



Fischer–Tropsch synthesis: Effect of ammonia in syngas on the Fischer–Tropsch synthesis performance of a precipitated iron catalyst



Wenping Ma^a, Gary Jacobs^a, Dennis E. Sparks^a, Venkat Ramana Rao Pendyala^a, Shelley G. Hopps^a, Gerald A. Thomas^a, Hussein H. Hamdeh^b, Aimee MacLennan^c, Yongfeng Hu^c, Burtron H. Davis^{a,*}

^a Center for Applied Energy Research, University of Kentucky, 2540 Research Park Dr., Lexington, KY 40511, USA

^b Department of Physics, Wichita State University, 1845 Fairmount, Wichita, KS 67260, USA

^c Canadian Light Source Inc., 44 Innovation Boulevard, Saskatoon, SK S7N 2V3, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 December 2014

Revised 27 March 2015

Accepted 1 April 2015

Available online 24 April 2015

Keywords:

Fischer–Tropsch synthesis

Fe catalyst

Biomass-to-liquids (BTL)

Slurry phase reactor

Ammonia (NH₃)

Ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃)

Nitric acid (HNO₃)

XRD

Mössbauer spectroscopy

XANES/EXAFS

ABSTRACT

The effect of ammonia in syngas on the Fischer–Tropsch synthesis (FTS) reaction over 100Fe/5.1Si/2.0Cu/3.0K catalyst was studied at 220–270 °C and 1.3 MPa using a 1-L slurry phase reactor. The ammonia added in syngas originated from adding ammonia gas, ammonium hydroxide solution, or ammonium nitrate (AN) solution. A wide range of ammonia concentrations (i.e., 0.1–400 ppm) was examined for several hundred hours. The Fe catalysts withdrawn at different times (i.e., after activation by carburization in CO, before and after co-feeding contaminants, and at the end of run) were characterized by ICP-OES, XRD, Mössbauer spectroscopy, and synchrotron methods (e.g., XANES, EXAFS) in order to explore possible changes in the chemical structure and phases of the Fe catalyst with time; in this way, the deactivation mechanism of the Fe catalyst by poisoning could be assessed. Adding up to 200 ppmw (wt. NH₃/av. Wt. feed) ammonia in syngas did not significantly deactivate the Fe catalyst or alter selectivities toward CH₄, C₅+, CO₂, C₄-olefin, and 1–C₄ olefin, but increasing the ammonia level (in the AN form) to 400 ppm rapidly deactivated the Fe catalyst and simultaneously changed the product selectivities. The results of ICP-OES, XRD, and Mössbauer spectroscopy did not display any evidence for the retention of a nitrogen-containing compound on the used catalyst that could explain the deactivation (e.g., adsorption, site blocking). Instead, Mössbauer spectroscopy results revealed that a significant fraction of iron carbides transformed into iron magnetite during co-feeding high concentrations of AN, suggesting that oxidation of iron carbides occurred and served as a major deactivation path in that case. Oxidation of χ -Fe₅C₂ to magnetite during co-feeding high concentrations of AN was further confirmed by XRD analysis and by the application of synchrotron methods (e.g., XANES, EXAFS). It is postulated that AN oxidized χ -Fe₅C₂ during FTS via its thermal dissociation product, HNO₃. This conclusion is further supported by reaction tests with co-feeding of similar concentrations of HNO₃. Additional oxidation routes of iron carbide to magnetite by HNO₃ and/or by its thermal decomposition products are also considered: Fe₅C₂ + NO_x (and/or HNO₃) → Fe₃O₄. In this study, ion chromatography detected that 50–80% HNO₃ directly added or dissociated from AN eventually converted to ammonia during or after its oxidation of iron carbide, resulting from the reduction of NO_x (NO_x + H₂ + CO → NH₃ + CO₂ + N₂ + H₂O) by H₂ and/or CO.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The production of ultra-clean transportation fuels from biomass (biomass-to-liquids or BTL) through the Fischer–Tropsch synthesis (FTS) reaction has attracted increased attention in recent years; this is in addition to conventional routes from coal-to-liquids (CTL) and natural gas-to-liquids (GTL) [1–4]. The BTL process involves syngas production through the gasification of biomass

