ARTICLE IN PRESS

NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

NeuroImage

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg



Subject-specific functional parcellation via Prior Based Eigenanatomy

Q1 Paramveer S. Dhillon ^{a,b,*,1}, David A. Wolk ^c, Sandhitsu R. Das ^a, Lyle H. Ungar ^b, James C. Gee ^a, Brian B. Avants ^a

3 ^a Penn Image Computing and Science Laboratory (PICSL), Department of Radiology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

4 ^b Department of Computer & Information Science, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

5 ^c Department of Neurology and Penn Memory Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

6 A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:
 Accepted 10 May 2014
 Available online xxxx

- 10 Keywords:
- 11 fMRI

1

12 ROI

- 13 Data-driven parcellations
- 14 PCA
- 15 MCI
- 16 Delayed recall
- 17 Default mode network

ABSTRACT

We present a new framework for prior-constrained sparse decomposition of matrices derived from the neuroimaging data and apply this method to functional network analysis of a clinically relevant population. Matrix 19 decomposition methods are powerful dimensionality reduction tools that have found widespread use in neuro- 20 imaging. However, the unconstrained nature of these totally data-driven techniques makes it difficult to interpret 21 the results in a domain where network-specific hypotheses may exist. 22 We propose a novel approach, Prior Based Eigenanatomy (p-Eigen), which seeks to identify a data-driven 23 matrix decomposition but at the same time constrains the individual components by spatial anatomical priors 24 (probabilistic ROIs). We formulate our novel solution in terms of prior-constrained /1 penalized (sparse) princi-25 pal component analysis, p-Eigen starts with a common functional parcellation for all the subjects and refines it 26 with subject-specific information. This enables modeling of the inter-subject variability in the functional parcel 27 boundaries and allows us to construct subject-specific networks with reduced sensitivity to ROI placement. 28 We show that while still maintaining correspondence across subjects, p-Eigen extracts biologically-relevant and 29 patient-specific functional parcels that facilitate hypothesis-driven network analysis. We construct default mode 30 network (DMN) connectivity graphs using p-Eigen refined ROIs and use them in a classification paradigm. Our 31 results show that the functional connectivity graphs derived from p-Eigen significantly aid classification of 32 mild cognitive impairment (MCI) as well as the prediction of scores in a Delayed Recall memory task when com- 33 pared to graph metrics derived from 1) standard registration-based seed ROI definitions, 2) totally data-driven 34 ROIs, 3) a model based on standard demographics plus hippocampal volume as covariates, and 4) Ward Cluster- 35 ing based data-driven ROIs. In summary, p-Eigen incarnates a new class of prior-constrained dimensionality re- 36 duction tools that may improve our understanding of the relationship between MCI and functional connectivity. 37 Published by Elsevier Inc.

38 **49**

41

43 Introduction & related work

44 The presence of large and diverse neuroimaging datasets has brought the importance of data analysis techniques into focus. These is-45sues are particularly salient in blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) 46fMRI where recent papers have highlighted the sensitivity of this mo-4748dality to specific analysis choices (Carp, 2012; Haller and Bartsch, 2009). Network analysis of functional connectivity within the brain 49 from BOLD data has received a significant amount of attention and is no-5051torious for being sensitive to analysis decisions (Dawson et al., 2012; Eke et al., 2012). 52

Functional connectivity is defined as the temporal co-activation of
 neuronal activation patterns between anatomically separated regions
 of the brain (Aertsen et al., 1989) and is thought to be an indicator of
 functional communication between these different regions. Typically,

E-mail address: dhillon@cis.upenn.edu (P.S. Dhillon).

¹ Tel.: +1 215 588 9636.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.05.026 1053-8119/Published by Elsevier Inc. functional connectivity studies measure the level of correlation be- 57 tween the time-series of the resting state BOLD signal of the different 58 brain regions (Biswal et al., 1997; Damoiseaux et al., 2006; Salvador 59 et al., 2005). Studying the brain as an integrative network of functionally 60 interacting brain regions can shed new light on large scale neuronal 61 communication in the brain and how this communication is impaired 62 in neurological diseases (Bullmore and Sporns, 2009; Mohammadi 63 et al., 2009; Seeley et al., 2009). 64

There are two predominant approaches for the analysis of functional 65 connectivity: 66

