



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Preventive Medicine

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ypmed](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ypmed)

## Q4 Adverse associations of car time with markers of cardio-metabolic risk

Q5 Takemi Sugiyama<sup>a,b,c,d,\*</sup>, Katrien Wijndaele<sup>e</sup>, Mohammad Javad Koohsari<sup>c,d</sup>, Stephanie K. Tanamas<sup>c</sup>,  
David W. Dunstan<sup>c,f,g,h,i,j,k</sup>, Neville Owen<sup>c,d,g,j</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centre for Design Innovation, Faculty of Health Arts & Design, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

<sup>b</sup> School of Population Health, University of South Australia, Adelaide, SA, Australia

<sup>c</sup> Baker IDI Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

<sup>d</sup> Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

<sup>e</sup> MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

<sup>f</sup> School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Deakin University, Burwood, VIC, Australia

<sup>g</sup> School of Population Health, The University of QLD, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

<sup>h</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

<sup>i</sup> School of Sport Science, Exercise and Health The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia

<sup>j</sup> Central Clinical School, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

<sup>k</sup> Mary MacKillop Institute of Health Research, Australian Catholic University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

## 16 ARTICLE INFO

17 Available online xxxx

## 18 Keywords:

19 Sedentary behavior

40 Motorized transport

41 Automobile

42 Adiposity

## ABSTRACT

**Objective.** To examine associations of time spent sitting in cars with markers of cardio-metabolic risk in Australian adults.

**Method.** Data were from 2800 participants (age range: 34–65) in the 2011–12 Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study. Self-reported time spent in cars was categorized into four groups: ≤15 min/day; >15 to ≤30 min/day; >30 to ≤60 min/day; and >60 min/day. Markers of cardio-metabolic risk were BMI, waist circumference, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, triglycerides, HDL-cholesterol, fasting plasma glucose, 2-h plasma glucose, a clustered cardio-metabolic risk score, and having the metabolic syndrome or not. Multilevel linear and logistic regression analyses examined associations of car time with each cardio-metabolic risk outcome, adjusting for socio-demographic and behavioral variables and medication use for blood pressure and cholesterol/triglycerides.

**Results.** Compared to spending 15 min/day or less in cars, spending more than 1 h/day in cars was significantly associated with higher BMI, waist circumference, fasting plasma glucose, and clustered cardio-metabolic risk, after adjusting for socio-demographic attributes and potentially relevant behaviors including leisure-time physical activity and dietary intake. Gender interactions showed car time to be associated with higher BMI in men only.

**Conclusions.** Prolonged time spent sitting in cars, in particular over 1 h/day, was associated with higher total and central adiposity and a more-adverse cardio-metabolic risk profile. Further studies, ideally using objective measures of sitting time in cars and prospective designs, are needed to confirm the impact of car use on cardio-metabolic disease risk.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc. 37

## 44 Background

45 High volumes of daily sitting time, which are now common in many  
46 countries (Matthews et al., 2008; Ng and Popkin, 2012) are associated  
47 with an increased risk of cardiovascular and other chronic diseases  
48 (Cooper et al., 2014; Healy et al., 2008; Owen et al., 2010; Thorp et al.,  
49 2010; Wijndaele et al., 2014). Of the specific sedentary behaviors, TV  
50 viewing time has been examined extensively and shown to be associated  
51 with cardiovascular mortality (Dunstan et al., 2010; Matthews et al.,  
52 2012) and markers of cardio-metabolic risk (Inoue et al., 2012; Thorp  
53 et al., 2010; Wijndaele et al., 2010). However, potential health effects of

54 other sedentary behaviors, such as sitting in cars, have been less studied.  
55 Although sitting defines sedentary behaviors regardless of where  
56 they take place, driving can involve slightly higher energy expenditure  
57 (2.0 METs) than other sedentary behaviors such as TV viewing (sitting  
58 quietly, 1.0 METs) and sitting at work (1.5 METs) (Ainsworth et al.,  
59 2000). Recent studies have suggested that sitting in different domains  
60 may not be similarly associated with mortality and disease outcomes  
61 (Basterra-Gortari et al., 2014; Chau et al., 2012; Pinto Pereira et al.,  
62 2012). Given that different strategies may be needed to reduce sedentary  
63 behavior in different domains (leisure, transport, and occupation), it is  
64 important for practitioners and policy makers in relevant sectors to un-  
65 derstand how particular domain-specific sedentary behaviors are related  
66 to health risk.