materials. Depending on the oxygen source for gasification, the H₂/CO ratio derived from biomass varies in the range of 1.0–1.5 for air-blown gasification, and 1.5–2.2 for gasification using pure O₂ [1,4]. However, the biomass-derived syngas generally contains a number of impurities such as sulfur compounds (e.g., H₂S and COS), halide compounds (e.g., NaCl and KCl), nitrogen-containing chemicals (e.g., NH₃, NO_x, and HCN), traces of metals (e.g., Hg and Pb), and other compounds (e.g., NaHCO₃, KHCO₃, HCl, HF, and HBr) in addition to ash and tars. These contaminants could behave as catalyst poisons when their concentrations in syngas reach specific limits, and can significantly affect FTS catalyst

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: burtron.davis@uky.edu (B.H. Davis).

performance. For this reason, purification of syngas must be performed before it is introduced into the FTS reactor. From an economic perspective, simplifying gas purification can benefit the overall gasification process, which is the most costly process in BTL technology (65–70% of total cost [5]). Therefore, laboratory-scale poisoning studies are very important to reduce the overall cost of the BTL process, improve catalyst lifetime, and even aid in designing better catalysts. However, there are few studies that quantify the optimum levels of these potential catalyst poisons for the purification process, especially for the case of catalysts being operated at commercially relevant conditions for an extended period of time.

Recently, the sensitivity of a precipitated Fe–K catalyst to alkali halide and alkali bicarbonate compounds (NaCl, KCl, NaHCO₃, and KHCO₃) was studied [6]. At levels of up to 40 ppm, poisoning with these alkali compounds was not found to significantly change catalyst performance at 260 or 270 °C during 400–1200 h of testing using a continuously stirred tank reactor (CSTR). The effects of other impurities (e.g., Na, K, Ca, Mg, Mn, Fe, P, and Cl with Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst [2] and Cl[−], SO₄^{2−}, and NO₃[−] with Fe catalysts [7]) have also been reported. These contaminants were all reported to decrease catalyst activity.

Furthermore, a number of sulfur studies (online or offline feeding) have also been reported for Fe- and Co-based FTS catalysts [2,8–18]. The results are somewhat controversial due to the complexities involved. For example, S decreasing [9,11,13,15,18] or even increasing the catalyst activity [12,16,17] has been reported; the mechanism of sulfur poisoning of the Fe catalyst and the relationship between metals and sulfur have not, to date, reached a consensus (e.g., metal/S ratio varied over a wide range of 2–20) [11,13,14,18]. These differences in conclusions likely stem from differences in sulfur concentrations and/or process conditions used. Moreover, the sulfur limit in syngas for the FTS catalyst has not reached a consensus, and is further complicated by the fact that the type of support or promoters used may impact the effect of sulfur. Therefore, the effect of sulfur on Fe catalyst behavior requires further investigation.

The effect of ammonia on FTS catalysts has been reported in a few studies. The effect of ammonia in syngas on the performance of a Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst using a CSTR has been investigated recently at the following conditions: 220 °C, 2.0 MPa, and H₂/CO = 2.0 [19]. Co-feeding 1.0–1200 ppmw ammonia was found to result in significant irreversible deactivation of the catalyst in the first 40 h; prolonged exposure at similar concentrations after that did not result in further significant changes in activity. The results are consistent with NH₃ adsorbing on some Co metal sites resulting in some deactivation of the cobalt catalyst. However, Borg et al. [2] did not observe deactivation of a Re–Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst when adding 4.0 ppm ammonia in syngas at similar reaction conditions. Ammonia was also found in two separate investigations to decrease CH₄ selectivity and improve C₅₊ selectivity of cobalt-based catalysts [19,20]. There are even fewer reports on the effect of ammonia on the FTS performance of Fe-based catalysts. Robota et al. [20] studied the effect of 6 ppm ammonia in the syngas feed on a precipitated iron catalyst at 240 °C, 2.25 MPa, and H₂/CO = 1.63. It was reported that the iron catalyst remained unaffected after adding the ammonia for 220 h. Results above this ammonia level were not reported.

