Journal of Catalysis 282 (2011) 155-164



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Catalysis

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jcat

Effect of water on the stability of Mo and CoMo hydrodeoxygenation catalysts: A combined experimental and DFT study

M. Badawi^a, J.F. Paul^a, S. Cristol^a, E. Payen^a, Y. Romero^b, F. Richard^b, S. Brunet^b, D. Lambert^c, X. Portier^d, A. Popov^e, E. Kondratieva^e, J.M. Goupil^e, J. El Fallah^e, J.P. Gilson^e, L. Mariey^e, A. Travert^{e,*}, F. Maugé^e

^a Unité de Catalyse et Chimie du Solide, Université de Sciences et Technologie de Lille, CNRS, 59650 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France

^b Laboratoire de Catalyse en Chimie Organique, Université de Poitiers, CNRS, 40, avenue du Recteur Pineau, 86022 Poitiers, France

^c Total Petrochemicals Research Feluy, Zone Industrielle C, B-7181 FELUY, Belgium

^d CIMAP, ENSICAEN, Université de Caen, CNRS, CEA, 6, Boulevard du Maréchal Juin, 14050 Caen, France

^e Laboratoire Catalyse et Spectrochimie, ENSICAEN, Université de Caen, CNRS, 6, Boulevard du Maréchal Juin, 14050 Caen, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 February 2011 Revised 23 May 2011 Accepted 5 June 2011 Available online 8 July 2011

Keywords: Density functional theory (DFT) Infrared spectroscopy Carbon monoxide HRTEM Hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) MoS₂ CoMoS Water

1. Introduction

ABSTRACT

We report the study of the impact of water on the stability of Mo and CoMo sulfide catalysts in hydrodeoxygenation of phenolic compounds. The presence of water at reaction temperature leads to an additional deactivation of the catalyst, which is fully reversible on the CoMo catalyst, but partly irreversible on non-promoted Mo catalyst. IR and HRTEM characterizations as well as DFT simulations confirm the higher sensitivity of unpromoted MoS₂ toward water and show that large amounts of water at reaction temperature lead to the exchange of an important fraction of edge sulfur atoms on non-promoted MoS₂ catalysts, hence changing the nature of the active sites. For Co-promoted catalyst, the extent of water poisoning is much lower and reversible because Co atoms prevent sulfur–oxygen exchanges. Hence, in HDO conditions, Co does not only increase the intrinsic activity of the catalyst (promotion effect) but also stabilizes the active phase in the presence of water (passivation effect).

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

JOURNAL OF CATALYSIS

The European Union, the United States and many countries are promoting the use of non-edible lignocellulosic materials to produce biofuels to lower the consumption of fossil fuels and the emission of carbon dioxide without competing with a growing global demand for agricultural commodities [1]. Some green fuels, such as diesel, could be based on liquids derived from pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass. However, the bio-oil fraction produced by pyrolysis contains large amounts of oxygenated compounds (up to 45 wt.%) [2-4]. These molecules present multiple functions like aldehyde, ketone, acids and alcohols. High oxygen content leads to deleterious properties such as high viscosity, thermal and chemical instability as well as lower heating values than fossil sources [3–5]. The later was explained by the fact that combustion of oxygenated compounds is much less exothermic than that of hydrocarbons [6]. Hence, upgrading of bio-oils is mandatory to obtain usable fuels. Catalytic hydrodeoxygenation (HDO), a variant of hydroprocessing, refers to treatment of the feed under high

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* arnaud.travert@ensicaen.fr (A. Travert). temperature and hydrogen pressure to lower the oxygen content of the feedstock. Oxygen is removed as water or carbon oxides.

Sulfided hydrotreating catalysts such as CoMo/Al₂O₃ and NiMo/ Al₂O₃ appear to be good candidates for HDO processing [3–10]. They are well-known catalysts and are extensively used in commercial hydrodesulfurization (HDS) and hydrodenitrogenation (HDN) processes; fundamental studies (in-depth experimental and theoretical investigations) greatly contributed to the understanding of their mode of action [7,11–18]. It is now well accepted that their active sites are located on the edges of MoS₂ nanocrystallites corresponding to the (1 0 0) edge planes of their layered structure [7,13,16]. This crystallographic (1 0 0) plane exhibits alternate rows of sulfur- (hereafter called S, or sulfur edge) and molybdenum-terminated layers (hereafter called M or molybdenum-edge) [13,16].

Lignocellulose is composed of cellulose (\sim 40 wt.%), hemicellulose (\sim 25 wt.%), lignin (\sim 25 wt.%), extractives and inorganics (\sim 10 wt.%). Lignin consists of aryl ether units connected by ether and alkyl bonds, and the cleavage of those bonds yields monomeric phenols and methoxyphenols [19]. Consequently, pyrolytic oils derived from such feedstocks contain a large amount of phenolic compounds, up to \sim 30% of the total oxygenated compounds

^{0021-9517/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jcat.2011.06.006

[6,20,21]. Over sulfide-based catalyst, alkyl-phenols follow two main deoxygenation routes: one involves hydrogenation before C—O cleavage (HYD route) and the second one is a direct C—O bond cleavage (direct deoxygenation or DDO route) [22–31].

