ARTICLE IN PRESS

International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics





1 REVIEW ARTICLE

Rationale for eliminating the hormone-free interval in modern oral contraceptives

Q2 Andrew London ^{a,b,*}, Jeffrey T. Jensen ^c

5 ^a John Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

6 ^b The Maryland Center for Sexual Health, Lutherville, MD, USA

7 ^c Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR, USA

9 ARTICLE INFO

10	Article history:
11	Received 17 July 2015
12	Received in revised form 23 October 2015
13	Accepted 7 March 2016
15	
33	Keywords:
34	Unscheduled bleeding
35	Withdrawal Bleeding
36	Combined oral contraceptives
37	Extended regimen
38	Hormonal contraception
39	Hormone-free interval
40	Menstrual suppression

ABSTRACT

Background: Although most low-dose combined oral contraceptives (COCs) include 7-day hormone-free 19 intervals (HFIs), these COCs could incompletely suppress ovarian activity. *Objectives:* To review the impact of 20 HFIs on ovarian suppression and tolerability, and evaluate the utility of COCs without traditional 7-day HFIs. 21 *Search strategy:* PubMed was searched for clinical studies published in English between January 1980 and April 22 2015 on the impact of HFIs and HFI modifications in COCs. *Selection criteria:* Articles assessing contraceptive 23 efficacy or tolerability as the primary focus were included. *Data collection and analysis:* Abstracts of 319 articles 24 were screened. *Results:* Analysis of the 161 articles selected revealed that suppression of ovarian activity with 25 low-dose COCs with 7-day HFIs is suboptimal. Loss of ovarian suppression during 7-day HFIs is commonly 26 associated with follicular development, and most dominant follicles appear during this period. By contrast, in- 27 creased ovarian suppression was noted in regimens that shortened or eliminated the HFI, or that substituted 28 low-dose ethinyl estradiol for the HFI. *Conclusions:* Extended regimens with modified HFIs might provide greater 29 ovarian suppression with the potential for increased contraceptive effectiveness. Additional research is needed to 30 evaluate whether COC regimens that include 10 µg ethinyl estradiol instead of an HFI might improve tolerability. 31

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. on behalf of International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics. 32

41 43

8

45 1. Introduction

When combined oral contraceptives (COCs) were introduced more 46 than five decades ago, the 21/7 regimen (21 days of active pills, followed 4748 by a 7-day hormone-free interval [HFI]) was chosen in an effort to mimic women's monthly menstrual cycles and to reassure women 49that they were not pregnant [1,2]. Although refinements such as 50new progestogens, reduced doses of ethinyl estradiol (EE), the use of 51estradiol, and reduction or elimination of the HFI have been introduced 52in combined hormonal contraception, most COC users continue to take 53active pills for 21 days followed by a 7-day HFI. Evidence suggests, 5455however, that 21/7 regimens might not completely suppress ovarian activity and ovarian development. 56

57 The primary aim of the present review was therefore to evaluate 58 evidence regarding the potential impact of the 7-day HFI on ovarian 59 activity, contraceptive effectiveness, and adverse effects. The impact of 60 COC options with shorter HFIs or less frequently occurring HFIs as 61 compared with traditional 21/7 regimens was also considered.

E-mail address: alondon@comcast.net (A. London).

2. Materials and methods

62

In a structured review, PubMed was searched to identify studies on 63 the impact of HFIs and modifications in HFIs in COCs that were pub- 64 lished in English between January 1, 1980, and January 15, 2015. The 65 last search date was April 30, 2015. The keywords used were "combined 66 hormonal contraceptive," "oral contraceptive," "hormone-free interval," 67 "ovarian suppression," "extended regimen," "ethinyl estradiol," and 68 "hormone withdrawal." Articles were excluded if they did not evaluate 69 the impact of HFIs on ovarian activity, contraceptive effectiveness, or 70 adverse effects; or they did not consider regimens with modified HFIs. 71 Reference lists of included studies were manually searched to identify 72 additional papers. 73

3. Results 74

3.1. Search results 75

The abstracts of 319 articles were screened, and 161 articles 76 underwent full-text review. The complete list of articles included in 77 the analysis is provided in Supplementary Material S1. 78

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2015.10.028

0020-7292/© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. on behalf of International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

Please cite this article as: London A, Jensen JT, Rationale for eliminating the hormone-free interval in modern oral contraceptives, Int J Gynecol Obstet (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2015.10.028

 $[\]ast~$ Corresponding author at: 1300 York Road, Building A, Suite 100, Lutherville, MD 21093, USA. Tel.: + 1 410 296 5863; fax: + 1 410 583 9120.

