24 ASC predict reduced activation to emotion-related words in limbic structures crucial for affective processing. 25 Semantic theories view 'emotion actions' as critical for learning the semantic relationship between a word and 26 the emotion it describes, such that emotion words typically activate the cortical motor systems involved in 27 expressing emotion actions such as facial expressions. As ASC are also characterised by motor deficits and atypical 28 brain structure and function in these regions, motor structures would also be expected to show reduced 29 activation during emotion-semantic processing. Here we used event-related fMRI to compare passive processing 30 of emotion words in comparison to abstract verbs and animal names in typically-developing controls and 31 individuals with ASC. Relatively reduced brain activation in ASC for emotion words, but not matched control 32 words, was found in motor areas and cingulate cortex specifically. The degree of activation evoked by emotion 33 words in the motor system was also associated with the extent of autistic traits as revealed by the Autism 34 Spectrum Quotient. We suggest that hypoactivation of motor and limbic regions for emotion-word processing 35 may underlie difficulties in processing emotional language in ASC. The role that sensorimotor systems and 36 their connections might play in the affective and social-communication difficulties in ASC is discussed.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

#### Introduction

Inherent in Kanner's first description of autism as a 'disturbance of affective contact' (Kanner, 1943), the domain of emotion has been cardinal throughout the history of autism research. Disturbances in the affective domain may help to explain why individuals with autism spectrum conditions (ASC) have difficulty in connecting, socializing, communicating, and understanding the hidden mental world of others that drives much of social behaviour (Baron-Cohen, 1995). A recent, methodologically rigorous meta-analysis of the emotion recognition literature in autism suggests that a pervasive deficit exists (Uljarevic

and Hamilton, 2013), extending to understanding emotions in vocal 54 cues and nonverbal gestures (Braverman et al., 1989; Hobson, 1986a, 55 b; Rutherford et al., 2002; Golan et al., 2007; Humphreys et al., 2007; 56 Philip et al., 2010). In terms of emotional expression, studies in autism 57 also indicate lower responsivity to emotional displays of others 58 (Sigman et al., 1992; Kasari et al., 1993), a lack of spontaneous mimicry 59 of others' facial expressions (McIntosh et al., 2006; Beall et al., 2008; 60 Oberman et al., 2009), and attenuated physiological response to 61 emotional expressions, pain and distress in others (Corona et al., 62 1998; Ben Shalom et al., 2006; Bölte et al., 2008; Minio-Paluello et al., 63 2009). Vocalisations and facial expressions of affect in autism are 64 characteristically flat or neutral (Snow et al., 1987; Yirmiya et al., 65 1989; Capps et al. 1993), and may be inappropriately disconnected Q3 from the social context in which they appear (Neuman and Hill, 1978; 67 Dawson and McKissick, 1984; Hobson et al., 2006). Finally, difficulty in 68

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.09.046

1053-8119/© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

Please cite this article as: Moseley, R.L., et al., Lost for emotion words: What motor and limbic brain activity reveals about autism and semantic theory, NeuroImage (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.09.046

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Autism Research Centre, Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Douglas House, 18b Trumpington Road, Cambridge CB2 8AH, UK. E-mail address: rachel.moseley@cantab.net (R.L. Moseley).

69 70

71 72

73

74 75

76

77

78

79

80

81 82

83 84

85

86

87

88

89

90 91

92

93

94

95

97

98

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

04

109

110

111

112

113

114 115

116

117

118

119 120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

identifying and describing emotions verbally, known as alexithymia, is much more prevalent in people with ASC (Lombardo et al., 2007; Hill et al., 2004) and their parents (Szatmari et al., 2008). In summary, it seems that individuals with ASC are atypical in how they express emotions in comparison to typically-developing peers and that, likewise, their perception and mirroring of emotions are reduced, if not impaired.

This pattern of emotion expression and perception deficits is one component of the difficulty in mentalising, the ability to represent one's own emotional states and thought processes and those of others (Happé, 1994; Baron-Cohen, 1995; Lombardo et al., 2007; Silani et al., 2008; White et al., 2009; Lombardo and Baron-Cohen, 2011). In this sense, deficits in self-referential processing may alter an individual's ability to use the self as a proxy for simulating the mental lives of others. A deficit in representation and/or recognition of one's own emotions would impair attempts to accurately simulate others via oneself (Lombardo and Baron-Cohen, 2011).

The more generalized role of simulation in mental operations is increasingly recognised in the field of cognitive neuroscience, where Barsalou (2008) names it "a core form of computation in the brain" (pp. 618-619). A proposal which has recently gained speed with much empirical support is that self-performed actions (including those involved in emotional expression) and the perceptual consequences of the same lead to linked action-perception representations that are later used in cognitive processing and social interaction (Pulvermüller, 2013). In action cognition, for example, researchers have suggested that action goals are simulated in the motor systems of observers in order to understand the intentions underlying actions, such as whether or not the actor intends to eat the object (Cattaneo et al., 2007). In the context of the mirror neuron theory, these joint action-perception circuits, consisting of these self-same sensorimotor neurons with visual and/or auditory properties, have been found underactive in autism (Williams et al., 2001; Cattaneo et al., 2007; Rizzolatti and Fabbri-Destro, 2010; Moseley et al., 2013a).

