ARTICLE IN PRESS

ORIGINAL ARTICLE: ASSISTED REPRODUCTION

Oocyte activation by calcium ionophore and congenital birth defects: a retrospective cohort study

Q2 Netanella Miller, M.D.,^a Tal Biron-Shental, M.D.,^{a,b} Rivka Sukenik-Halevy, M.D.,^{a,b,c} Anat Hershko Klement,^{a,b} Q1 Reuven Sharony, M.D.,^{a,b} and Arie Berkovitz, M.D.^{a,b,d}

^a Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Meir Medical Center, Kfar-Saba; ^b Sakler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv; ^c Genetic Institute, Meir Medical Center, Kfar-Saba; and ^d Assuta Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel

Objective: To evaluate the safety of oocyte activation by calcium ionophore in cases of failed fertilization after intracytoplasmic sperm 18 injection (ICSI) procedure with respect to birth defects. 19

Design: A retrospective cohort of pregnancies achieved by oocyte activation with calcium ionophore after ICSI (ICSI-Ca) and routine 20 ICSI between the years 2006 and 2014.

21 Setting: Not applicable.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

55

22 Patient(s): The cohort included a total of 793 pregnancies: 66 (8%) were lost to follow up and 49 (6%) were ongoing pregnancies at the 23 time of data collection. Out of the 678 available cases for analysis, 595 treatments were ICSI alone (88%) and 83 were ICSI-Ca (12%). **Intervention(s):** None. 24

Main Outcome Measure(s): Pregnancy and neonatal outcome including birth defects were compared. 25

Result(s): On the basis of a cohort of 595 ICSI pregnancies and 83 ICSI-Ca pregnancies, we found no difference in birth defects rate for 26 singletons or for twins. Additionally, no significant difference was found between defect type (chromosomal aberration or structural 27 malformations) and malformation type (heart, urogenital, and limb), between the ICSI and ICSI-Ca groups. Moreover, no significant 28 differences were found regarding birth weight, gestational week at time of delivery, and fetal gender for singleton or twin pregnancies. 29 Conclusion(s): Ca ionophore oocyte activation should be considered as a legitimate option for

cases of failed or low fertilization by ICSI. (Fertil Steril® 2016; ■: ■-■. ©2016 by American 30 31 Society for Reproductive Medicine.)

Key Words: Intracytoplasmic sperm injection, ICSI, artificial oocyte activation, AOA, 32 congenital defects 33





Use your smartphone to scan this OR code and connect to the discussion forum for this article now.*

fertstertforum.com/millern-calcium-ionophore-aoa-birth-defects/

Download a free QR code scanner by searching for "QR ner" in your smartphone's app store or app marketplac

ntracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) is a well-known technique in which a single sperm is injected directly into the cytoplasm of a mature oocyte. This procedure provides an effective method for assisting fertilization in males with suboptimal semen parameters (1). The average fertilization rate after ICSI is approximately 70%, while total fertilization failure occurs in 3% of ICSI cycles (2-4). Failed fertilization after ICSI procedure can

related to failure of oocyte he activation or abnormal morphology of the oocyte (5).

Oocyte activation is a crucial process after sperm-oocyte fusion. It is assumed that calcium oscillations that induce a rise in the intracellular calcium levels in the oocyte, in vivo, are responsible for the cytological changes in fertilized oocytes (6). Progressive decline and eventual termination of calcium oscillations typically occur when

pronuclei are formed (7). Thus, calcium is recognized as essential for triggering all downstream nuclear and cytoplasmic changes in fertilized oocytes, leading to successful oocyte activation and the onset of embryogenesis (8).

One of the artificial oocyte activation (AOA) methods uses calcium ionophore to induce oocyte activation. Montag et al. found that AOA using calcium ionophore increases fertilization rates and clinical pregnancy rates per ET, especially in patients with a compromised fertilization rate <30% in a standard ICSI cycle (9). Vanden Meerschaut et al. in a prospective study showed that ICSI-AOA is highly efficient in some patients with a suspected oocyte-related activation deficiency after previous conventional ICSI (10).

118

60

61

62

63 64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74 75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

⁵⁴ N.M. has nothing to disclose. T.B.-S. has nothing to disclose. R.S.-H. has nothing to disclose. A.H.K. has nothing to disclose. R.S. has nothing to disclose. A.B. has nothing to disclose. Reprint requests: Netanella Miller, M.D., 59 Tsharnichovski, Kfar Saba, Israel (E-mail: millerne@

Fertility and Sterility® Vol. ■, No. ■, ■ 2016 0015-0282/\$36.00 58

Copyright ©2016 American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Published by Elsevier Inc. 59 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.04.025

ARTICLE IN PRESS

ORIGINAL ARTICLE: ASSISTED REPRODUCTION

119 An important issue regarding the AOA methods concerns 120 its safety. There is a paucity of data regarding the possible 121 adverse effects of calcium ionophore on postimplantation 122 embryo and neonatal outcomes. One retrospective study 123 found no congenital defects in 38 children conceived after 124 ICSI and AOA procedures (11). Takisawa et al. also found 125 no difference regarding growth and health parameters of 10 126 babies at birth between both AOA protocols compared with 127 ICSI (12). A recent study assessed the long-term neurodeve-128 lopmental outcome of 21 children ages 3-10 years who had 129 been born after AOA. The children were assessed on neurode-130 velopmental, intelligence, language, and social communica-131 tion tests, and for all parameters the mean outcomes lay 132 within the expected range (13).