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

79 3.2. Limitations of the traditional 7-day HFI

COCs provide contraception by inhibiting the hypothalamic-80 81 pituitary-ovarian (HPO) axis, suppressing follicular growth, and inhibiting ovulation [3,4]. The primary role of the progestogen 82 component of COCs is to prevent ovulation through a negative feedback 83 mechanism that results in a decrease in luteinizing hormone (LH) [4]. 84 Progestogen action also reduces the receptivity of cervical mucus and de-85 86 creases endometrial thickness [4]. Estrogens contribute to the contracep-87 tive mechanism of COCs by inhibiting both follicle-stimulating hormone 88 (FSH) and LH. The inhibition of FSH seems to be related to estrogen dose and duration, because more follicular activity is seen with progestogen-89 only methods than with COCS, and with regimens with lower EE doses 90 91(<35 µg) than with those with higher EE doses [5,6]. Most COCs do not completely suppress ovarian follicular development [7,8]. 92

A possible explanation for the incomplete ovarian suppression seen 93 with today's COCs is the clearance of contraceptive steroid hormones 94 during the HFI. In fact, evidence indicates that the hormonal events 95and follicular growth that take place during the HFI are similar to 96 those seen early during the follicular phase of spontaneous menstrual 97 cycles [7]. In the normal menstrual cycle, selection of the dominant fol-98 licle occurs within the first 7 days [1]. These physiologically selected 99 100 dominant follicles secrete estradiol, which stimulates their maturation 101 and inhibits the growth of subordinate follicles [8]. Dominant follicles (usually ≥ 10 mm) have the greatest potential to develop further and 102ovulate [9]. Among women using COCs, a loss of endocrine suppression 103 during the HFI is associated with follicular development [1,6,8,10]. 104 105Indeed, evidence suggests that 86% of dominant follicles emerge during the 7-day HFI, irrespective of the regimen [8]. Women who initiate COC 106 use after 7 days of follicle growth could already have a dominant follicle 107that can continue to develop and possibly ovulate [11]. 108

109Modern COCs may also provide incomplete ovarian suppression110owing to their reduced estrogen dose. Early COCs contained estrogen111doses as high as 150 μ g. Although the lower EE doses in today's COCs112(generally \leq 35 μ g) have improved safety and tolerability, accumulating113evidence suggests that the decreasing EE doses could have compro-114mised the degree to which COCs suppress HPO activity, particularly115during the HFI [1,8].

Although the half-life of EE is constant irrespective of dose, its 116 maximum concentration is related to dose and, because EE is cleared 117 from the system 2-3 days after the final active pill, the threshold level 118 119 at which recovery of the HPO axis and follicular growth can occur is achieved earlier with very low-dose EE pills [3,7]. It could take as long 120 as 12 days to achieve steady-state estrogen and progestogen levels 121 122among obese women using COCs with a 7-day HFI [12], and follicular development could continue into the first week of the next cycle. 123

124In a recent pilot study, Cho et al. [13] evaluated the impact of various 21/7 regimens during the 7-day HFI and found better suppression of 125pituitary and ovarian activity with 30- and 35-µg EE formulations on 126day 1 of the HFI as compared with regimens that included 20 µg EE. 127Mean levels of LH, FSH, and estradiol increased during the HFI in all 128129regimens; at day 7, however, LH and FSH levels were similar among 130the groups. Maximum estradiol levels at day 7 were 477, 247, and 199 pg/mL for the 20-, 30-, and 35-µg EE doses, respectively [13]. 131

Follicular development is also greater among women using COC 132regimens with 20 µg EE than among those using regimens with higher 133134 EE doses [3,6]. The reduced ovarian suppression observed with lower EE doses and long HFIs might be particularly relevant in clinical situa-135tions when a missed pill occurs early in the cycle following the HFI 136 [1,12,14]. It is not uncommon to miss pills during the first week of the 137 COC cycle. In one study [15], 23% of women using COCs reported missing 138a pill at least once during a 28-day cycle, and 42% of women who missed 139a pill did so during the first week of the cycle following the HFI. 140

