

REVIEW ARTICLE

Autoimmune disease and vaccination: impact on infectious disease prevention and a look at future applications

Q8 JOHN E. MCKINNON, and KATHLEEN MAKSIMOWICZ-MCKINNON

DETROIT, MICH

Vaccines hold promise both for the prevention of infections and as potential immunologic therapy for patients with autoimmune disease (AD). These patients are at high risk for both common and opportunistic infections, but this risk can be significantly reduced and even obviated with the use of recommended available vaccines. Unfortunately, patients with ADs are not routinely offered or provided indicated vaccinations and have higher rates of complications from vaccine-preventable illnesses than patients without ADs. In addition, vaccine therapy is currently under study for the treatment of autoimmune disorders, with early studies demonstrating immunomodulatory effects that may counter undesired immune activation and alleviate disease activity. (Translational Research 2015; ■:1-14)

Q9 Abbreviations: ■ ■ ■ = ■ ■ ■

Q2 INTRODUCTION

Disease-modifying immunosuppressive (IS) therapies have significantly impacted disease control and quality of life for many patients with autoimmune disease (AD). Unfortunately, both ADs and IS therapy directly alter normal immunologic function, leading to increased infection risk.^{1,2} Furthermore, the newer biologic agents have been associated with a higher risk of serious infections, with a pooled odds ratio of 2.0 when compared with nonbiologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs.^{3,4} In addition, infection risk is increased between 1.79 and 3 fold for biological agents used for IS therapy when compared with nonimmunosuppressed

populations.⁵⁻¹⁰ These data demonstrate the urgent need to provide AD patients with better prophylactic measures against infection.

Infection prophylaxis in immunosuppressed patient populations using antimicrobial chemoprophylaxis, such as measures currently used in the organ transplant and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) populations, might be considered in other subpopulations, perhaps the most heavily immunosuppressed.¹¹⁻¹⁴ However, limited information is available to support the efficacy of these measures in most patients with ADs, with the exception of *Pneumocystis jirovecii* (PCP) prophylaxis^{Q3} in a small, well-defined subset of patients with ANCA-associated vasculitis.^{15,16} In contrast, most

Q1 From the Division of Infectious Diseases, Henry Ford Hospital System, Detroit, Mich.

Kathleen Maksimowicz-McKinnon: New Center One, Suite 800, Henry Ford Hospital, 3031 W. Grand Blvd, Detroit, MI, 48202, USA kmckinn2@hfhs.org.

Submitted for publication May 2, 2015; revision submitted August 27, 2015; accepted for publication August 28, 2015.

Reprint requests: John E. McKinnon, Division of Infectious Diseases, Henry Ford Hospital, Clara Ford Suite 322, 2799 W. Grand Blvd., Detroit, MI 48202; e-mail: jmckinn3@hfhs.org.

1931-5244/\$ - see front matter

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2015.08.008>

128 inactivated or killed adult vaccines have been studied
129 and are recommended for routine administration in
130 patients with various ADs. Vaccines play a key role in
131 the prevention of both communicable diseases and of
132 infections in susceptible populations.¹⁷ In patients
133 with AD receiving IS therapy, vaccinations are often
134 not offered or provided for a variety of reasons,
135 including the fear of complications or vaccine-related
136 illnesses, a concern for disease flare or reactivation, a
137 perceived lack of effectiveness, or a misunderstanding
138 of current vaccine guidelines.¹⁸ Currently, only about
139 20% of patients in outpatient rheumatology practices
140 receive vaccination against both influenza and pneumo-
141 coccal infections, belying the need for enhanced strate-
142 gies to ensure that routine vaccinations are provided to
143 this at-risk population.¹⁹⁻²¹ Indeed, it has been
144 demonstrated that vaccination rates can be improved
145 to 80% or more by using simple strategies to increase
146 awareness of the potential benefits and safety of
147 vaccination in this population.²² Several organizations
148 support the administration of vaccines for vaccine-
149 preventable diseases in patients with autoimmune disor-
150 ders.^{17,23} Ongoing research is now evaluating the use of
151 vaccine models as a potential therapy for patients with
152 AD, with initial studies demonstrating potentially
153 beneficial effects on uncontrolled immune activation
154 and disease activity. In this review, we will
155 examine the efficacy, safety, and use of the current
156 recommended vaccines for patients with autoimmune
157 disorders, highlight key concepts for vaccination in
158 this population, and introduce several evolving
159 vaccination strategies that may represent the future
160 treatment of immunologic diseases.

