### ARTICLE IN PRESS

Preventive Medicine xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

#### Preventive Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ypmed



# Longitudinal patterns and predictors of multiple health risk behaviors among adolescents: The TRAILS study

Andrea F. de Winter a,\*, Leenke Visser a,b, Frank C. Verhulst c, Wilma A.M. Vollebergh d, Sijmen A. Reijneveld a

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Health Sciences, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, the Netherlands
  - <sup>b</sup> School for Marketing Management, Hanze University of Applied Sciences, Groningen, the Netherlands
  - <sup>c</sup> Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands
  - <sup>d</sup> Department of Social Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### 11 Available online xxxx

33 Keywords: 34 Adolescence

10

12

**48** 42

45

 $\frac{46}{47}$ 

48

49 50

51

52 53

54

55

34 Adolescence35 Multiple health risk behaviors

36 Longitudinal study

37 Social factors

38 Self-control

39 Parental health behaviors

#### ABSTRACT

Background. Most studies on multiple health risk behaviors among adolescents have cross-sectionally studied 13 a limited number of health behaviors or determinants.

*Purpose.* To examine the prevalence, longitudinal patterns and predictors of individual and multiple health 15 risk behaviors among adolescents.

Methods. Eight health risk behaviors (no regular consumption of fruit, vegetables or breakfast, overweight or 17 obesity, physical inactivity, smoking, alcohol use and cannabis use) were assessed in a prospective population 18 study (second and third wave). Participants were assessed in three waves between ages 10 and 17 (2001-19 2008; n=2230). Multiple linear regression was used to assess the influence of gender, self-control, parental 20 health risk behaviors, parental monitoring and socioeconomic factors on the number of health risk behaviors 21 adjusted for preceding multiple health risk behaviors (analysis: 2013-2014).

Results. Rates of > 5 health risk behaviors were high: 3.6% at age 13.5 and 10.2% at age 16. Smoking at age 13.5 23 was frequently associated with health risk behaviors at age 16. No regular consumption of fruit, vegetables and 24 breakfast, overweight or obesity, physical inactivity and smoking predicted the co-occurrence of health risk 25 behaviors at follow-up. Significant predictors of the development of multiple health risk behaviors were 26 adolescents' levels of self-control, socioeconomic status and maternal smoking.

Conclusions. Multiple health risk behaviors are common among adolescents. Individual and social factors 28 predict changes in multiple health risk behaviors, showing that prevention targeting multiple risk behaviors is 29 needed. Special attention should be paid to adolescents with low self-control and families with low 30 socioeconomic status or a mother who smokes.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc. 32

#### Introduction

Many health risk behaviors, such as poor dietary habits, physical inactivity or substance use, develop or increase during adolescence (Alamian and Paradis, 2009b; Monshouwer et al., 2012; Nader et al., 2008; Trang et al., 2012; Mitchell et al., 2012; Ortega et al., 2013). This can have enduring effects on health behaviors during adulthood (Ortega et al., 2013; Trudeau et al., 2004; Post et al., 2001) and may increase the occurrence of disease and mortality in older age (Djoussé et al., 2009). Unfortunately, many adolescents do not meet the guidelines for specific health behaviors; prevalence rates for unhealthy behaviors among adolescents vary from 5.0% to 88.5% (Pearson et al., 2009; Pronk et al., 2004; Plotnikoff et al., 2009; Sanchez et al., 2007).

E-mail address: a.f.de.winter@umcg.nl (A.F. de Winter).

Especially, fruit and vegetable guidelines are less frequently met in 56 adolescents (Sanchez et al., 2007). Furthermore, health risk behaviors 57 often occur simultaneously (Pronk, 2012; Plotnikoff et al., 2009; 58 Alamian and Paradis, 2009a; Lawlor et al., 2005; Mistry et al., 2009; 59 Trang et al., 2012; Sanchez et al., 2007; Van Nieuwenhuijzen et al., 60 2009). Studies on multiple health risk behavior in the US (Pronk, 61 2012: Sanchez et al., 2007; Mistry et al., 2009), Canada (Plotnikoff 62 et al., 2009; Alamian and Paradis, 2009a; Li et al., 2009), Australia 63 (Lawlor et al., 2005) and Europe (Pearson et al., 2009; Van 64 Nieuwenhuijzen et al., 2009) frequently studied a combination of 65 smoking, alcohol use, diet and physical activity. Epidemiological studies 66 in adults show that multiple health risk behaviors may substantially in- 67 crease health risks (Åkesson et al., 2007; Myint et al., 2009; Chiuve et al., 68 2006; Martin-Diener et al., 2014; Khaw et al., 2008). Insight into the 69 patterns and predictors of multiple health risk behaviors in adolescents 70 may contribute to more successful and targeted prevention.