 Seed (ROI) based approaches: These are straightforward and operate 67 in the traditional confirmatory network paradigm (Tukey, 1977). 68 They involve computing the correlation between the time series of a 69 given (preselected) *protect seed* brain region (ROI)² against all the 70 other brain regions, resulting in a set of functional connectivity 71

Please cite this article as: Dhillon, P.S., et al., Subject-specific functional parcellation via Prior Based Eigenanatomy, NeuroImage (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.05.026



^{*} Corresponding author at: Penn Image Computing and Science Laboratory (PICSL), Department of Radiology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

 $^{^{2}\,}$ One can compute these correlations either voxelwise or by averaging over the voxels in an entire ROI.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

P.S. Dhillon et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2014) xxx-xxx

maps of the given brain regions (Biswal et al., 1997; Cordes et al.,
2000). These functional connectivity maps can then be used to construct *resting-state-networks* of functionally correlated regions in the
brain (Fox et al., 2005). The *ct seed* region can either be selected
based on prior clinical knowledge or it can be selected from the activation map of a separate task dependent fMRI scan.

78Learning based approaches: These approaches use statistical tech-79niques to explore functional connectivity in the brain, obviating the 80 need to define a seed region. Typical methods employed are Principal 81 Component Analysis (PCA) (Friston, 1998), Independent Component 82 Analysis (ICA) or its variants e.g. Group ICA (Beckmann and Smith, 2004; Beckmann et al., 2005; Damoiseaux et al., 2006; Petrella et al., 83 2011; Varoquaux et al., 2010b) or hierarchical methods (Blumensath 84 85 et al., 2013; Cordes et al., 2002; Salvador et al., 2005). These methods strive to find a set of orthogonal or independent signals in the time se-86 ries that can explain the resting state activity patterns. ICA based 87 methods are the popular methods in this setting as they can find a 88 set of independent signals from whole brain voxelwise data and also 89 due to the public availability of tools like MELODIC in FSL (Jenkinson 90 et al., 2012) for ICA and Group ICA of fMRI Toolbox (GIFT) (Calhoun 91 et al., 2001). Subsequently, one can create brain connectivity 92networks from the outputs of these approaches by computing correla-93 94 tions between the different (independent/orthogonal) signals they 95 find

The brain networks found by the above approaches are represented 96 as a set of vertices (brain regions) connected by edges which represent 97 98 the strength of correlation between those two regions (He and Evans, 2010; Stam et al., 2007). Various independent studies (surveyed here 99 (van den Heuvel and Hulshoff Pol, 2010)) have consistently found a 100 set of eight functional connectivity networks in the brain. One can use 101 102a set of key properties of the network graph e.g. clustering coefficient, 103 centrality and modularity to get further insights into the flow of neuronal signals within a network (He and Evans, 2010; Stam et al., 2007). 104

The above-mentioned approaches for analyzing functional connec-105tivity and constructing brain networks suffer from a variety of problems. 106 107 The Group ICA based approaches do a group decomposition of the time 108 series' images of the entire cohort; they have an averaging effect and erode away any subject specific characteristics of the network. So, the 109Group ICA analysis is usually followed by a back reconstruction step to 110 generate subject-specific functional connectivity maps (Smith et al., 111 112 2011). However, it is unclear how to choose a statistically justified threshold to binarize these maps. 113

The seed based approaches also suffer from the problem of averag-114 ing the signal and may be sensitive to ROI placement (Zhang et al., 115 2012), co-registration errors and the specific ROI boundaries. These ap-116 117 proaches assume that the signal lies totally within a predefined region. However, the important signal may have slightly different boundaries 118 than the scientist's conception. The data representation (or spatially 119varying noise) may also lead to strong or weak signal within different 120parts of the ROI. Such dataset specific information is not taken into ac-121122count by a traditional seed based approach. When effects are localized 123to the selected region, and that region is well-defined, a seed based analysis may provide the most sensitive testing method. However, 124some conditions involve a network of regions that may not be fully 125identified. 126