169 MATERIALS AND METHODS

171 **Literature search strategy.** The Medline database was
172 searched through PubMed and Ovid, using the key
173 words, individually and in combination with specific
174 vaccines and autoimmune disorders (using several
175 related disease names) including but not limited to the
176 following: “AD,” “rheumatic disease,” “rheumatoid
177 arthritis,” “psoriasis,” “inflammatory bowel disease,”
178 “lupus,” “SLE,” “systemic lupus erythematosus,”
179 “vasculitides,” “ANCA-associated vasculitis,” “vac-
180 cines,” “vaccine outcomes,” “vaccine efficacy (VE),”
181 “immunization,” “vaccination,” “autoimmunity,”
182 “vaccine safety,” “therapeutic vaccines,” “ASIA,”
183 and “guidelines.” Recommendations and guidelines
184 regarding immunocompromised patients and immuni-
185 zation were obtained from the recently published
186 ACIP 2015 guidelines, the Center for Disease Control
187 recommendations for vaccination, and scientific soci-
188 ety’s Web sites (ACIP, CDC, EULAR and ACR) and

192 their publications. The reference lists of retrieved
193 articles were searched for relevant publications. We re-
194 viewed articles published primarily in English or Span-
195 ish and selected relevant clinical trials when available
196 for each area publications were reviewed from 1979 to
197 the present to include both pertinent historical and
198 contemporary data. No patient information was directly
199 accessed; hence, institutional review board’s review and
200 approval were not required for this article and review.

201 **Available vaccines.** There are currently vaccines rec-
202 ommended for routine administration in patients with
203 AD that are safe and efficacious. Inactivated, killed,
204 subunit, or toxoid immunizations do not carry any risk
205 of infection attributable to reactivation or dissemination
206 of the vaccine agent and are uniformly safe for patients
207 requiring any degree of IS therapy (only excluding those
208 with known allergies to vaccine components). These
209 vaccines serve to elicit the formation of active immunity
210 by the induction of antigen-specific antibodies. Inactivated/killed or toxoid vaccines include the ^{Q4}
211 influenza (inactivated), hepatitis A and B, and tetanus/
212 reduced diphtheria toxoid/acellular pertussis vaccines
213 (Tables I and II). These vaccines are designed to
214 induce both B- and T-cell immune responses. Most of
215 the killed or attenuated vaccines are considered to
216 provide transient immunity as the immune response
217 generated is limited, weaker, and less likely to be
218 sustained when compared with live vaccines, which
219 induce a broader, intense, and durable response.²⁴

220 Live vaccines consist of attenuated wild-type patho-
221 gens such as influenza virus or varicella zoster virus
222 (VZV; Table II).^{24,25} These vaccines are generally not
223 recommended for patients receiving moderate or
224 intensive IS therapy. Live vaccines carry the risk of
225 dissemination of the attenuated virus and potential for
226 the reemergence of its natural virulence in an
227 immunosuppressed host. As previously stated, these
228 vaccines are more immunogenic and lead to a more
229 persistent response directed against the target pathogen.²⁵

230 Live vaccines are currently safely used in other immu-
231 nosuppressed populations (eg, mumps, measles, rubella
232 [MMR] and varicella in HIV seropositive patients with
233 CD4⁺ T-cell counts above 200 cells/mm³), suggesting
234 that this area may warrant further consideration and
235 study in other immunosuppressed populations.^{17,25-28}

236 **Vaccine safety.** Vaccine safety has been extensively
237 evaluated in diverse populations, using clinical trials,
238 cohort studies, and postmarketing surveillance.²⁹⁻³⁵
239 Three active systems evaluate and assure vaccine
240 safety: the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System;
241 the Vaccine Safety Datalink; and the Clinical
242 Immunization Safety Assessment Network.^{24,32,34}
243 Adverse events from vaccination are rare in both
244 children and adults and are reported to the Vaccine
245

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6155929>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6155929>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)