[Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 469 \(2016\) 63–68](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2016.02.020)

Journal of Colloid and Interface Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jcis

Residual trapping of supercritical $CO₂$ in oil-wet sandstone

Taufiq Rahman^{a,}*, Maxim Lebedev ^b, Ahmed Barifcani ^c, Stefan Iglauer ^a

a Department of Petroleum Engineering, Curtin University, 26 Dick Perry Avenue, 6151 Kensington, Australia ^b Department of Exploration Geophysics, Curtin University, 26 Dick Perry Avenue, 6151 Kensington, Australia ^c Department of Chemical Engineering, Curtin University, 26 Dick Perry Avenue, 6151 Kensington, Australia

Article history: Received 9 December 2015 Revised 4 February 2016 Accepted 5 February 2016 Available online 6 February 2016

Keywords: $CO₂$ geo-sequestration Residual trapping Storage capacity Wettability Oil-wet Oil reservoir

1. Introduction

Carbon geo-sequestration has been identified as a feasible technology to mitigate global warming $[1-3]$. Technically, CO₂ is captured from large emitters (e.g. coal-fired power plants), and injected deep underground into geological formations for storage. However, although $CO₂$ is in a dense supercritical state at reservoir conditions (below 800 m depth), it migrates upwards as it has a lower density than the resident formation brine. One key mechanism, which prevents the $CO₂$ from leaking back to the surface is residual trapping, where the $CO₂$ plume is split into many

⇑ Corresponding author. E-mail address: taufiq.rahman@curtin.edu.au (T. Rahman).

Residual trapping, a key $CO₂$ geo-storage mechanism during the first decades of a sequestration project, immobilizes micrometre sized $CO₂$ bubbles in the pore network of the rock. This mechanism has been proven to work in clean sandstones and carbonates; however, this mechanism has not been proven for the economically most important storage sites into which $CO₂$ will be initially injected at industrial scale, namely oil reservoirs. The key difference is that oil reservoirs are typically oil-wet or intermediate-wet, and it is clear that associated pore-scale capillary forces are different. And this difference in capillary forces clearly reduces the capillary trapping capacity (residual trapping) as we demonstrate here. For an oil-wet rock (water contact angle θ = 130°) residual CO₂ saturation S_{CO2,r} (\approx 8%) was approximately halved when compared to a strongly water-wet rock ($\theta = 0^\circ$; S_{CO2,r} \approx 15%). Consequently, residual trapping is less efficient in oil-wet reservoirs.

2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

micrometre sized bubbles which are immobilized by capillary forces in the pore network of the rock $[4-8]$. Pore-scale residual trapping has been proven to work in clean sandstone [\[7\]](#page--1-0) and carbonate [\[9,10\]](#page--1-0). However, this mechanism has not been proven for oil-wet rock, despite its key importance as initial industrial scale $CO₂$ storage projects are very likely to occur in oil reservoirs $[11]$; and these oil reservoirs are typically oil-wet $[12]$. The significance of oil reservoirs for carbon storage is high, as sequestration can be directly combined with $CO₂$ driven enhanced oil recovery [13-15]; furthermore, depleted oil reservoirs already have required infrastructure in place [\[16\]](#page--1-0), and they are typically well characterised in terms of seismic surveys, which significantly aids (reservoir scale) $CO₂$ flow monitoring [\[17\].](#page--1-0)

Fig. 1. µCT image of Bentheimer sandstone at 10 MPa pore pressure and 318 K: (a) water-wet initial CO₂ saturation, raw image; (b) water-wet initial CO₂ saturation, segmented image; (c) CO₂ clusters in 3D for the water-wet initial CO₂ saturation, a volume of 3 mm³ is shown; (d) water-wet residual CO₂ saturation, raw image; (e) waterwet residual CO₂ saturation, segmented image; (f) CO₂ clusters in 3D for the water-wet residual CO₂ saturation, a volume of 3mm³ is shown; (g) oil-wet initial CO₂ saturation, raw image; (h) oil-wet initial CO₂ saturation, segmented image; (i) CO₂ clusters in 3D for the oil-wet initial CO₂ saturation, a volume of 3 mm³ is shown; (j) oil-wet residual CO₂ saturation, raw image; (k) oil-wet residual CO₂ saturation, segmented image; (l) CO₂ clusters in 3D for the oil-wet residual CO₂ saturation, a volume of 3mm³ is shown. CO₂ is black/dark grey, brine is grey and sandstone is light grey; in the segmented images CO₂ is yellow, brine is blue and rock is grey. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/606542>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/606542>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)