



Probability of deceased donor kidney transplantation based on % PRA^{☆,☆☆} CrossMark

I.C. Bostock^a, J. Alberú^a, A. Arvizu^b, E.A. Hernández-Mendez^a, A. De-Santiago^b, N. González-Tableros^b, M. López^b, N. Castelán^b, A.G. Contreras^a, L.E. Morales-Buenrostro^c, B. Gabilondo^a, M. Vilatobá^{a,*}

^a Department of Transplantation, National Institute of Medical Sciences and Nutrition, Mexico City, Mexico

^b Histocompatibility Laboratory, National Institute of Medical Sciences and Nutrition, Mexico City, Mexico

^c Department of Nephrology and Mineral Metabolism, National Institute of Medical Sciences and Nutrition, Mexico City, Mexico

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 March 2013

Received in revised form 26 April 2013

Accepted 1 May 2013

Keywords:

Kidney transplant recipient

Sensitization

Panel reactive antibody

Highly sensitized

Deceased donor kidney transplantation

ABSTRACT

Sensitization to HLA antigens creates an obstacle for the accessibility and success of kidney transplantation (KT). Highly sensitized patients have longer waiting times and some may never receive a KT.

Aim: To determine the probability of patients on the deceased donor (DD) waiting list to receive a KT based on the panel reactive antibody percentage (% PRA) in our center.

Methods: The DD waiting list from our institution was analyzed from 01/05 to 08/12 documenting the clinical variables from donor and potential recipients (ABO blood group), lymphocyte cross-match [CxM (CDC-AHG)] results, highest % PRA determination, and time on the waiting list. The patients were classified into 4 groups based on the % PRA: 0%, 1–19%, 20–79% and 80–100%. The data was analyzed using odds ratio and logistic regression (significant $p < 0.05$).

Results: 58 DD (F:M 34:24, ABO group O = 35, A = 13, B = 10) and 179 potential recipients were analyzed (F:M 98:81, ABO group O = 127, A = 33, B = 19, participating 4.2 ± 3.8 times with different donors to receive KT). The mean PRA for the whole group was $22 \pm 32\%$, median [md] 0 (0–98). A total of 100 patients received KT (mean waiting time 2.2 ± 1.7 years, 12 days–7 years) and their mean % PRA was 11.6 ± 24 , md 0 (0–94) vs. 31.4 ± 37 md 8.5 (0–98) in those who have not received a KT. An association between the % PRA group and KT ($p < 0.003$) was observed. The probability of receiving KT with a 0% PRA vs. >0% was higher (OR 2.12, 1.17–3.84). There was no difference between the 0% vs. 1–19% group (OR 1); differences were observed between 0% vs. 20–79% (OR 2.5, 1.18–5.3) and 0% vs. 80–100% (OR 5, 1.67–14.9). For every percent increase in the PRA above 20%, the risk of not receiving a KT increased by 5% (1–9, $p < 0.01$).

Conclusions: The probability of receiving a DD kidney transplant is inversely related to the % PRA although a higher risk for not receiving a KT becomes evident with a PRA >20%.

© 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Kidney transplantation is the preferred treatment modality for patients with ESRD because of improved patient survival and quality-of-life over dialysis [1–4]. Several groups have analyzed transplantation in highly HLA-sensitized patients recently. The risks for transplantation can be assessed using currently available standard assays. Today, the techniques that are used to detect anti-HLA antibody include

cytotoxicity (CDC) with/without anti-human globulin, ELISA, and flow cytometry (using cells and antigen-coated beads). The development of newer, more sensitive assays has led to an increased ability to define highly sensitized patients and identify donor-specific antibody [2]. Several risk factors have been described regarding sensitization to HLA antigens including blood transfusions, pregnancy and previous organ transplantation. The degree of sensitization creates an obstacle for the accessibility and success of kidney transplantation [1].

In patients with high panel-reactive antibodies (% PRA) defined as having a % PRA >30, transplant rates are dramatically reduced because of the additional immunologic barrier with increased rejection risk [2]. In 2003, only 6.5% of all kidney transplants that were performed in the United States were in patients with PRA >80%, despite representing approximately 14% of the waiting list [5,7]. When these patients receive a transplant, they experience an increased number of rejection episodes and have poorer graft survival [6]. According to Marfo et al., 35% of the patients on the waiting list are sensitized with PRA levels >0%, and 15% are highly sensitized with PRA levels >80% [1].

