



## Brief Communication

# Let there be no light: the effect of bedside light on sleep quality and background electroencephalographic rhythms



Jounhong Ryan Cho<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Eun Yeon Joo<sup>a</sup>, Dae Lim Koo<sup>d</sup>, Seung Bong Hong<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Neurology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

<sup>b</sup> Samsung Biomedical Research Institute, Seoul, Republic of Korea

<sup>c</sup> Division of Computation and Neural Systems, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

<sup>d</sup> Department of Neurology, Seoul National University Boramae Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 10 October 2012

Received in revised form 5 September 2013

Accepted 16 September 2013

Available online 16 October 2013

## Keywords:

Light

Sleep

EEG

Polysomnography

Slow oscillation

Sleep spindle

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** Artificial lighting has been beneficial to society, but unnecessary light exposure at night may cause various health problems. We aimed to investigate how whole-night bedside light can affect sleep quality and brain activity.

**Patients and methods:** Ten healthy sleepers underwent two polysomnography (PSG) sessions, one with the lights off and one with the lights on. PSG variables related to sleep quality were extracted and compared between lights-off and lights-on sleep. Spectral analysis was performed to rapid eye movement (REM) sleep and non-REM (NREM) sleep epochs to reveal any light-induced differences in background brain rhythms.

**Results:** Lights-on sleep was associated with increased stage 1 sleep (N1), decreased slow-wave sleep (SWS), and increased arousal index. Spectral analysis revealed that theta power (4–8 Hz) during REM sleep and slow oscillation (0.5–1 Hz), delta (1–4 Hz), and spindle (10–16 Hz) power during NREM sleep were decreased in lights-on sleep conditions.

**Conclusions:** Sleeping with the light on not only causes shallow sleep and frequent arousals but also has a persistent effect on brain oscillations, especially those implicated in sleep depth and stability. Our study demonstrates additional hazardous effect of light pollution on health.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Exposure to light at night is now considered to be ordinary. Artificial light certainly has beneficial aspects; it has extended the length of productive days of work and recreational activities. However, when it becomes unreasonably excessive, it can be considered as light pollution, negatively affecting human physiology [1]. It may disturb circadian organization; influence neuroendocrine systems; and cause many diseases, such as obesity [2], diabetes mellitus, depression, and even cancers [1].

Artificial lighting also is commonplace in bedrooms, and individuals with poor sleep hygiene often deliberately or unintentionally fall asleep with lights on. For example, one may fall asleep late night with the television light on, and children who are afraid of the dark may ask their parents to keep their lights on during sleep. Light exposure may affect sleep quality, but there have been no systemic comparative studies with objective measures. If any dif-

ference exists, it may be reflected in background brain oscillations. Here we performed two whole-night polysomnography (PSG) sessions, one with lights off and one with lights on, to investigate the effect of light on sleep quality and brain activity.

## 2. Methods

Ten young healthy volunteers (4 women; mean age, 27 years; range, 21–34 years) participated in our study, which was reviewed and approved by the local internal review committee. All participants gave fully informed written consent. They were all healthy sleepers without major health problems, including no neurologic, psychiatric, or endocrine disorders. Interviews and the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index [3] suggested normal and regular sleep-wake habits. First the PSG was performed with the lights off, and then the results of the PSG were reviewed to rule out participants with potential signs of sleep disorders. A doctor specializing in sleep medicine (Koo DL) reviewed the recordings and found that none of the participants had any indications of sleep problems. The obtained data were not used for analysis, due to the possibility of the first-night effect. Participants were divided into two groups: one group participated in a PSG study with the lights off first and then

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Neurology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 50 Irwon-Dong, Gangnam-Gu, Seoul 135-710, Republic of Korea. Tel.: +82 2 3410 2592; fax: +82 2 3410 0052.

E-mail addresses: [sbhong@skku.edu](mailto:sbhong@skku.edu), [smcshong@naver.com](mailto:smcshong@naver.com) (S.B. Hong).

with lights on after a mean interval of 1 week, and the other group participated in a PSG study in the opposite order. To simulate artificial light commonly used in the participants' bedrooms, we used a commercially available fluorescent lamp (40 lux, 30-cm long), located approximately 1 m away from the participants' eyes (above the head and perpendicular to body). The light was kept on during the entire session. All experiments were performed in a sleep laboratory.

Participants were asked not to drink alcohol or caffeinated beverages and to sleep and wake at regular habitual hours starting from a week before the study until the end of the experiment. Whole-night PSG was recorded by Embla N7000 system. PSG variables that were appropriate in evaluating sleep quality were collected (Table 1). Electroencephalographic (EEG) signals (200 Hz, 0.5–70 Hz band-pass filter; F3/F4/C3/C4/O1/O2 referenced to contralateral mastoids) were collected with electrooculogram, electromyogram, and electrocardiogram. The lights were turned off at 11:00 pm and participants were asked to wake up on their own will. Sleep architecture was scored by an experienced technician who was blind to the night light conditions in 30-s epochs according to the standard criteria [4].

Sleep-staged epochs were divided into three 10-s epochs for EEG analysis. Low-frequency ocular artifacts were eliminated by excluding epochs scored as wakefulness. Epochs with high-frequency muscle artifacts were removed with a previously published method [5]. Subsequently, artifact-free epochs labeled either as nonrapid eye movement (NREM) (stage 2 sleep [N2] and slow-wave sleep [SWS]) or rapid eye movement (REM) sleep were multiplied by Hanning window and were submitted to discrete Fourier transformation with Goertzel algorithm, which calculated spectral components at predetermined frequencies (0.5–30 Hz with 0.1-Hz step) [6]. The mean power of discrete frequency bands (slow oscillations, 0.5–1 Hz; delta frequency bands, 1–4 Hz; theta frequency bands, 4–8 Hz; spindle frequency bands, 10–16 Hz; low beta frequency bands, 16–25 Hz; and high beta frequency bands, 25–30 Hz) was computed for frontocentral electrodes.