The pharmacokinetics of COCs in obese women could differ from those in women of normal weight, which could lead to inadequate ovarian suppression and potentially to escape ovulation [14]. These pharmacokinetic differences are magnified in obese women using144COCs with lower-dose EE formulations. Given the rapid increase in the145prevalence of obesity, these differences in pharmacokinetics and their146possible impact on ovarian suppression may have important implica-147tions for the effectiveness of COCs in the general population, although148the true impact of weight versus non-compliance on oral contraceptive149efficacy is debated [16].150

Strategies to mitigate the impact of decreasing EE doses on ovarian 151 suppression have been evaluated. In a recent study, Edelman et al. 152 [12] examined the impact of two strategies that might counteract the 153 effect of obesity on COC pharmacokinetics: elimination of the HFI or 154 use of a higher-dose levonorgestrel (LNG)/EE regimen cyclically. In 155 their study, obese women (body mass index [calculated as weight in ki- 156 lograms divided by the square of height in meters] \geq 30) used a 21/7-day 157 LNG/EE (100 µg/20 µg) regimen (Aviane, Teva Pharmaceuticals, Petah 158 Tikva, Israel) for two cycles and were then randomized to continuous 159 LNG/EE (100 μ g/20 μ g) with no HFI or to higher-dose cyclic 21/7 LNG/ 160 EE (150 µg/30 µg; Portia, Barr Laboratories, Pomona, NY, USA). During 161 the baseline cycle, the average time to reach the steady-state plasma 162 LNG concentration was 12.3 days, and 45% of women had evidence of 163 follicular activity [12]. After randomization, both continuous LNG/EE 164 (100 µg/20 µg) and cyclic LNG/EE (150 µg/30 µg) reduced follicular 165 activity as compared with the standard 21/7 LNG/EE (100 µg/20 µg) 166 regimen. Only 9% of women in each group showed evidence of follicular 167 activity [12]. Although the time to reach a steady LNG concentration 168 was delayed for women using the higher-dose pill, the threshold level 169 needed to inhibit the HPO axis was achieved earlier. Women using 170 the lower-dose pill remained continuously at the steady-state level of 171 plasma LNG. 172

These data indicate that ovarian suppression can be incomplete in 173 regimens that include a 7-day HFI, particularly when very low estrogen 174 doses are used. Incomplete ovarian suppression might have several 175 important consequences, including an increase in follicular develop-176 ment, a heightened risk of escape ovulation, a potential reduction in 177 contraceptive effectiveness, a potential increase in the risk of unsched-178 uled bleeding, and an increase in the incidence and severity of 179 hormone-withdrawal symptoms [1].

For example, Sulak et al. [2,17] have demonstrated that the adverse 181 effects—e.g. headache, pelvic pain, bloating, breast tenderness, and use 182 of pain medication—are significantly more frequent and more severe 183 during the HFI than during the period of active COC use. These symp- 184 toms tend to increase during the last week of active pills and continue 185 to increase during the HFI—a pattern that parallels the decrease in 186 endogenous estrogen levels during the same period [18]. Therefore, 187 modifying the HFI has the potential to reduce the frequency and severity 188 of hormone-withdrawal symptoms related to COC use. Continuous dos-189 ing without an HFI has been found to reduce symptoms related to the 190 menstrual cycle in users of a low-dose LNG/EE (100 µg/20 µg) pill [19]. 191

Because ovarian activity and inadequate ovarian suppression 192 occurring during the 7-day HFI have been associated with an increased 193 risk of unscheduled bleeding in the following cycle [1], it is thought that 194 increased ovarian suppression might reduce the risk of unscheduled 195 bleeding and improve cycle control [20]. 196

3.3. Alternatives to the traditional HFI

The limitations of the 7-day HFI—including inadequate ovarian suppression and the potential for hormone-withdrawal symptoms—reinforce the importance of alternative approaches to traditional COC regimens. 200

One option to improve ovarian suppression and reduce the 201 incidence of hormone-withdrawal symptoms is to use extended or 202 continuous regimens, which reduce the number of HFIs. In extended 203 regimens, active COC pills are administered for longer than 28 days 204 and the time between HFIs is extended. A frequently used extended 205 regimen is the 84/7 regimen (84 active days, followed by 7 days of no 206 treatment; Seasonale, Teva Women's Health, Sellersville, PA, USA) or 207

197

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6185942

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6185942

Daneshyari.com