[☆] This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-No Derivative Works License, which permits non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

^{☆☆} This study will be presented at the American Transplant Congress in Seattle, Washington from the 18th to the 22nd of May 2013. According to the rules stated by the American Transplant Congress, the work presented at this meeting should be published only after the congress has concluded.

* Corresponding author at: Transplantation Department, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Vasco de Quiroga 15, Delegación Tlalpan, C.P. 14000, Mexico City, Mexico.

E-mail address: mvilatoba@hotmail.com (M. Vilatobá).

In some regions of the United States, the waiting time on the transplant list can exceed five years and due to organ shortage, this scenario is not changing in the near future. It has been thoroughly described that highly sensitized patients have longer waiting times and some may never receive a transplant [1]. In Mexico, roughly 75% of renal transplants are from living donors and approximately 2300 kidney transplants per year have been performed during the last 3 years [8].

Although there has been a decrease in the mortality rate of patients on dialysis, approximately 15 to 20% still die each year, which emphasizes the importance of early transplantation [4,9]. There is an evident financial cost and emotional burden secondary to maintaining a highly sensitized patient on dialysis in comparison to early transplantation. The impact of kidney transplantation on morbidity, mortality, quality of life and medical expenses is undeniable.

The main objective of this study was to determine the probability of patients in the deceased donor (DD) waiting list at the National Institute of Medical Sciences and Nutrition (INCMNSZ) in Mexico City to receive a kidney transplant (KT), based on the degree of sensitization determined by % PRA. Acute rejection rate, graft function, patient and graft survival, and causes for patient death/graft loss were also analyzed.

This protocol was approved by the Institutional Committee of Medical Ethics and performed in accordance with the revised Declaration of Helsinki content and Good Clinical Practice Guidelines.

2. Patients and methods

The renal transplant DD waiting list database was reviewed from January 2005 to August 2012 at the Histocompatibility Laboratory at INCMNSZ. For each DD event, we documented the donor's demographic characteristics (age and gender), donor's blood group (ABO group), the number and ABO group of all the potential recipients considered, the results of the lymphocyte cross-match test [CxM (AHG-CDC)] for each potential recipient considered, the % PRA of each potential recipient (highest % PRA documented in the last three determinations) and which patients consequentially received a DD kidney transplant.

Anti-HLA antibodies were tested by the Luminex technique using test kits purchased from One Lambda, Inc., Canoga Park, CA. In the patients on the waiting list, a LabScreen Mixed Classes I & II and a LabScreen PRA Classes I & II were simultaneously performed. Only those with positive results in either test received a LabScreen Single Antigen test. When available, the result of pre-transplant DSA assessment using the LABScreen Single Antigen Classes I & II was gathered for the analysis. Crossmatches were performed just prior to transplantation with the standard AHG enhanced complement-dependent cytotoxicity test (AHG-CDC-CXM) for T and B cells. Renal transplants were performed only when AHG-CDC CXMs were negative.

The potential recipients considered during the DD events were classified into 5 groups according to their % PRA: Group 1 (0%), group 2 (1–19%), group 3 (20–79%), group 4 (80–100%) and group 5 (unknown PRA). The patients in group 5 (unknown) were included in the deceased donor waiting list in a time period when the % PRA assay was not part of the regular practice in our setting.

In our institution, kidney allocation to patients on the waiting list has been based exclusively on a negative T and B cells AHG-CDC cross-match, the time on waiting list and blood group (equal ABO group with the donor). Patients without vascular and peritoneal access for dialysis are considered emergencies and always have had priority in our setting. All of the patients that undergo a DD KT at our institution receive some modality of induction therapy, whether anti-CD25 monoclonal antibodies or thymoglobulin, and is mostly defined by the immunological patient risk. During this time period, the immunosuppression regimen for this group of patients consisted of tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil, and prednisone.

Clinical information regarding 1-year post-KT graft function and/or the last graft function evaluation was gathered from the

corresponding patient records. Causes of graft loss and patient death were documented.

The graft biopsy registry was analyzed to obtain the information regarding the total number of graft dysfunction biopsies performed, and acute rejection events documented whether cellular, humoral or both. The histological analysis and diagnosis were performed using the current BANFF criteria at the time of the graft biopsy [11–17]. Graft dysfunction was defined as SCr increase of $\geq 25\%$ from baseline in the absence of an identified cause.