Given that alexithymia in autism is a *linguistic* deficit in processing the emotions of self and others, this implies that there is atypical processing of words semantically related to emotions. Prior work in autism suggests that these individuals do indeed show difficulty in understanding and using emotional and cognitive mental state terms (such as "dread", "thought": see Hobson & Lee, 1987; Capps et al., 1992; Tager-Flusberg, 1992; Baron-Cohen et al., 1994, Baron-Cohen et al., 1986; Happé, 1994; Tager-Flusberg and Sullivan, 1994, 1995; Jolliffe and Baron-Cohen, 1999) as well as an inability to link mental state terms to emotional information present in features of the eyes (Baron-Cohen et al., 1997, 2001a). The neural correlates of this deficit are, however, unknown. In the present study we used event-related fMRI to investigate brain systems activated when people with ASC process abstract emotion words. Our hypotheses about atypical cortical emotion word processing focused on two key areas.

In typically developing (TD) individuals, the meaning of action and emotion words and concepts seems to involve the cortical motor system (Pulvermüller and Fadiga, 2010; Moseley et al., 2012). Our prior work has shown that individuals with autism show hypoactivity of cortical motor systems when they process action words and concepts (Moseley et al., 2013a), and this is consistent with atypical structure of the motor cortex (Mostofsky et al., 2007) and movement impairments in ASC (see Fournier et al., 2010, for review). The motor regions unexpectedly inactive during action word processing in ASC were the same as those found particularly active when TD individuals processed abstract emotion words (Moseley et al., 2012). Theoretically, this 'motor embodiment' of emotion words suggests that the link between an emotion word and the emotional state it expresses depends on emotion expression in action (Wittgenstein, 1953; Pulvermüller, 2012, 2013). In early language acquisition, emotion expression by infants provides a natural context for teaching emotion words and, therefore, the motor and limbic regions for emotion expression may be woven into the semantic representations of abstract emotion-related words. As TMS 135 and work in brain-damaged patients shows that somatosensory and 136 motor regions along with limbic emotion processing areas in insular 137 cortex are necessary for the perception of emotion-related information 138 immanent to the face (Pitcher et al., 2008; Adolphs et al., 2000), and 139 these same areas are also active in emotion word processing (Moseley 140 et al., 2012; Vigliocco et al., 2013), we hypothesised that these cortical 141 motor and limbic systems would be affected in autism during emotion 142 word processing and might reflect the degree of autistic traits in ASC. 143

An additional hypothesis focuses on limbic areas involved in 144 emotion processing (Calder et al., 2001). A range of these regions, 145 including orbitofrontal and frontopolar cortex, anterior cingulate 146 gyrus, insula, and basal ganglia (putamen, caudate, and globus 147 pallidum), are involved in emotion word processing (for review see 148 Moseley et al., 2012; Vigliocco et al., 2013). Because these regions are 149 specifically activated by emotion-related language, this subset of limbic 150 areas, along with motor systems, provides a putative cortical basis for 151 'simulation' of word meaning and affective semantics more generally. 152 It has been suggested that, at the neurobiological level, strong 153 emotional-affective associations of emotion words are mechanistically 154 organised as 'limbic tails' of cortical cell assemblies reaching into 155 subcortical structures of the limbic system (Pulvermüller and 156 Schumann, 1994). Since, in addition to the aforementioned abnormalities 157 of cortical motor systems, people with ASC also show atypical activity and 158 structure in many of these limbic regions (Raymond et al., 1995; Bauman 05 and Kemper, 1994; Haznedar et al., 1997, 2000; Aylward et al., 1999; 160 Howard et al., 2000; Ohnishi et al., 2000; Salmond et al., 2003; 161 Barnea-Goraly et al., 2004; Schumann et al., 2004; Schumann and Amaral, 162 2006; Girgis et al., 2007; Bonilha et al., 2008; Cheung et al., 2009; 163 McAlonan et al., 2009; Pugliese et al., 2009; Uddin and Menon, 2009) 164 we predict additional hypo-activity in limbic systems when people with 165 ASC process emotion-related words.

In summary, activation of motor and limbic areas during abstract 167 emotion word processing seen in TD individuals may be atypical in 168 ASC, partly due to their deficits in emotion processing and thus limbic 169 activation, and to deficits in emotion expression and thus motor system 170 activity. Whereas limbic hypoactivation might be predicted by the 171 common emotion processing deficits in ASC, the additional prediction 172 of motor hypoactivity in emotion word processing rests on the semantic 173 link between emotion words and motor systems (Moseley et al., 2012). 174 If the semantic link between an emotion and the word denoting it is via 175 emotion expression in motor behaviour, the motor difficulties reported 176 in ASC imply that this link will be atypical even during single word 177 reading and comprehension, a task unrelated to overt emotion process- 178 ing. Therefore, if words denoting abstract emotional states draw on 179 cortical motor and limbic regions during processing, atypical functioning 180 may be apparent in both of these regions when individuals with ASC 181 simply read these words. In comparison with words denoting animals 182 or abstract verbs, neither of which are especially linked with motor or 183 limbic regions, we predicted that individuals with ASC would show a 184 category-specific atypical pattern during emotion word processing that 185 should be specific to the motor and limbic areas that are atypical in ASC 186 and associated with emotion word processing in typical controls.

#### Materials and methods

Participants 189

188

Right-handed, native English-speaking participants comprising 18 190 high-functioning adults with an ASC (mean age: 30.4 years [standard 191 deviation (SD): 10]; mean IQ: 113.5 [SD: 23]) and 18 age- and 192 IQ-matched TD controls (mean age: 28.6 years [SD: 11.7]; mean IQ: 193 110.2 [SD: 12.3]). Data from TD participants were previously published 194 in Moseley et al. (2012); here, a new and independent analysis 195 compares these participants and individuals with ASC who were 196 recruited from the volunteer database at www.autismresearchcentre. 197

#### Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6026515

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6026515

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>