Furthermore, it has been shown that decreased/impaired functional 127connectivity in certain brain networks, for instance, the default mode 128network (DMN) has association with neurodegenerative disorders e.g. 129 Alzheimer's disease (AD) (Greicius et al., 2004; Sheline et al., 2010), 130schizophrenia (Liu et al., 2008; Whitfield-Gabrieli et al., 2009), multiple 131 sclerosis (MS) (Lowe et al., 2008), mild cognitive impairment (MCI) 132(Agosta et al., 2012; Bai et al., 2009; Hedden et al., 2009; Petrella et al., 133 2011). So, it has become even more imperative to improve statistical 134 analysis methods to efficiently leverage the scarce patient BOLD fMRI 135 data that is typically available. 136

In this paper, we propose a method that integrates ideas from both 137 the seed based and learning based approaches. Our contributions in 138 this paper are threefold. 139

- (1) We contribute a general method for prior constrained eigen de- 140 composition (p-Eigen) of high-dimensional matrices and a 141 novel algorithm for its optimization.
- (2) Publicly available implementation of our approach in C++. 143
- (3) Application of p-Eigen for deriving subject specific functional 144 parcellations from BOLD data (which are later used to derive 145 functional networks) and an evaluation of these novel measure 146 ments in the context of MCI and prediction of delayed recall in a 147 memory task.

Our approach provides a principled way of incorporating priors in an149
150otherwise totally data-driven approach based on Sparse Principal Com-
ponent Analysis (SPCA) (d'Aspremont et al., 2007; Shen and Huang,
152151
1522008; Witten et al., 2009; Zou et al., 2006).153

p-Eigen allows an initial binary or probabilistic ROI to adapt to the underlying subject-specific covariation within the data. At the same time, p-Eigen maintains proximity to (and the locality of) the original region and thus retains the advantages of the standard seed based approach. p-Eigen also maintains non-negativity in the estimated anatomicallyp-Eigen also maintains non-negativity in the estimated anatomicallysconstrained eigenvector, thereby keeping ROI interpretability. This allows us to modify the definitions of labels to capture the variation in dataset (a given subject's time series) while still staying close to the initial ROI definitions. p-Eigen therefore produces labelings with "soft" weighted averages and as we show in the experimental section, are more sensitive to the underlying brain data than a standard ROI.

Given an ROI set, p-Eigen has only one key parameter to tune the 165 weight of the prior term guiding the decomposition. Therefore, our optimization objective provides a tradeoff between 1) staying close to the 167 initial ROI definitions and 2) allowing data to lead the exploratory analysis by explaining variance through PCA. A good way to think about this 169 is as ROI definitions forcing us to be *conservative* and staying close to the 170 initial brain parcellation; on the other hand the SPCA component gives 171 us *liberty* to be either more exploratory or more focused on the content 172 of the given dataset. The tradeoff between the two competing paradigms is defined by user tunable (prior strength) parameter, which is 174 chosen via cross validation. 175

p-Eigen does a prior constrained sparse decomposition of each subject's time series image separately to create subject-specific functional 177 networks, so it does not suffer from the problem of averaging as 178 Group ICA does. Moreover, the priors help us maintain a direct correspondence between the anatomy of the same regions across different 180 subjects hence leading to better clinical interpretability. Our proposed 181 approach is shown in Fig. 1.

We have drawn a clear contrast between our approach and the two 183 related approaches namely seed based approaches (no influence of 184 data) and Group ICA/PCA based approaches (only data driven). That 185 said, there has also been substantial work on incorporating prior information across subjects to build subject-specific functional networks as 187 proposed by this paper. 188

Some early work that performed PCA on fMRI signal within ROIs 189 (Nieto-Castanon et al., 2003) clearly foreshadowed p-Eigen. Thirion 190 et al. (2006) also proposed a spectral learning based technique for 191 parcellation that delineates homogeneous and connected regions across 192 subjects, providing subject-specific functional networks. 193

The research that is perhaps closest to ours is Ng et al. (2009a), 194 Deligianni et al. (2011) and Blumensath et al. (2013). Ng et al. 195 (2009a) used group replicator dynamics (GRD) for finding sparse 196 functional networks that are common across subjects but have 197 subject-specific weightings of the brain regions. Langs et al. (2010) 198 performed functional alignment across subjects to achieve improved 199 functional correspondences across subjects. Deligianni et al. (2011) 200 used brain anatomical connectivity to constrain the conditional 201

Please cite this article as: Dhillon, P.S., et al., Subject-specific functional parcellation via Prior Based Eigenanatomy, NeuroImage (2014), http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.05.026 Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6027041

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6027041

Daneshyari.com