Because of the limited information on the effect of ammonia on Fe catalysts as well as the significance and urgency of poisoning studies from both academic and industrial points of view, the current study was undertaken to further investigate the effect of this potential contaminant on Fe catalyst performance. Accordingly, a slurry phase reactor, which is able to provide a uniform temperature and contaminant concentration, was used to explore the sensitivity of a 100Fe/5.1Si/2Cu/3K catalyst to NH₃ compounds. The

FTS reaction was conducted under typical FTS conditions with continuous co-feeding of the potential poison for an extensive period of time (e.g., 140–330 h) in order to obtain representative catalyst deactivation information resulting from contaminant addition. Ammonia may be added to the reactor in a number of different ways, for example, either directly as a gas, or by injecting it in an aqueous solution using a salt precursor. In the current study, ammonia impurity added to the syngas was made from three precursors, including direct addition of ammonia gas (i.e., in N₂), and by aqueous solution injection of ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH) or ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃). This allows not only a determination of the ammonia limit, but also the effects of different ammonia precursors on the Fe catalyst. In the case of ammonium nitrate, the formation of ammonia and oxygen-containing nitrogen compounds is expected; this is important, as NO_x is also a contaminant of biomass-derived syngas [4], its concentration depending on gasification conditions (e.g., O₂ content [21]) and biomass source (e.g., seed corn > pine wood > maple + oak wood [21]). Meanwhile, in order to further shed light on the deactivation mechanism resulting from either ammonia or other possible impurity, i.e., NO_x dissociated from AN under FTS conditions, characterization of the working Fe catalyst sampled at different times on-stream using ICP-OES, XRD, Mössbauer spectroscopy, and synchrotron methods (e.g., XANES, EXAFS) was carried out. For the ICP-OES and XRD experiments, an extraction procedure using hot o-xylene was used to remove FT hydrocarbon products from the catalyst; on the other hand, the Fe catalyst samples withdrawn at different times were sealed in the wax product as a protective layer for analysis by Mössbauer spectroscopy or synchrotron techniques (e.g., XANES, EXAFS). Finally, the effects of ammonia on iron and cobalt catalysts are compared based on the results of the iron catalyst used in this study and those of a cobalt catalyst reported previously [19].

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation and characterization

2.1.1. Preparation of Fe/Si/Cu/K catalyst

Details of catalyst preparation can be found elsewhere [22,23]. In brief, a base Fe catalyst with a composition of 100Fe/5.1Si/1.25K was first prepared using a precipitation method. The 100Fe/5.1Si/3.0K/2.0Cu catalyst used in this study was prepared by sequential impregnation of solutions containing appropriate amounts of K⁺ (KNO₃, 99.9% purity) and Cu⁺ (Cu(NO₃)₂·2.5H₂O, 99.9% purity). Between each step, the catalyst was dried under vacuum in a rotary evaporator at 80 °C and the temperature was slowly increased to 95 °C. After the second impregnation/drying step, the catalyst was calcined under air flow at 350 °C for 4 h. The BET surface area, BJH pore volume, and pore diameter are 107 m²/g, 0.154 cm³/g, and 6 nm, respectively [23].

2.1.2. Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) analysis of impurities on the catalyst surface

In order to determine trace amounts of poisons possibly retained on the Fe catalyst surface during FTS, a small amount of used Fe catalyst following wax extraction in hot o-xylene was analyzed by ICP-OES. Moreover, the ammonia concentrations in both the feed solution and the FTS aqueous products were analyzed using ion chromatography (IC) in order to quantify if any N was held up in the reactor.

2.1.3. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements of the used Fe catalyst

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements of the used Fe catalyst powder X-ray (XRD) was carried out for the used Fe catalysts at

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/60860>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/60860>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)