



## Short communication

## An anonymous unlinked sero-prevalence survey of HIVHCV in an urban Emergency Department



Debbie Y. Mohammed<sup>a,d,\*</sup>, Eugene Martin<sup>b</sup>, Charlotte Sadashige<sup>c</sup>,  
Michael Jaker<sup>d</sup>, Sindy Paul<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> St Michael's Medical Center, Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, 111 Central Avenue, Newark, NJ 07101, United States

<sup>b</sup> UMDNJ, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, 1 World's Fair Drive, Somerset, NJ 08873, United States

<sup>c</sup> New Jersey Department of Health, Division of HIV, STD and TB Services, 50 East State Street, P.O. Box 363, Trenton, NJ 08625, United States

<sup>d</sup> UMDNJ, New Jersey Medical School, Department of Medicine, 185 South Orange Avenue, Newark, NJ 07103, United States

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 30 April 2013

Received in revised form 21 August 2013

Accepted 25 August 2013

## Keywords:

Prevalence HIVHCV antibody  
Anonymous unlinked sero-survey  
Emergency department

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** In 2002, the sero-prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV) in the Emergency Department (ED), University Hospital, Newark, New Jersey was 10.4%. Both HIV and hepatitis C virus (HCV) are transmitted by injection drug use (IDU) or sexual contact. However, the degree of concurrent positive HCV antibody status in HIV-infected ED patients is unknown.

**Objectives:** In this study we determined the sero-prevalence of HIV and HIVHCV in HIV-positive patients in the ED.

**Study design:** A cross-sectional study using an anonymous sero-prevalence survey was conducted from 7/1/2008 to 8/23/2008. Medical records were reviewed and de-identified; remnant blood specimens were also de-identified and tested for HIV antibody, and if positive, HCV antibody.

**Results:** Of 3488 specimens, 225 (6.5%, 95% CI: 5.7–7.3%) were positive for HIV antibody. Seventy-four patients 74/225 (32.9%, 95% CI: 33.8–46.5%) were unaware of their sero-positivity. Forty percent of HIV positive patients (90/225, 95% CI: 33.8–46.5%) were HCV antibody positive. The highest seroprevalence of HIVHCV antibody was among older patients ( $\geq 45$  years), and patients with positive urine toxicology and elevated liver function tests.

**Discussion:** Given the high prevalence of HIV and HIVHCV antibody in the ED, routine testing is important for patients  $\geq 45$  years with positive urine toxicology and elevated liver function tests.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Background

Since potent anti-retroviral medications became available in the mid-1990s, human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV) infected patients are living longer. Consequently, other chronic conditions have emerged which complicate their medical status. Among other

complications, hepatitis C (HCV) co-infection results in an increased progression of hepatic fibrosis [1,2]. The resultant liver disease is a leading cause of death among patients with well-controlled HIV [3,4].

Estimates of HCV prevalence in the United States range from 1.6% to 2.0% with 4.1–5.2 million infections [5,6]. Among HIV-infected persons, 15–30% are living with HCV, however, in some geographic areas, higher co-infection rates occur [7–9]. In 2008, New Jersey (NJ) ranked first nationally in the number of reported HCV cases and had 35,309 living HIV-diagnosed persons [10,11]. Common routes of HIV and HCV transmission include injection drug use (IDU) and sexual contact [12,13].

A previous anonymous unlinked sero-survey (AUS) reported 10.4% HIV-prevalence in Emergency Department (ED) patients, at University Hospital, Newark [14]. Given the reported sero-prevalence rate of HIV, unbiased estimates of HIVHCV will be useful in understanding the relationship between these two diseases. This will assist in developing strategies to improve outcomes among co-infected patients.

**Abbreviations:** AIDS, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; AUS, anonymous unlinked sero-survey; CI, Confidence Interval; ELISA, Enzyme-Linked Immuno-Sorbent Assay; ED, Emergency Department; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HIVHCV, human immunodeficiency virus–hepatitis C virus; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus-1; IDU, injection drug use; LFT, liver function tests; NIDU, non-IDU; NJ, New Jersey.

\* Corresponding author at: St Michael's Medical Center, Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, 111 Central Avenue, Newark, NJ 07101, United States. Tel.: +1 973 444 3160.

E-mail addresses: [mohammdy@umdnj.edu](mailto:mohammdy@umdnj.edu), [debbiemoha@aol.com](mailto:debbiemoha@aol.com) (D.Y. Mohammed).