67 The proportion of adults who use a car as the main form of transport  
68 to work or for other commuting purposes is high: 86% in the USA and  
69 70

\* Corresponding author at: Centre for Design Innovation, Faculty of Health Arts & Design, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn, VIC 3122, Australia.  
E-mail address: [tsugiyama@swin.edu.au](mailto:tsugiyama@swin.edu.au) (T. Sugiyama).

72 78% in Australia (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2012; McKenzie and  
73 Rapino, 2011). Even in European countries, which can have more-  
74 compact urban environments and more accessible public transport,  
75 the majority of trips are made by cars. For example, cars are used for  
76 64% of all instances of travel in the UK, and 53% in Sweden (Transport  
77 Analysis, 2012; UK Department of Transport, 2013). Car use is not only  
78 high in frequency, but can also be substantial in duration. Australian  
79 household travel surveys show that adults spend on average more  
80 than 50 min/day in a car (Ironmonger, 2008), with up to 18% of men  
81 and 12% of women spending more than 2 h/day (Sugiyama et al., 2012).

82 Car commuters have been shown to have higher odds of being over-  
83 weight or obese, compared to non-car commuters (Lavery et al., 2013;  
84 Lindstrom, 2008). Frequent car use for commuting and errands has been  
85 associated with higher BMI (Pendola and Gen, 2007). A recent review  
86 has shown that longer car use (measured in time or in distance) was sig-  
87 nificantly associated with higher weight status in eight out of 10 studies  
88 (McCormack and Virk, 2014). Longitudinal studies have also found fre-  
89 quent and longer car use to be associated with greater weight gain and  
90 higher cardiovascular mortality (Sugiyama et al., 2013; Warren et al.,  
91 2010). These studies, however, have used predominantly self-reported  
92 adiposity measures. One study reported distance between home and  
93 work to be associated with a metabolic syndrome risk score derived  
94 from objectively-assessed biomarkers (Hoehner et al., 2012). However,  
95 such a distance measure focusing on the workplace may not accurately  
96 represent time spent sitting in cars.

97 As discussed above, car use is a common sedentary behavior among  
98 adults. Since it has a broad detrimental impact on society including  
99 human health, greenhouse gas emission, and congestion, producing  
100 specific evidence of how time in car is associated with increased risk  
101 of chronic diseases is relevant to help inform policies to promote active  
102 travel. We examined associations of time spent sitting in cars  
103 with objectively-measured markers of cardio-metabolic risk among  
104 Australian adults.

## 105 Methods

### 106 Data source

107 Data were obtained from participants of the third wave of the Australian  
108 Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle (AusDiab3) study, which was conducted in  
109 2011–12. AusDiab is a cohort study that was originally established to examine  
110 the national prevalence and incidence of diabetes and related risk factors.  
111 Detailed methods of recruitment and data collection have been reported  
112 elsewhere (Dunstan et al., 2002; Thorp et al., 2010). Briefly, the initial data  
113 collection (AusDiab1) was conducted in 1999–2000, using a stratified cluster  
114 sampling method. First, six Census Collection District (CCD, a geographic unit  
115 defined by the Australian Bureau of Statistics with an average of 225 dwellings  
116 each) clusters were selected from each of the seven Australian states and  
117 territory. Within each of the 42 study areas, adults aged 25 years and over  
118 who had resided at the address for 6 months or longer prior to the survey and  
119 those without physical or intellectual disabilities were asked to participate in  
120 the survey. The sample for AusDiab 1 ( $n = 11,247$ ) was a national sample of  
121 the adult population aged 25 years and over. Survey questions on car use  
122 were first introduced in AusDiab3 ( $n = 6,186$ ). The response rate for this  
123 wave among eligible AusDiab2 participants was 59.8%. Of these, 4,614 partici-  
124 pants attended testing sites for biomedical examination (Tanamas et al.,  
125 2013). The sample for the current study consisted of those who were 65 years  
126 or younger ( $n = 3,112$ ). Adults older than 65 years were excluded from this  
127 analysis on the grounds that cardio-metabolic health of those who were retired  
128 (no longer driving to work) may have been influenced by previous driving  
129 habits. Of these, participants who did not report car time ( $n = 86$ ), did not  
130 have more than one outcome measure ( $n = 21$ ), did not answer a general  
131 questionnaire for socio-demographic variables ( $n = 63$ ), were diagnosed with  
132 diabetes ( $n = 138$ ), had a history of cardiovascular disease (angina, heart attack,  
133 stroke;  $n = 51$ ), or were pregnant ( $n = 5$ ) were excluded. The final sample size  
134 was 2,800 (exclusion criteria not mutually exclusive). The study was approved  
135 by the Ethics Committee of the International Diabetes Institute and the Alfred  
136 Health Human Ethics Committee, and written informed consent was obtained  
137 from all participants.

