



Antimicrobial Susceptibility Studies

LEADER Surveillance program results for 2010: an activity and spectrum analysis of linezolid using 6801 clinical isolates from the United States (61 medical centers)[☆]Robert K. Flamm^{a,*}, David J. Farrell^a, Rodrigo E. Mendes^a, James E. Ross^a, Helio S. Sader^a, Ronald N. Jones^{a,b}^a JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA 52317, USA^b Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02111, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 April 2012

Accepted 11 May 2012

Available online 15 June 2012

Keywords:

LEADER

Linezolid

Oxazolidinones

cfr

Resistance

Target mutations

ABSTRACT

The LEADER program monitors the in vitro activity of linezolid and comparator agents across the United States using reference broth microdilution and supportive molecular susceptibility-based investigations. This report summarizes the data from the 2010 program, the seventh consecutive year. A total of 61 medical centers from the USA including 7 medical centers specializing in children's healthcare provided a total of 6801 Gram-positive pathogens. The medical centers represented all 9 US Bureau of Census geographic regions. The organisms tested by reference broth microdilution were 3105 *Staphylococcus aureus*, 944 coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS), 934 Enterococci, 803 *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, 604 β -haemolytic streptococci, and 411 viridans group and other streptococci. The MIC₉₀ value for each of the above 6 targeted groups of organisms was 1 μ g/mL. The "all organism" linezolid-resistant and nonsusceptible rate was 0.38%, which has been constant at 0.34% (2009) to 0.45% (2006) for the last 4 years. For *Staphylococcus aureus*, only 0.06% of the isolates were linezolid-resistant (MIC, \geq 8 μ g/mL); however, 2 additional methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* had a cfr and a MIC of only 4 μ g/mL. Resistance to linezolid was detected in 7 enterococci (0.75%) and 14 CoNS isolates (1.48%). This also represents a stable rate of resistance noted since the 2006 LEADER program report. Of note, for the first time in the 7 years of the Leader Program a linezolid-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* was encountered. Overall, the results of the LEADER program demonstrate that linezolid maintains excellent in vitro activity against target Gram-positive pathogens across the USA. The LEADER program continues to provide valuable reference and molecular-level monitoring of linezolid activity.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The LEADER surveillance program has monitored linezolid (an oxazolidinone) activity, spectrum, and resistance rates in the USA since 2004 (Draghi et al., 2005, 2006; Farrell et al., 2009, 2011; Jones et al., 2007a, 2008). Oxazolidinone resistance surveillance for the USA was originally provided by the 2002 and 2003 ZAAPS program that sampled countries around the world including the USA (Anderegg et al., 2005). However, the 2004–2010 ZAAPS program only sampled countries other than the USA (Anderegg et al., 2005; Jones et al., 2006; Jones et al., 2007b; Jones et al., 2009a; Jones et al., 2009b; Ross et al., 2005; Ross et al., 2007), while the USA component was separated into the LEADER program and expanded to 60 or more laboratory sites in an effort to concentrate on emerging resistance development in various regions in the USA

(Draghi et al., 2005, 2006; Farrell et al., 2009, 2011; Jones et al., 2007a, 2008; Pillar et al., 2008).

Linezolid is the first oxazolidinone class agent studied and approved (in 2000) in the USA for clinical use (Diekema and Jones, 2001; Fung et al., 2001; Stevens et al., 2004). It has a broad spectrum of activity against many clinically important Gram-positive pathogens including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, CoNS, *Enterococcus faecalis* or *Enterococcus faecium*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, viridans group and other streptococci, β -hemolytic streptococci, and other rarely isolated Gram-positive pathogens (Brickner, 1996; Ford et al., 2001; Jones et al., 2007c). It has been used for therapy for a variety of infections including complicated skin and skin structure infection and nosocomial pneumonia caused by Gram-positive pathogens (Shorr et al., 2005; Stevens et al., 2002; Weigelt et al., 2005; Wunderink et al., 2003a,b). In addition, this compound has emerged as a valuable parenteral/oral agent for infections caused by multidrug-resistant pathogens—methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), drug-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE)—that are refractory to many commonly used agents (Jones et al., 1996, 2009a; Stevens et al., 2002; Wunderink et al., 2003a, 2012; Zurenko et al., 1996). Therefore, it is prudent to routinely monitor the in vitro

[☆] Disclosure: All co-authors are employees of JMI Laboratories who were paid consultants to Pfizer in connection with the development of this manuscript. This study was supported by Pfizer Inc. Specialty Business Unit (Collegeville, PA, USA) via the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance platform.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-319-665-3370; fax: +1-319-655-3371.

E-mail address: Robert-flamm@jmilabs.com (R.K. Flamm).

Table 1
Number of sites and organisms listed by US census region (LEADER Program, 2010).

| Region | States (number of sites) | No. of organisms tested |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 1. Pacific | AK (1), CA (1), HI (1), OR (1), WA (3) | 744 |
| 2. Mountain | AZ (2), CO (1), NM (1), UT (1) | 543 |
| 3. West North Central | IA (1), MO (2), ND (1), NE (1), MN (2) | 820 |
| 4. West South Central | AR (1), TX (3), LA (2), OK (1) | 782 |
| 5. East North Central | IL (1), IN (1), MI (1), OH (3), WI (2) | 929 |
| 6. East South Central | AL (2), KY (2), TN (2) | 625 |
| 7. New England | CT (1), MA (3), ME (1), VT (1) | 720 |
| 8. Middle Atlantic | NY (3), NJ (3), PA (2) | 907 |
| 9. South Atlantic | FL (4), MD (1), NC (1), VA (1) | 741 |

activity of linezolid and possible evolving resistances as its use expands worldwide.

