FI SEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

#### **Gynecologic Oncology**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ygyno



## Dying well: How equal is end of life care among gynecologic oncology patients?



Jolyn S. Taylor <sup>a,\*</sup>, Alaina J. Brown <sup>a</sup>, Lauren S. Prescott <sup>a</sup>, Charlotte C. Sun <sup>a</sup>, Lois M. Ramondetta <sup>a</sup>, Diane C. Bodurka <sup>b</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> The Department of Gynecologic Oncology and Reproductive Medicine, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, United States
- b The Department of Medical Education, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, United States

#### HIGHLIGHTS

- Racial minorities were less likely to enroll in hospice.
- Racial minorities were less likely to complete Medical Power of Attorney or Living Will documentation.
- · Hospice enrollment did not increase advance directive completion among minorities.

#### ARTICLE INFO

# Article history: Received 22 September 2015 Received in revised form 11 December 2015 Accepted 14 December 2015 Available online 17 December 2015

Keywords: End of life care Hospice Advance directives

#### ABSTRACT

 ${\it Objective}. To identify disparities in utilization of end of life (EoL) resources by gynecologic oncology (GO) patients.$ 

Methods. This retrospective analysis of the medical records of GO patients treated 1/2007–12/2011 and deceased 1/2012–8/2014 evaluated patient demographics, disease characteristics, and utilization of EoL resources. Chi-square, Fisher's exact test, Mann Whitney and Kruskal–Wallis tests were used for statistical analysis.

Results. Of 189 patients analyzed, 113 (60%) were white, 38 (20%) Hispanic, 31 (16%) black, and seven (4%) Asian. Ninety-five (48%) had ovarian cancer, 51 (26%) uterine, 47 (23%) cervical, seven (3%) vulvar/vaginal. In the last 30 days of life (DoL), 18 (10%) had multiple hospital admissions, 10 (5%) admitted to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU), 30 (16%) multiple Emergency Room (ER) visits, 45 (24%) received aggressive medical care and eight (4%) received chemotherapy in the final 14 DoL. Furthermore, 54 (29%) had no Supportive Care referral and 29 (15%) no hospice referral. Only 46 (24%) had a Medical Power of Attorney (PoA) or Living Will (LW) on file.

Non-white race was associated with increased odds of dying without hospice (OR 3.07; 95%CI [1.27, 2.46], p=0.013). However, non-white patients who enrolled in hospice did so earlier than white patients (42 v. 27 days before death, p=0.054). Non-white patients were also significantly less likely to have PoA/LW documentation (24% v. 76%, p=0.009) even if enrolled in hospice (12% v. 31%, p=0.007).

Conclusions. Significant racial disparities in hospice enrollment and PoA/LW documentation were seen in GO patients. This warrants further study to identify barriers to use of EoL resources.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The complex fields of palliative care and hospice are becoming increasingly important components of healthcare. Within the United States, cancer remains a leading cause of death [1]. For patients with cancer, there is often an opportunity to prepare for and to plan in advance for death. The World Health Organization defines palliative

E-mail address: Jstaylor1@mdanderson.org (J.S. Taylor).

care as "an approach that improves the quality of life of patients and their families facing the problem associated with life-threatening illness" [2]. Currently, palliative care and hospice services are underutilized in the end of life care planning process. A 2012 retrospective review of 215,311 Medicare patients with cancer found that only 54% of patients received a hospice referral at any time prior to death [3]. Of those Medicare patients who were referred to hospice prior to death, eight percent had hospice care initiated a mere three days prior to death [3]. This same study found that 65% of patients were hospitalized within the last month of life, 25% were admitted to the ICU in the last month of life, 15% received

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Cancer Prevention Building, Suite CPB6.3584, 1155 Pressler Street. Unit 1362. Houston. TX 77030. United States.

chemotherapy in the last two weeks of life and 15% underwent a life-prolonging procedure within the last month of life [3].

There is evidence to support avoiding such aggressive care at the end of life. A study by Wright et al. found that patients receiving aggressive interventions near the end of life were more likely to report a poor quality of life and their caregivers were more likely to suffer greater bereavement [4]. When providers and patients discussed the goals of end of life care, there was a decrease in aggressive interventions such as ventilation, resuscitation and ICU stays and a simultaneous increase in hospice enrollment [4]. Importantly, patients engaging in such conversations did not experience increased depression or anxiety [4]. In addition, more invasive care does not necessarily lead to longer survival. A 2015 study by Lee et al. of over 600 patients with cancer found that patients who utilized palliative care services for longer prior to death had increased overall survival [5].

Optimizing the quality of end of life care is an important component of caring for oncology patients. Accordingly, several medical organizations, including the American Society of Clinical Oncology Quality Oncology Practice Initiative®, the Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® and the National Quality Forum have created quality of care guidelines urging against intensive and invasive medical care at the end of life [6–8]. Many researchers use the following markers identified by the National Quality Forum to characterize what constitutes aggressive medical care at the end of life; chemotherapy administration within the last 14 days of life, more than one emergency room visit in the last 30 days of life, more than one hospital admission in the last 30 days of life, more than 14 days spent admitted to the hospital in the last 30 days of life, intensive care unit (ICU) admission in the last 30 days of life, death in the hospital, and hospice admission during the last three days of life [7,9-11]. These organizations have also recommended completing advance directives and referrals to palliative care and hospice in a timely manner prior to death [6–8].