Multiple theories have been used to explain the behavior of individuals by addressing both individual and environmental factors (Jessor, 73

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.11.028 0091-7435/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc.

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Corresponding author at: University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Health Sciences, Division of Community and Occupational Medicine, Antonius Deusinglaan 1, FA10, 9713 AV Groningen, the Netherlands. Fax:  $+31\,50363\,6251$ .

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

1984). Theories suggest that individual factors such as gender, (Plotnikoff et al., 2009; Ottevaere et al., 2011; Mistry et al., 2009; Mahalik et al., 2013) previous health behavior (Paavola et al., 2004; Gillander Gådin and Hammarström, 2002) and self-control (Moffitt et al., 2011; Griffin et al., 2012; Williams and Ricciardelli, 2003; Pokhrel et al., 2013; Wills et al., 2007, 2008) may affect health risk behaviors. In addition, the social environment may also play an important role in the development of health risk behaviors (Bandura, 2004; Pampel et al., 2010). Previous studies have found associations between individual or multiple health risk behaviors among adolescents and parental health behaviors, (Frech, 2012; Feunekes et al., 1998; Cameron et al., 2011; Sanchez et al., 2007) parental monitoring, (Mistry et al., 2009) support from parents, (Frech, 2012), peer influences (Hair et al., 2009; Frech, 2012) and low socioeconomic status (SES) (Alamian and Paradis, 2009a,b; Lawlor et al., 2005; Mistry et al., 2009). Trajectories of health risk behaviors in youth have been linked to health risk behaviors and health in adulthood (Hamil-Luker and Angela, 2007; Mahalik et al., 2013; Frech, 2012; Angela and Hamil-Luker, 2005).

Although current knowledge of multiple health risk behaviors among adolescents is mostly based on cross-sectional studies, longitudinal studies can provide valuable insights into the development of health risk behaviors and, furthermore, give stronger evidence for the impact of individual and social factors. More insight into the role that potentially modifiable factors such as self-control or parental behaviors play on changes in multiple health risk behaviors will aid prevention programs that target multiple health risk behaviors. Therefore, this prospective study aimed to: 1) assess the prevalence and longitudinal patterns of individual or multiple health risk behaviors during adolescence and 2) identify predictors of changes in adolescents' multiple health risk behaviors.

#### Methods

#### Sample and procedures

This study used data from the first, second and third waves of the Tracking Adolescents' Individual Lives Survey (TRAILS), a prospective cohort study of Dutch adolescents. Sample selection involved five municipalities in the north of the Netherlands and started in 2001. During the first measurement wave (T1), 2230 children (mean age = 11.09 years, SD = 0.56; response rate 76%) were enrolled in the study (for more details about the sample selection, see De Winter et al., 2005), of whom 2149 (96.4%; mean age = 13.56 years, SD = 0.53) and 1816 (81.4%; mean age = 16.26 years, SD = 0.73) participated in the second (T2) and third (T3) measurement waves, respectively. The first assessment wave of TRAILS ran from March 2001 to July 2002. The first follow-up assessment (T2) was held two to three years after T1 (mean number of months 29.47, SD = 5.43; range 16.69–48.06). The second follow up was held 0.9 to 4 years after T2 (mean number of months 32; SD = 7.07; range 11–53).

During T1, parents or guardians (preferably the mother, 95.6%) were interviewed in their homes. They were also asked to fill out questionnaires during the three measurement waves. The adolescents filled out questionnaires at school or other testing locations, under the supervision of one or more TRAILS assistants. The design of each wave of the TRAILS study was approved by the Dutch National Medical Ethics Committee.

#### Measures

#### Health risk behavior among adolescents at T2 and T3

Adolescents documented their health behaviors at T2 and T3 by completing a self-report questionnaire using questions of which the validity was confirmed in previous studies (Van Nieuwenhuijzen et al., 2009). The weight and height of the adolescents were also measured at T2 and T3. All health behaviors were classified as in agreement with (national) guidelines at the moment of measurement (yes/no), using the same criteria for T2 and T3. Each health risk factor is described in more detail below.

No regular fruit consumption: fruit was consumed on fewer than five days a week. No regular vegetable consumption: vegetables were consumed on fewer than five days a week. No regular breakfast: breakfast was consumed on fewer than five days a week.