The oxazolidinone mechanism of action has been described as selective binding to the 50S ribosomal subunit of the 23S rRNA molecule with resultant inhibition of protein synthesis (Shinabarger, 1999). Among the detected cases of linezolid resistance reported among staphylococci and enterococci, G2576T, G2447T, or T2504A 23S rRNA target site mutations have been most prevalent. However, in this report and other LEADER summaries, a mobile *cfr*-mediated resistance mechanism to linezolid has emerged among *Staphylococcus* spp. isolates (*Staphylococcus aureus* and CoNS) (Arias et al., 2008; Long et al., 2006; Mendes et al., 2008; Toh et al., 2007). So far, it has persisted, as evidenced by its continued finding in the LEADER program, but has not widely disseminated as only a few isolates carrying this mechanism continue to be identified; some in localized epidemic settings (Bonilla et al., 2010).

In this report of the 2010 LEADER Program, we present the findings from a 61-laboratory sample distributed among the 9 US census regions: a total of 6801 strains. Linezolid and comparator agent resistance trends as well as molecular characterization of oxazolidinone resistance mechanism are presented.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Organism collection and susceptibility testing

A total of 61 medical centers from the USA including 7 medical centers specializing in children's healthcare (Table 1) provided a total of 6801 Gram-positive pathogens in 2010. The medical centers were selected to represent all 9 US Bureau of Census geographic zones or regions (5–8 sampling sites/region and 543–929 strains/region).

Each medical center forwarded ≥ 100 organisms with the following target species or genus distribution: *Staphylococcus aureus* (50 isolates), CoNS (15 isolates), Enterococci (15 isolates), *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (10 isolates), β -haemolytic streptococci and viridans group and other streptococci (5 isolates each; total 10). The strains were mostly from

invasive bloodstream infections, although isolates from pneumonia (respiratory tract), acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (ABSSSI), and urinary tract infections were acceptable.

All susceptibility tests were performed using dry form panels produced by TREK Diagnostics (Cleveland, OH, USA) in a GLP-compliant reference laboratory (JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, USA) using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) broth microdilution methods and published interpretive criteria (CLSI, 2009b, 2011). Linezolid-resistant isolates were confirmed by repeated reference broth microdilution testing (CLSI, 2009b) using frozen-form broth microdilution panels (JMI Laboratories) and with the linezolid Etest (AB BIODISK; bioMérieux, Hazelwood, MO, USA) and CLSI disk diffusion susceptibility testing methods (CLSI, 2009a).

2.2. Molecular characterization

Molecular testing was performed on isolates exhibiting elevated linezolid MIC results (MIC ≥ 4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) to identify recognized target site mutations or genes and potential clonality using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). Staphylococci and enterococci were screened for *cfr* and mutations in the central loop of domain V region of 23S rRNA, L3, and L4 ribosomal proteins (Locke et al., 2009a, 2009b; Mendes et al., 2008, 2010). Targeted genes were polymerase chain reaction-amplified and amplicons sequenced on both strands. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences were analyzed using the Lasergene software package (DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA) and respective proteins compared with those from wild-type linezolid-susceptible ATCC strains. Furthermore, *Staphylococcus aureus* strains found to be resistant to erythromycin, but susceptible to clindamycin, were screened by the CLSI D-test (disk approximation) to detect inducible clindamycin resistance (CLSI, 2011).

3. Results

3.1. Activity of linezolid against staphylococci

A total of 3105 *Staphylococcus aureus* strains were tested by the reference broth microdilution method with census region organism sample sizes ranging from 256 (Mountain) to 420 (Mid-Atlantic) isolates (Table 2). MRSA rates were determined via a prevalence mode of sample testing, with overall rate at 50.8% (51.4% in 2009; declining since 2007 [58.2%]). MRSA rates varied by region ranging from 43.1% (South Atlantic) to 64.6% (West South Central), the latter region also having the highest rate in 2008 and 2009. Other antimicrobial resistance rates decreasing since 2008 were levofloxacin (45.0 to 41.4%), clindamycin (23.9 to 18.9%), and erythromycin (67.6 to 62.1%). However, gentamicin (1.7 to 3.0%) increased and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX; 1.8 to 1.9%) and tetracycline (4.6 to 4.1%) were somewhat stable for resistance rates.

Table 2
Frequency of organisms tested, listed by census region (LEADER Program, 2010).

| Region (no. of sites) | Organism group (no. tested) | | | | | | Region total |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> | Coagulase-negative staphylococci | Enterococci | <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> | Viridans group and other streptococci | Beta-haemolytic streptococci | |
| 1. Pacific (7) | 350 | 68 | 107 | 98 | 50 | 71 | 744 |
| 2. Mountain (5) | 256 | 74 | 83 | 54 | 28 | 48 | 543 |
| 3. West North Central (7) | 359 | 109 | 105 | 105 | 45 | 87 | 810 |
| 4. West South Central (7) | 350 | 133 | 108 | 85 | 33 | 73 | 782 |
| 5. East North Central (8) | 405 | 143 | 124 | 112 | 59 | 86 | 929 |
| 6. East South Central (6) | 315 | 68 | 94 | 59 | 30 | 59 | 625 |
| 7. New England (6) | 300 | 130 | 89 | 88 | 44 | 69 | 720 |
| 8. Mid Atlantic (8) | 420 | 145 | 117 | 107 | 63 | 55 | 907 |
| 9. South Atlantic (7) | 350 | 74 | 107 | 95 | 59 | 56 | 741 |
| Total (61) | 3105 | 944 | 934 | 803 | 411 | 604 | 6801 |

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6116055>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6116055>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)