Barriers to utilizing hospice and palliative care services do not affect all patients equally. Studies suggest that racial and socioeconomic factors hinder appropriate utilization of palliative care and hospice [12–15]. When compared to white patients, minorities from lower socioeconomic groups experience reduced rates of advance directive formation, increased likelihood of being hospitalized in their final 90 days of life, increased ICU admissions, increased ER visits and decreased likelihood of hospice enrollment at the end of life [12–14].

Utilization of palliative care services and maintenance of an optimal quality of life have an important role in treating gynecologic oncology patients. Understanding what disparities exist is necessary in order to better meet the needs of these patients and their caregivers. Much of the current literature analyzing end of life resource utilization among gynecologic oncology patients examines outcomes and patterns of care from five or more years ago or focuses on a single disease site [15–17]. Our objective was to identify current disparities in utilization of palliative care and hospice resources among all gynecologic oncology patients.

#### 2. Methods

After obtaining Institutional Review Board approval, we performed a retrospective analysis of the medical records of gynecologic oncology patients treated at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC) from January 2007 through December 2012 and deceased from January 2012 through August 2014. The end point of August 2014 was chosen because initiatives to raise awareness of end of life quality care goals were launched at the institution after this date and patients receiving care after this time period should be part of a separate analysis. The electronic medical record was reviewed for patient demographics including age at death, self-reported racial identification, relationship status, education level, insurance type, zip code, medical comorbidities, parity, religious affiliation, disease stage and pathology, type of therapy received (surgery, chemotherapy and/or radiation

treatment), treatment course including the length of time between diagnosis and death and the length of time between the last cancer recurrence and death, and whether or not the patient underwent consultation for enrollment in a Phase I trial. Median household income was calculated based on publically available United States census information associated with a patient's zip code. The medical record was also reviewed for end of life quality of care metrics including utilization of palliative care (referred to as Supportive Care at this institution), timing of enrollment in hospice, location of death, number of ER visits in the final 30 days of life, hospital admissions in the final 30 days of life, ICU admissions in the final 30 days of life, receipt of chemotherapy in final 14 days of life and completion of advance directives including a Living Will, Medical Power of Attorney, and Do Not Resuscitate (In-hospital and Out-of-Hospital) order. The aforementioned quality of care metrics used to evaluate care at the end of life was derived from recommendations from the National Quality Forum and the American Society of Clinical Oncology Quality Oncology Practice Initiative® and from previously published works [6,7,18,19]. Patients were excluded from analysis if they had not received consistent treatment at MDACC but had only been seen for consultation purposes or if they had transferred their care to another institution prior to death. Patients were also excluded if the medical documentation was incomplete rendering the reviewer unable to analyze the medical care received during the last three months of life.

Differences between groups for categorical variables were evaluated using Chi-square test, and Fisher's exact test when necessary as needed. Mann Whitney and Kruskal–Wallis tests were used to assess differences between groups for continuous variables. Univariate logistic regression analysis was performed to assess the association between key independent variables and the dependent variables of interest. Variables found to be significant at the p=0.25 level by univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis and analyzed using backwards stepwise elimination of non-significant covariates. p-Values of  $<\!0.05$  were considered statistically significant.

#### 3. Results

The initial query of the medical records at the MDACC identified 877 patients with a gynecologic malignancy seen at least once from January 2007 through December 2011 and who died from January 2012 through August 2014. Of these 877 patients, 688 patients were excluded from analysis due to being seen only in consultation and not receiving continuing treatment at MDACC, transferring care prior to death, or incomplete documentation of medical care during the final three months of life. Characteristics of the remaining 189 patients are shown in Table 1. Of the 189 charts analyzed, 113 (60%) of the patients were white, 38 (20%) were Hispanic, 31 (16%) were black, and seven (4%) were Asian. Eighty-six (45%) of the patients had ovarian cancer, 51 (27%) had uterine cancer, 38 (20%) had cervical cancer and five (3%) had vulvar or vaginal cancer and nine (5%) patients had more than one type of cancer. Median household income, calculated from Census Bureau data by zip code, was \$54,600. Over half of the study population had private insurance (56%) and just under one-third of patients were Medicare beneficiaries (31%). The remaining patients were Medicaid recipients (5%), self-pay (4%), or were uninsured (4%). Most patients, 120 (63%), had received at least a high school education (63%) and 87 (46%) had undergone consultation for consideration in a Phase I trial.

As shown in Fig. 1, among all gynecologic oncology patients during the final 30 days of life, 10% had multiple hospital admissions, five percent were admitted to the ICU and 16% had multiple ER visits. Four percent received chemotherapy in the final 14 days of life, 29% had no Supportive Care referral and 15% died without a referral to hospice. Fifteen percent died in the hospital while 84% died in hospice. Of those who enrolled in hospice, however, 15 (8%) enrolled in hospice in the final three days of life or less. Of note, 11% (n=3) of the patients

#### Download English Version:

### https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6184721

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6184721

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>