The statistical analysis was performed using odds ratio with prior group stratification, logistic regression analysis, Kaplan Meier method and Log Rank. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant with a confidence interval of 95%. For categorical variables, an analysis to determine frequencies, proportions, Chi2, and Spearman correlation coefficient was also performed.

3. Results

3.1. Transplant characteristics and organ assignment

Fifty-eight DD events with a female to male ratio of 34:24 and a mean age of 35.4 ± 13.3 were identified. The ABO group distribution among these donors was of 35 donors for group "O", 13 donors for group "A" and 10 donors for group "B". A group of 179 potential kidney transplant recipients was included in the analysis all of whom were older than 18 years of age, with a female to male ratio of 98:81 and a ABO group distribution of 127 patients for group "O", 33 patients for group "A" and 19 patients for group "B". The mean PRA for all the potential recipients was $22 \pm 32\%$, median [md] 0 (0–98). Males had a mean % PRA of 11.7 ± 26 md 0 (0–97) vs. females with a mean % PRA of 30.9 ± 35 md 13.5 (0–98).

Overall, potential kidney transplant recipients participated in a mean of 4.2 ± 3.8 cross-matches with potential donors for kidney allocation. The mean number of patients included for cross-match testing per donation event was 21 for ABO group "O", 8 for group "A", and 5 for group "B".

A total of 100 patients received a KT with a mean time on the DD waiting list of 2.2 ± 1.7 years (12 days–7 years) vs. 5.2 ± 3.7 years (119 days–18.5 years) in the patients ($n = 79$) that remain in the waiting list for the period of time of this analysis. The mean % PRA of the KT recipients was 11.6 ± 24 md 0 (0–94) vs. 31.4 ± 37 md 8.5 (0–98) in those who have not received a KT. Regarding the administration of induction therapy, in the period of January 2005 to August 2012, 57% received anti-CD25 monoclonal antibodies (Daclizumab or Simulect) and 43% thymoglobulin. None of these patients were involved in any sort of desensitization protocol prior to KT.

3.2. Risk assessment

A statistically significant association between a lower % PRA group and receiving a KT was observed ($p < 0.003$). A Kaplan Meier curve depicting the percentage of patients without a KT among the different % PRA groups adjusted for time on the waiting list (years) is presented in Fig. 1. The probability of receiving KT with a 0% PRA vs. $> 0\%$ was higher (OR 2.12, 1.17–3.84). There was no difference in the probability of receiving a KT between the 0% vs. 1–19% group (OR 1). In the probability analysis of the group with 0% vs. 20–79% and 0% vs. 80–100% the odds ratio was 2.5 (1.18–5.3) and 5 (1.67–14.9), respectively. For every percent increase in the PRA above 20%, the risk of not receiving a KT increased by 5% (1–9, $p < 0.01$). The probability analysis is presented in Table 1. This analysis was performed on a population level and not by calculating individual patient probabilities using HLA typing and HLA specific antibodies towards possible organ donors.

There was no association observed between the recipient's ABO group and receiving a KT ($p = .126$). A Spearman correlation coefficient of .135 was determined between the % PRA and the number of times potential recipients were considered for DD renal transplantation.

In Fig. 2, the proportion of DD renal transplants performed at the INCMNSZ based on the % PRA for the period analyzed is presented. As observed, the number of patients receiving a KT in this period of time for group 1 (PRA0%) conformed the 50% of the KT procedures performed.

3.3. Graft biopsies and acute rejection rates

In this group of KT recipients, a mean number of 2.1 ± 1.6 graft biopsies (protocol first year biopsies and graft dysfunction biopsies) were performed in their follow-up period by the time of this study. The mean number of biopsies performed for indication (dysfunction) was 1.13 ± 1.26 . Overall, acute rejection (cellular, humoral, or both) was diagnosed in 20%. Further analysis of the acute rejection rates by % PRA group is presented in Table 2 and the distribution of acute cellular rejection and acute humoral rejection by % PRA group is presented in Fig. 3.

In a successive outcome analysis regarding the presence of pre-transplant donor specific antibodies (DSA, mean fluorescence index > 500), 76% (38/50) of renal transplant recipients were evaluated and 13% ($n = 5$) had positive pre-transplant DSA (PRA

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6126065>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6126065>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)