Hepatitis delta virus facilitates the selection of hepatitis **B** virus mutants *in vivo* and functionally impacts on their replicative capacity *in vitro* 

E. Shirvani-Dastgerdi<sup>1</sup>, M. R. Pourkarim<sup>2,3</sup>, U. Herbers<sup>1</sup>, S. Amini-Bavil-Olyaee<sup>4</sup>, E. Yagmur<sup>5</sup>, S. M. Alavian<sup>6</sup>,

## C. Trautwein<sup>1</sup> and F. Tacke<sup>1</sup>

1) Department of Medicine III, RWTH-University Hospital Aachen, Aachen, Germany, 2) Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Laboratory of Clinical and Epidemiological Virology, Rega Institute for Medical Research, KU Leuven, Belgium, 3) Blood Transfusion Research Centre, High Institute for Research and Education in Transfusion Medicine, Tehran,

Iran, 4) Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Harlyne J. Norris Cancer Research Tower, Los Angeles, CA, USA, 5) Medical Care Centre, Dr Stein and Colleagues, Mönchengladbach, Germany and 6) Baqiyatallah Research Centre for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

## Abstract

To identify molecular interactions between hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis delta virus (HDV), HBV sequences were analysed in HBV/HDV-infected patients. Characteristic amino acid substitutions were found in cytosolic domains of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), in contrast to HBV-mono-infected controls. The functional impact of HDV on the replication of wild-type and mutant HBV was assessed *in vitro*. HDV cotransfection significantly reduced the replication of HBV strains containing precore or basal core promoter mutations, and HBV polymerase or surface antigen mutants affected HDV replication *in vitro*. Conclusively, our study revealed distinct HBsAg mutational patterns in HBV/HDV-infected patients and novel functional interactions between HBV and HDV.

Clinical Microbiology and Infection © 2015 European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Basal core promoter, HBsAg, hepatitis B virus, hepatitis delta virus, precore

Original Submission: 4 May 2015; Revised Submission:

7 September 2015; **Accepted:** 19 September 2015 Editor: G. Antonelli

Article published online: 30 October 2015

Corresponding author: F. Tacke, Department of Medicine III, RWTH-University Hospital Aachen, Aachen, Germany E-mail: frank.tacke@gmx.net

Hepatitis delta virus (HDV) co-infection or superinfection in hepatitis B virus (HBV)-infected individuals is the most severe form of chronic hepatitis. Although HBV DNA is often suppressed in co-infected patients, fluctuating or persistently high levels of HBV viraemia occur in delta hepatitis [1,2]. We recently reported the distinct mutational pattern in the HDV genome from a nationwide Iranian cohort, where the prevalence of HDV is high [3]. We have now analysed the impact of HDV infection on the genomic sequences and functional properties of HBV in double-infected individuals. We studied HBV sequences of Small hepatitis B surface antigen (S-HBsAg), reverse transcriptase (rt), transcription regulator (including Enhl, Enhll, and Box- $\beta$ ), basal core promoter (BCP) and precore (PC) domains from HBV/HDV-infected patients with amplified HBV DNA in a case-control setting. For each case with amplified HBV in HBV/HDV-infected patients, two HBVmono-infected patients matched for sex, age, genotype and hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) status were studied as controls. The HBV/HDV-infected patients more frequently had cirrhosis than HBV-mono-infected individuals, whereas their HBV DNA levels were lower (Table 1). Owing to reduced

 TABLE I. Demographic, clinical and virological data of the patients

	HBV control group	HBV/HDV case group	Р
Total patients (n)	NA	71	NA
Enrolled patients $(n)$	34	17	NA
Female, n (%)/male, n (%)	14 (41.1)/20 (58.8)	7 (41.1)/10 (58.8)	NS
Age (years)	37.4 (17–54)	38.5 (18–58)	NS
Cirrhosis (%)	14.7	52.9	<0.001
ALT (U/L), median (range)	49 (15-172)	48 (20-86)	NS
HBV viral load (copies/mL), median (range)	$6.8 \times 10^5 (3.1 \times 10^3)$ to 8.3 × 10 <sup>8</sup> )	$4.6 \times 10^{3} (1.1 \times 10^{3} \text{ to } 2.3 \times 10^{5})$	<0.001
HBeAg status	Negative	Negative	NS
Viral genotype	0	0	
HBV	D	D	NA
HDV	NA	1	NA
Treatment (history)			
IFN (%)	26	23	NS
Nucleot(s)ide analogues (%)	62	59	NS
No treatment (%)	12	18	NS

From 71 studied patients with positive hepatitis D antigen antibody, 24 had detectable HBV DNA in serum by real-time PCR. Of this group, 17 cases were successfully amplified and sequenced by in-house PCR. Each HBV/HDV-infected patient was matched with two HBV-mono-infected control patients on the basis of age, sex, viral genotype, and HBeAg negativity. Differences were considered to be significant if the p-value was <0.05 (chi-square or Mann–Whitney U-test). ALT, alanine transaminase; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HDV, hepatitis delta virus; IFN, interferon; NA, not applicable; NS, not significant.



FIG. 1. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) genome alterations in HBV/hepatitis delta virus (HDV)-infected patients and functional impact of HDV on the replication of HBV polymerase and envelope mutants. (a) Comparison of amino acid substitution rates (dN/dS) for the small hepatitis B surface antigen

Clinical Microbiology and Infection © 2015 European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved, CMI, 22, 98.e1–98.e6

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6129128

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6129128

Daneshyari.com