ARTICLE IN PRESS

DENTOALVEOLAR SURGERY

Effects of Antiseptic Solutions Commonly Used in Dentistry on Bone Viability, Bone Morphology, and Release of Growth Factors

Kosaku Sawada, DDS, MD, MSc, * Masako Kobayashi, DDS, MD, PhD, † Eizaburo Kobayashi, DDS, MD, MSc, ‡ Benoit Schaller, DDS, MD, MSc, § and Richard J. Miron, DDS, PhD

Purpose: Antiseptic solutions are commonly used in dentistry for a number of sterilization procedures, including harvesting of bone chips, irrigation of extraction sockets, and sterilization of osteonecrotic bone. Despite its widespread use, little information is available regarding the effects of various antiseptic solutions on bone cell viability, morphology, and the release of growth factors.

Materials and Methods: The antiseptic solutions included 1) 0.5% povidone iodine (PI), 2) 0.2% chlorhexidine diguluconate (CHX), 3) 1% hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), and 4) 0.25% sodium hypochlorite (HYP). Bone samples collected from porcine mandibular cortical bone were rinsed in the antiseptic solutions for 10 minutes and assessed for cell viability using an MTS assay and protein release of transforming growth factor (TGF- β 1), bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP2), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), interleukin (IL)-1 β , and receptor activator of nuclear factor κ B ligand (RANKL) using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay at 15 minutes and 4 hours after rinsing.

Results: After antiseptic rinsing, changes to the surface protein content showed marked alterations, with an abundant protein layer remaining on CHX-rinsed bone samples. The amount of surface protein content gradually decreased in the following order: CHX, H₂O₂, PI, and HYP. A similar trend was also observed for the relative cell viability from within bone samples after rinsing, with up to 6 times more viable cells found in the CHX-rinsed bone samples than in the HYP- and PI-rinsed samples. An analysis of the growth factors found that both HYP and PI had significantly lower VEGF and TGF- β 1 protein release from bone samples at 15 minutes and 4 hours after rinsing compared with CHX and H_2O_2 . A similar trend was observed for RANKL and IL-1 β protein release, although no change was observed for BMP2.

Conclusions: The results from the present study have demonstrated that antiseptic solutions present with very different effects on bone samples after 10 minutes of rinsing. Rinsing with CHX maintained significantly higher cell viability and protein release of growth factors potent to the bone remodeling cycle. © 2015 American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons

J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1:1-8, 2015

*Resident, Department of Cranio Maxillofacial Surgery, Inselspital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland; and Nippon Dental University, School of Life Dentistry at Niigata, Advanced Research Center, Niigata, Japan.

†Resident, Department of Cranio Maxillofacial Surgery, Inselspital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

‡Resident, Department of Cranio Maxillofacial Surgery, Inselspital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

§Professor, Department of Cranio Maxillofacial Surgery, Inselspital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

Head, Department of Oral Surgery and Stomatology, Department of Periodontology, School of Dental Medicine, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

The present study was funded entirely by the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University of Bern, Switzerland.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr Miron: Department of Cranio Maxillofacial Surgery, University of Bern, Freiburgstrasse 7, Bern 3010, Switzerland; e-mail: richard.miron@ zmk.unibe.ch Received July 29 2015

Accepted September 25 2015

© 2015 American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons 0278-2391/15/01297-5

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2015.09.029

Q2

Q5

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Antiseptic solutions in everyday dental practice are 113114 used for a variety of sterilization procedures. With 115 respect to bone, antiseptic solutions have been used in the harvesting of bone chips¹ and irrigation of 116 extraction sockets^{2,3} and because of bone exposure 117 in osteonecrotic bone.⁴⁻⁶ They have also been used 118 for various other decontamination protocols, such 119 as antibacterial mouth rinses,⁷ irrigation around 120 implants,^{8,9} and disinfection in advanced periodontal 121 disease.¹⁰⁻¹² Furthermore, antiseptic sterilization of 122 bone allografts is commonly used during sterilization 123 procedures before bone bank storing.^{13,14} Taken 124together, numerous situations exist in which bone is 125 exposed to antiseptic solutions. 126