Overweight or obesity: body mass index (BMI; in kg/m²) was calculated by 138 measuring weight and height using regularly calibrated equipment (models 139 770 and 214, respectively; Seca, Hamburg, Germany). The definition of over- 140 weight or obesity was in accordance with international age- and gender- 141 adjusted BMI criteria (Cole et al., 2000).

Physical inactivity: the Short Questionnaire to ASsess Health-enhancing physical activity (SQUASH) (Wendel-Vos et al., 2003) was used; it included questions about activities such as walking or sport. Since physical activity 145 varies participants were instructed to think about a regular week in the past months. The amount of physical activity was quantified in the Metabolic Equivalent of the Tasks (METs). Moderate and vigorous physical activity (METS≥3) was defined as meeting the recommended level of physical activity (7 days a week, 60 min a day); physical inactivity was defined as 150 METS <3 using a subdivision of light physical activity versus moderate and 151 vigorous physical activity (Pate et al., 1995; Haskell et al., 2007).

Alcohol use: at T2 and T3, this was defined as alcohol use during the past 12 153 months. Alcohol use was assessed by asking how often participants drunk 154 alcoholic beverages in the last 12 months with fourteen categories ranging 155 from 0 to more than 40 times. At the time of the study, adolescents aged 156 16 and older could legally drink alcohol in the Netherlands. An additional 157 variable for alcohol use at T3 was constructed based on the guidelines for 158 adults. The guideline for adults was as follows: not more than one drink 159 per day for women and two drinks per day for men (U.S. Department of 160 Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2010). 161

Smoking: at T2 and at T3 smoking was defined as smoking one or more cigarettes. Smoking was measured by asking participants whether they have ever smoked, even though it was only one cigarette or a few puffs with five categories ranging from I never smoked to I smoke each day. The categories "I smoked never" and "I have smoked in the past but I stopped completely" were classified as non-smoking.

162

163

164

165

166

167

Cannabis use: any use during the past 12 months. Cannabis use was 168 assessed by asking how often participants used cannabis in the last 12 169 months ranging from 0 to more than 40 times.

#### Individual characteristics

Self-control (T1) was assessed by the Dutch parent version of the Early 172 Adolescent Temperament Questionnaire-Revised (EATQ-R) using the Effortful 173 control scale (11 items,  $\alpha=0.86$ ), which denotes the capacity to voluntarily 174 regulate behavior and attention (Putnam et al., 2001; Rothbart et al., 2000). 175 Examples of items are: "Has a difficult time tuning out background noise and 176 concentrating when trying to study" (Reversed) or "Is usually able to stick 177 with his/her plans and goals." Items were rated on a five-point scale; higher 178 scores reflect greater self-control.

The adolescents' levels of education were measured at T2 and consisted of 180 eight categories (ranging from primary school to pre-university education). 181

#### Social environment characteristics

Socioeconomic status at T1 was measured with a parental questionnaire by 183 assessing family income, highest maternal and paternal educational level 184 attained, and the occupational levels of both parents (using the International 185 Standard Classification for Occupations) (Ganzeboom and Treiman, 1996), Ap. 186 index of socioeconomic status was created by averaging the standardized scores 187 of the five indicators giving all factors equal weights. Because of the interrelationships between different SES indicators, composite SES measures fully account for the 189 effects that can be attributed to socioeconomic conditions, such as resources 190 available to families, the ability to build up networks or influence of parental 191 education on raising a child (Galobardes et al., 2007; Lahelma et al., 2004). The 192 scale captured 61.2% of the variance in the five items and had an internal 193 consistency of 0.84. Missing values (e.g., only one parent in the family) did not 194 affect the association of this scale with other variables (Veenstra et al., 2005). 195 Three SES groups were created, in which the lowest 25% of the scores were 196 categorized as "low SES," the highest 25% as "high SES" and the remaining 197 groups as "intermediate SES."

The following parental behaviors were measured; responses could be given 199 separately for the father and mother. If the mother was the person completing 200 the survey she also provided information on the health behaviors of the father 201 and vice versa.

Physical activity (PA): how many days in an average week the parent 203 engaged in PA for at least 30 min during the summer (question 1) and 204 winter (question 2). Responses ranged from 0 (never) to 7 (seven days 205 per week). Responses to the two questions were averaged. 206 Parental smoking (T2): tobacco smoking during the last four weeks. 207

Responses ranged from 1 (never smoked) to 7 (more than 20 cigarettes a 208 day).

#### Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6046365

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6046365

Daneshyari.com