**Table 1**  
Characteristics of patients by HIV antibody status.

Characteristics	Total tested 3488 n (%)	HIV negative n (%) 3263(93.5)	HIV positive n (%) 225(6.5)
Known HIV status			
No			74(32.9)
Yes			151(67.1)
HCV			
No			135(60.0)
Yes			90(40.0)
Age			<sup>a</sup>
≤34	1083(31.0)	1055(32.3)	28(12.4)
35–44	816(23.4)	730(22.4)	86(38.2)
45–54	746(21.4)	674(20.7)	72(32.0)
≥55	843(24.2)	804(24.6)	39(17.4)
Sex			
Female	1781(51.1)	1665(51.0)	116(51.6)
Male	1707(48.9)	1598(49.0)	109(48.4)
Race/ethnicity			<sup>a</sup>
Other	934(26.8)	907(27.8)	27(12.0)
Non-Hispanic Black	1898(54.4)	1718(52.7)	180(80.0)
Hispanic Black	656(18.8)	638(19.5)	18(8.0)
Positive urine toxicology			<sup>a</sup>
No	1124(32.2)	1036(31.8)	88(38.8)
Yes	585(16.8)	480(14.7)	105(47.1)
Missing	1779(51.0)	1747(53.5)	32(14.1)
Elevated LFT			<sup>a</sup>
No	3111(89.2)	2932(89.9)	179(79.6)
Yes	377(10.8)	331(10.1)	46(20.4)

<sup>a</sup> Comparison of HIV negatives vs. HIV positives, statistically significant at  $p \leq 0.05$ .

## 2. Objectives

The objectives for this study were to determine the seroprevalence of HIV and HIVHCV co-infection among subsets of ED patients.

## 3. Study design

This cross-sectional study was conducted from 7/1/2008 to 8/23/2008 in an urban ED located in Newark, NJ, among patients  $\geq 18$  years, who provided blood specimens as part of routine medical care. An AUS was conducted to eliminate participation bias and obtain accurate assessments of HIVHCV antibody in sub-sets of the population [15].

### 3.1. Selection of specimens

After routine laboratory testing, residual serum specimens from patients'  $\geq 18$  years seeking medical care in the ED were collected. Only one specimen per patient was collected and stored at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$ .

### 3.2. Data handling

Data were abstracted from the medical record. A unique identifier was assigned to each observation and personal identifiers were deleted from the database. Correlates of antibody status included demographic (age, sex, race/ethnicity) and clinical indicators of health status (positive urine toxicology: heroin or cocaine, liver function tests (LFT): AST (aspartate aminotransferase) and ALT (alanine aminotransferase).

### 3.3. Testing for HIV and HCV antibody

Specimens were thawed, de-identified, assigned a unique identifier, and tested using HIV Enzyme-Linked Immuno-Sorbent Assay (ELISA) (Bayer Healthcare LLC, Tarrytown, New York) and

if reactive Western Blot (BIO-RAD, Hercules, California) [16,17]. If both ELISA and Western Blot tests were positive for HIV, HCV antibody testing was performed (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, Illinois) [18]. Test results were then merged with the abstracted data using the unique identifier to create the study database.

## 4. Statistical analysis

Proportions were compared between patients testing positive vs. negative for HIV antibody and both HIVHCV antibodies vs. HIV mono-infected patients using chi-square.  $p$  values  $\leq 0.05$  were considered statistically significant. Sero-prevalence of HIVHCV was evaluated in subsets of the sample. Two indices were constructed: positive urine toxicology (cocaine or heroin) and elevated LFT: (ALT ( $\geq 34$  IU/L) or AST ( $\geq 33$  IU/L)). Statistical Analysis Software version 9.2 (Cary, North Carolina) was used to analyze the data.

## 5. Results

Discarded blood specimens were collected from 3488 patients treated at the ED from 7/1/2008 to 8/23/2008 (see Fig. 1). Overall, the seroprevalence of HIV antibody was 6.5% (95% Confidence Interval (CI): 5.7–7.3%). Among HIV-positives, 74 (32.9%, 95% CI: 26.8–39.0%) were unaware that they were seropositive. When compared to HIV-negative patients, HIV-positives were older (Fig. 2) with a positive urine toxicology and elevated LFT (see Table 1). Forty percent of HIV-positive patients, 90/225 (95% CI: 33.8–46.5%) were HCV antibody positive. HIVHCV seroprevalence was highest for patients aged 45–54 and  $\geq 55$  (see Fig. 3), with a positive urine toxicology and elevated LFT (see Table 2).

## 6. Discussion

The prevalence of HIV in this ED declined from 10.4% to 6.5% from 2002 to 2008 [14]. The reasons for this decline are unclear but may be due to the availability of better tolerated antiretroviral

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6121110>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6121110>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)