127 It has previously been demonstrated that these 128 antiseptic solutions not have only cytotoxic effects 129 on bacterial, but also on many eukaryotic, cells. 130 Toxicity studies have demonstrated that povidone 131 iodine (PI) has also a negative effect on the cell viability of epithelial cells.¹⁵ Furthermore, it has 132 been shown that chlorhexidine diguluconate (CHX) 133 134 and sodium hypochlorite (HYP) solutions are toxic to fibroblasts¹⁶ and that a high concentration of 135 hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) is damaging to human 136 gingival cells.¹⁷ It must also be noted that apart 137 138 from changes in viability, antiseptic solutions can 139 also affect the regulation of gene expression of 140various cytokines and growth factors responsible for cell growth, osteogenesis, and inflammation. 141

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to assess 142143 the possible changes specifically to alveolar bone 144samples prepared and rinsed with 4 different concen-145 trations of antiseptic solutions commonly used in 146 dentistry and oral-maxillofacial surgery. All bone chips 147 were exposed to the following 4 antiseptic solutions: 148 1) 0.5% PI, 2) 0.2% CHX, 3) 1% H₂O₂, and 4) 0.25% 149 HYP. First, the control bone samples were visualized 150 using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) for the 151 presence of viable cells and protein content on the sur-152 face of the grafting materials. Next, the samples rinsed 153 with antiseptic solutions were also qualitatively visualized for changes in bone surface morphology and pro-154 155 tein content. Therefore, bone viability was quantified, 156 and the release of growth factors, including transforming growth factor (TGF)- β 1, bone morphogenetic pro-157 158 tein 2 (BMP2), vascular endothelial growth factor 159 (VEGF), interleukin (IL)-1 β , and receptor activator of 160 nuclear factor kB ligand (RANKL) was quantified using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) at 161 162 15 minutes and 4 hours.

Materials and Methods

ANTISEPTIC SOLUTIONS

163

164

165

166

167

168

Four antiseptic solutions were selected for the present study: 1) 11% PI (Mundipharma Medical

Company, Basel, Switzerland); 2) 0.2% CHX (Glaxo-SmithKline Consumer Healthcare, Bern, Switzerland); 3) 2% H₂O₂ (Inselspital, Bern, Switzerland); and 4) 5.25% HYP (Dr Speier, Münster, Germany). The antiseptic solutions were diluted in sterilized water to reach a final concentration of 0.5% PI, 1% H₂O₂, and 0.25% HYP to match previous concentrations used in clinical situations.¹⁸⁻²¹ CHX was not diluted further. All antiseptic solutions were filtered and sterile (0.22- μ m pore diameter; Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA).

BONE COLLECTION

Bone was obtained from adult pigs (Metzgerei Balsiger, Wattenwil, Switzerland), harvested from the buccal-side mandibular cortical bone using a "bone scraper" (Hu-Friedy, Rotterdam, The Netherlands), and placed into sterile plastic dishes, as previously described.²² Ethical approval for in vivo experiments was not necessary because the pigs were killed at the local butcher for nonscientific reasons. The bone samples were then immediately collected and transported for use in the present study. In brief, bone samples harvested with a bone scraper from 1 pig were divided into 5 groups of equal weight (1 control and 4 antiseptic solution groups). Within 10 minutes, the bone was rinsed with 1) 0.5% PI, 2) 0.2% CHX, 3) 1% H₂O₂, and 4) 0.25% HYP. For each experiment, 4 independent preparations of the bone samples were prepared, and all experiments were performed in triplicate. The harvested bone samples were then exposed to the aseptic solutions for 10 minutes. Next, the bone samples were either fixed and assigned to SEM, assigned to MTS analysis for cell viability, or left in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution, and the samples were collected after 15 minutes and 4 hours for protein quantification using ELISA.

SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPY

The bone samples were fixed in 1% glutaraldehyde and 1% formaldehyde for 2 days for SEM. After serial dehydration with ethanol, the samples were critical point dried (Type M.9202 Critical Point Dryer; Roth & Co, Hatfield, PA) and allowed to dry overnight, as previously described.^{23,24} The next day, the samples were sputter coated using a Balzers Union Sputtering Device (DCM-010; Balzers, Liechtenstein) with 10 nm of gold and analyzed microscopically using a Philips XL30 FEG scanning electron microscope to determine the surface variations among the samples.

QUANTIFICATION OF VIABLE CELLS IN BONE SAMPLES

The cell viability in each of the bone samples was determined using the Cell'Titer 96 One Solution Cell

169

170

171

172

173

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6054333

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6054333

Daneshyari.com