For statistical comparison, the nonparametric Wilcoxon signed rank test was used. To account for multiple comparisons concerns in EEG parameters, Bonferroni correction was used when appropriate. A *P* value of <.05 was considered significant.

### 3. Results

All participants demonstrated normal patterns of sleep. With the lights on, nine participants reported higher scores in subjective

**Table 1**  
Summary of polysomnographic findings.

PSG variables	Lights off	Lights on	<i>P</i> value
Total bedtime (min)	471.2 ± 9.9	469.7 ± 9.8	.5903
TST (min)	434.1 ± 13.0	433.0 ± 8.3	.8387
Sleep efficiency (%)	93.1 ± 2.0	92.9 ± 1.0	.6365
Stage N1/TST (%)	8.6 ± 1.7	10.2 ± 1.9	.0438
Stage N2/TST (%)	54.2 ± 2.5	56.6 ± 1.9	.2287
SWS/TST (%)	15.1 ± 2.5	11.3 ± 2.8	.0003
NREM sleep duration/TST (%)	78.1 ± 1.9	78.6 ± 1.3	.5956
REM sleep duration/TST (%)	21.8 ± 1.8	21.9 ± 1.3	.5956
Latency to N1 (min)	6.1 ± 1.1	6.3 ± 1.3	.9321
Latency to N2 (min)	11.8 ± 2.1	11.5 ± 2.5	.9561
Latency to REM (min)	78.1 ± 7.5	81.4 ± 9.3	.7296
WASO/TST (%)	4.8 ± 1.0	7.1 ± 1.9	.0948
Arousal index (n/h)	9.1 ± 0.4	12.9 ± 1.2	.0032

**Abbreviations:** PSG, polysomnography; min, minutes; TST, total sleep time; N1, stage 1 sleep; N2, stage 2 sleep; SWS, slow-wave sleep; NREM, nonrapid eye movement sleep; REM, rapid eye movement sleep; WASO, wake after sleep onset; n/h, number per hour.

Number consists of mean ± standard error of the mean.

feeling of frequent arousals and shallow sleep. We extracted PSG variables (Table 1) that were appropriate in evaluating sleep quality. There was no significant difference in total bed time, total sleep time, or sleep efficiency between lights-off and lights-on sleep. However, constant exposure to dim light affected sleep architecture, increasing the proportion of stage 1 sleep (N1) (*P* < .05) and decreasing the proportion of SWS (*P* < .001). No differences in NREM and REM sleep duration were found. Lighting did not affect sleep onset or sleep latency to specific stages. We observed differences in sleep maintenance parameters (i.e., wake after sleep onset showed an increasing trend [*P* < .1]), and the number of arousals per hour significantly increased (*P* < .01) in lights-on sleep.

Spectral analysis revealed that nocturnal light exposure had persistent effects on EEG rhythms, differentially affecting NREM and REM sleep stages. Power spectral density on NREM epochs (Fig. 1A) demonstrated that lighting decreased slow-wave activity (SWA) (0.5–4 Hz) and spindle range. Slow oscillations, delta frequency bands, and spindle frequency bands were significantly decreased with the light on (Fig. 1C; *P* < .05). During REM sleep (Fig. 1B), theta activity was decreased (Fig. 1D; *P* < .05). We also performed further analysis on individual slow waves and spindles detected by automated algorithms (see [Supplementary Materials](#) for details). The amplitude, the up and down slope of slow waves, were decreased with the lights on (Suppl. Fig. 1A). For spindles, there was a marked decrease in the incidence and integrated activity (Suppl. Fig. 1B).

### 4. Discussion

Unintentional or intentional light exposure during sleep is becoming increasingly more common due to the widespread use of artificial lighting, and its negative effect on health is a major theme of research. Whole-night light exposure demonstrated acute effects on sleep architecture and brain activity, including shallow sleep, frequent arousals, and reduction of power in SWA and spindle frequency bands during NREM sleep and theta frequency bands during REM sleep.

Light is the major synchronizer of circadian rhythm for a number of physiologic variables, such as alertness, body temperature, and hormones [7]. Light stimulates retinal ganglion cells [8]. This stimulation triggers nonvisual response with direct connection to the central circadian pacemaker and suprachiasmatic nuclei of the anterior hypothalamus, which suppresses melatonin secretion from the pineal gland [9]. Suppressed melatonin may pose an impact on homeostatic sleep regulation and affect the synchrony of neural activity in neocortex and thalamocortical networks demonstrated as diminished SWA and spindles, which are markers of sleep depth [10–12]. Reduced spindle incidence and power may be affected by reduced SWA itself, which is known to group spindle activity [11]. Close correlation with spindle rate and sleep stability provides additional support that sleeping with the lights on is associated with shallow sleep and that melatonin may be involved in circadian regulation of sleep spindles [13]. Similar experimental designs and melatonin level measurements may reveal more about the possible relationship with melatonin, brain oscillations, and sleep disruption. Suprachiasmatic nuclei also project to the basal forebrain, which produces acetylcholine and affects neocortical synchrony for maintenance of cortical activation. Lights during NREM sleep may hinder the inhibition of acetylcholine release, causing reduced SWA and spindle frequency bands [14]. It is unclear as to how light during sleep affected REM theta sleep; the power of theta activity, an EEG hallmark during REM sleep, also may be regulated by melatonin or cholinergic neurons in basal forebrain, which plays important role in REM theta sleep generation [15]. Because SWA and spindle activity during NREM sleep and theta activity during REM sleep strongly are implicated in

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6061067>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6061067>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)