### Outcome measures

138 Objective markers of cardio-metabolic risk included BMI; waist circumfer-  
139 ence; systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP); triglycerides; HDL-cholesterol;  
140 fasting plasma glucose; 2-h post-load plasma glucose; clustered cardio-  
141 metabolic risk; and having the metabolic syndrome or not. Trained personnel  
142 at data collection sites measured participants' height, weight, waist circumfer-  
143 ence, and resting BP. Fasting serum triglycerides, HDL-cholesterol, and fasting  
144 and 2-h plasma glucose levels were measured by enzymatic methods using the  
145 Roche Modular (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). A continuous clustered  
146 cardio-metabolic risk score was computed using five cardio-metabolic measures:  
147 waist circumference; BP (average of systolic and diastolic); triglycerides;  
148 HDL-cholesterol; and fasting plasma glucose (Wijndaele et al., 2009). After  
149 standardizing all five markers, the risk score was calculated by summing all  
150 standardized scores and dividing this sum by five. Gender-specific means and  
151 standard deviations from all participants with complete data for each cardio-  
152 metabolic variable were used. A higher positive score denotes greater cardio-  
153 metabolic risk. Triglycerides and fasting plasma glucose were normalized  
154 (natural log) prior to standardization. The standardized score of HDL-  
155 cholesterol was multiplied by minus one, to account for its protective cardio-  
156 metabolic effect. The presence of the metabolic syndrome was determined  
157 based on the 2009 Joint Interim Statement (Alberti et al., 2009).  
158

### Exposure measure

159 Participants were asked to report the total duration they used a car (as  
160 driver or passenger) to get to places such as work, school, shops, or services in  
161 the last week. The question was asked in the format used for those in the Interna-  
162 tional Physical Activity Questionnaire (Craig et al., 2003). Participants were cate-  
163 gorized into four groups according to daily time spent in cars:  $\leq 15$  min/day;  
164  $> 15$  to  $\leq 30$  min/day;  $> 30$  to  $\leq 60$  min/day; and  $> 60$  min/day.  
165

### Covariates

166 Socio-demographic variables were collected using an interviewer-  
167 administered questionnaire. They included age; gender; education (high school  
168 or less, technical/vocational, bachelor's degree or higher); work status (working  
169 including students, not working, other); marital status (single, couple); having  
170 children in the household (yes, no); and annual household income (less than  
171 AU\$60 K, AU\$60–125 K, AU\$125 K or more, no response). Behavioral covariates  
172 included self-reported sitting time for work, TV viewing time, leisure-time com-  
173 puter use, leisure-time moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (LTPA), energy  
174 intake, and alcohol consumption. Participants reported time spent sitting as  
175 part of their work in the last week during weekdays (sitting for work), time  
176 spent sitting to watch TV or video/DVD in the last week (TV viewing), and  
177 time spent sitting to use a computer, the internet, and electronic games during  
178 leisure time in the last week (computer use). For each of them, the average daily  
179 sitting time was calculated. LTPA was determined using the Active Australia  
180 Survey, a validated and reliable questionnaire, by summing the time spent in  
181 moderate physical activity and vigorous physical activity (multiplied by two)  
182 in the last week (Armstrong et al., 2000). Daily energy intake and alcohol  
183 consumption were determined using a self-administered food-frequency ques-  
184 tionnaire, with a reference frame of the last 12 months (Hodge et al., 2000).  
185 Daily intakes of each food were calculated using sex-specific standard portion  
186 sizes derived from weighed food records and the reported frequencies convert-  
187 ed to daily equivalents. From these data, intakes of nutrients, including energy,  
188 were calculated using NUTTAB95 food composition data (Lewis et al., 1995). In  
189 addition, self-reported medication use for BP and for cholesterol or triglycerides  
190 were also included as covariates.  
191

### Statistical analyses

192 Outcome variables that had a skewed distribution (triglycerides, fasting plas-  
193 ma glucose, and 2-h plasma glucose) were log-transformed to reduce skewness.  
194 Multilevel linear and logistic regression analyses examined associations of time  
195 spent in cars with each outcome, using CCD clusters as a random intercept. Anal-  
196 yses adjusted for socio-demographic and behavioral variables described above.  
197 They also adjusted for medication use for BP (only in models examining systolic  
198 BP, diastolic BP, and cardio-metabolic risk) and medication for cholesterol/  
199 triglycerides (only in models examining triglycerides, HDL-cholesterol, and  
200 cardio-metabolic risk). Results were shown in unstandardized regression  
201 coefficients for continuous outcome measures and odd ratios for the metabolic  
202

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6046141>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6046141>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)