However, all these aforementioned studies were based on
a relatively small number of cases. Furthermore, none of these
studies included an evaluation of the presence and type of
birth defects during the course of pregnancy or their effect
on pregnancy outcome.

138 The primary aim of our study was to evaluate the safety of 139 AOA with the calcium ionophore after ICSI (ICSI-Ca) proce-140 dure compared with the routine ICSI procedure regarding 141 pregnancy outcome and congenital birth defects. Data collec-142 tion was done during 8 consecutive years. To the best of our 143 knowledge, this is the largest study performed in an attempt 144 to assess the safety of calcium ionophore for oocyte activation 145 regarding birth defects. Furthermore, this is the first study to 146 include an assessment of the specific types of birth defects per 147 procedure, both structural malformations and chromosomal 148 aberrations. 149

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

150

151

152

156 157

158

A retrospective cohort of pregnancies achieved by oocyte
activation with ICSI-Ca and routine ICSI between the years
2006 and 2014 was evaluated.

Patients

159 Data were collected from a single outpatient fertility IVF 160 clinic's records (Assuta Medical Center). All couples in our 161 cohort had primary infertility, and before treatment initiation 162 they received genetic counseling according to the Israeli Min-163 istry of Health recommendations. Couples presenting male 164 factor infertility were routinely offered micromanipulation 165 of the ova according to the following criteria: failed fertiliza-166 tion or <20% fertilization in a previous IVF cycle or a post-167 wash total motile count of <1.5 million. In these 168 circumstances, the patients were offered ICSI.

Patients who had failed fertilization after one ICSI procedure in the presence of at least five mature oocytes without
oocyte abnormality (e.g., fragmented polar bodies, vesiculated cytoplasm, wide previtelline space, or debris) or had
<10% fertilization rate were offered the AOA ICSI-Ca
procedure.

As part of the clinic policy and according to the Israeli
Ministry of Health regulations, patients undergo routine
follow-up until the day of delivery. Each patient was

contacted by phone, and admission summary letters were requested from all patients who had a live birth and also from all patients who experienced pregnancy outcomes other than a live birth including termination of pregnancy (TOP), miscarriage, intrauterine fetal death (IUFD), intrapartum death, and selective termination in a multiple embryo pregnancy. Relevant information was collected from these letters including date of birth, number of newborns, birth weight(s), and any hospitalization required during pregnancy as well as any malformations detected during the pregnancy or after delivery or other medical problems.

All cycles resulting in a pregnancy between January 2006 and December 2014 were included. We compared fetal/birth defect rates, malformations, and chromosomal abnormalities between patients who were treated by ICSI and patients treated by ICSI-Ca during the study period. The analysis combined fetal defects (defects that were detected during the pregnancy by ultrasound or by amniocentesis) and congenital abnormalities detected after birth. We excluded cases of egg donation and sperm donation, since investigation of these cases is limited, due to lack of full access to the donor's medical background.

Ethics Approval

The study was approved by the institutional ethicsreview board.

ICSI, ICSI-Ca

The ICSI procedure has been discussed elsewhere (14). ICSI-Ca is a procedure in which immediately after ICSI, the injected eggs are exposed to 10 μ M of Ca++ ionophore A23187 (Sigma Chemical) in culture medium for 10 minutes in an incubator at 37°C and 5%–6% CO₂. Then the oocytes are washed thoroughly in flushing or culture medium (Origio).

In both ICSI and ICSI-CA groups, cleaved embryos, none at blastocyst stage, were transferred on day 3.

Stimulation Protocols

Two protocols were used for egg stimulation in both the ICSI and ICSI-Ca procedures: the GnRH agonist triptorelin (Decapeptyl) long protocol or GnRH antagonist protocol.

Fetal and Birth Defects and Chromosomal Aneuploidy

Malformations are defined as defects of organs or body parts due to an intrinsically abnormal developmental process. In this process, a structure is not formed, is partially formed, or is formed in an abnormal fashion. Chromosomal aberrations are either numerical abnormalities or structural defects in the chromosomes. Abnormal chromosomal analysis can be straightforward in terms of interpretation and prognosis, while in some cases it requires an additional work-up in order to provide more accurate counseling.

Data regarding malformations reported in our cohort and any chromosomal aberrations detected in this population were collected through patients' reports as well as from Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6179336

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6179336

Daneshyari.com