The stability of the sulfided catalysts in the presence of large amounts of oxygenated compounds and water is critical and may lead to modifications of the structure of the active edges of the sulfide phase [3,32]. Sulfided hydrotreating catalysts usually undergo a continuous deactivation caused by coke formation or partial reoxidation of the sulfide phase [3,4]. Water is known to have a slight inhibiting effect on the hydrodeoxygenation rate of phenolic compounds [23,33,34] or real feeds [35], which were related to a weak interaction between water and active sites at high temperature. Such an effect was also explained by the formation of a sulfate layer covering the active phase and reducing the catalytic activity [22]. However, water can also have a slight promoting effect in reactions such as HDN reactions [36].

The aim of the present study is to investigate the influence of water on the structure and activity of MoS₂-based sulfide catalysts using CO adsorption monitored by infrared spectroscopy (IR), high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), periodic density functional (DFT) calculations and catalytic activity measurements in HDO of 2-ethylphenol. Such a study will lead to a better understanding of sulfide catalysts and their active sites in working HDO conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

The Mo/ γ -Al₂O₃ and CoMo/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts were prepared by conventional incipient wetness impregnation and supplied by TO-TAL. Their chemical composition and textural properties are given in Table 1.

2.2. IR spectroscopy

For the infrared (IR) study, the catalysts were grounded and pressed into self-supported wafers. After introduction in a low temperature IR cell, sulfidation was carried out in situ under a flow of H_2S/H_2 (10/90) at 623 K during 2 h and followed by an evacuation during 1 h at the same temperature. CO was adsorbed on the sample cooled at 100 K. Small calibrated doses of CO were introduced in the IR cell up to an equilibrium pressure of 1 Torr. The sample was further evacuated from low temperature to 623 K. Subsequent water treatment (4.5 Torr of H_2O at equilibrium) was carried out at 623 K for 2 h and followed by evacuation at 623 K for 1 h. CO adsorption was repeated in the same conditions than previously described.

2.3. HRTEM analysis

Before HRTEM analysis, the catalysts were sulfided in the same conditions as for IR experiments. A fraction of the sulfided sample was contacted with water at 623 K during 16 h and followed by evacuation at 623 K for 1 h. A blank experiment was also done

Table 1 Chemical composition BFT surface

Chemical composition, BET surface area and pore volume of the catalysts.

	$Mo/\gamma-Al_2O_3$	$CoMo/\gamma$ - Al_2O_3
BET area (m²/g)	251	255
Pore volume (cm ³ /g)	0.70	0.64
Mo (wt.%)	9.9	9.2
Co (wt.%)	-	4.2

where the sulfided catalyst was heated (without water) in the closed cell at 623 K during 18 h and evacuated at 623 K for 1 h. After these various treatments, the samples were transferred under Ar in a glove box, gently crushed under Ar and stored under Ar before microscopic analysis. A drop of a suspension of the solid sample in *n*-butanol was deposited on a 300 mesh "holey carbon film" grid and dried at 298 K under argon flow before introducing in the high vacuum chamber of the microscope. HRTEM was performed on a JEOL 2010. The field emission gun was operated at 300 kV. For each sample, stacking degrees and lengths of ~1000 MoS₂ crystallites were measured.

2.4. Computational settings

The density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed with the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) [37] using the generalized gradient corrections proposed by Perdew et al. [38]. The wavefunction is expanded in a plane wave basis set, and the electron-ion interactions are described using the projector augmented plane wave (PAW) method [39]. The solution of the Kohn-Sham equations was improved self-consistently until a difference lower than 10⁻⁵ eV was obtained between successive iterations. The calculations were performed with a cutoff energy of 450 eV and a Methfessel–Paxton smearing with σ = 0.1 eV. Throughout this work, we used the large super cell $(1.2641 \times 1.2294 \times 2.0000 \text{ nm}^3)$ shown in Fig. 1. It contains four elementary MoS_2 units in the x direction, four in the z direction and two layers along the y-axis. A k-point mesh (3, 1, 1) was chosen to give an accurate sampling of the Brillouin zone. A vacuum layer of 1 nm is located above the MoS_2 slab in the z direction in order to avoid interactions between slabs. The two upper rows were allowed to relax until forces acting on ions are smaller than 3×10^{-2} eV Å⁻¹. The two lower were kept fixed to simulate bulk constraints. Previous studies [16,17,40,41] showed that this model is suitable to predict the electronic and structural properties of the MoS₂ surface.

2.5. Thermodynamic treatment

Influence of the gas phase composition (H_2O vs. H_2S pressure) was taken into account by considering two reactions:

Adsorption of $n H_2X$ species (X = O or S) on the surface:

$$surface + n H_2 X = surface - H_{2n} X_n$$
(1)

surface
$$-S_n + n H_2O = surface - O_n + n H_2S$$
 (2)

Gibbs free energy of Reaction (2), for example, was computed according to:

$$\Delta_r G = \mu(\text{surface} - O_n) - \mu(\text{surface} - S_n) + n(\mu(H_2S) - \mu(H_2O))$$

Assuming that the difference between the chemical potential of solid phases can be approximated by the difference in their electronic energy [42] led to:

$$\Delta_r G = \Delta_r G^0 + n \operatorname{RT} \ln 10 \log P(H_2 S) / P(H_2)$$

where

$$\Delta_r \mathbf{G}^0 = \Delta \mathbf{E}_n + \Delta \boldsymbol{\mu}^0(T)$$

and

$$\Delta E_n = E(surface - O_n) - E(surface - S_n) - n E(H_2O) + n E(H_2S)$$

 ΔE_n being the electronic energy contribution to the S–O exchange reaction.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/61629

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/61629

Daneshyari.com