ARTICLE IN PRESS

International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijgo

1 CLINICAL ARTICLE

Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus according to IADPSG and NICE criteria

Josip Djelmis ^{a,b,*}, Mato Pavić ^b, Vjosa Mulliqi Kotori ^c, Ivana Pavlić Renar ^{a,d},
Marina Ivanisevic ^{a,b}, Slavko Oreskovic ^{a,b}

6 ^a School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

7 ^b Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Clinical Hospital Center, Zagreb, Croatia

8 ^c Department of Endocrinology, Pediatric Clinic, University Clinical Center, Prishtina, Republic of Kosovo

9 ^d Department of Internal Medicine, Clinical Hospital Center, Zagreb, Croatia

10

11 ARTICLE INFO

12 Article history:

- 13 Received 29 February 2016
- 14 Received in revised form 29 June 2016
- 15 Accepted 22 August 2016
- 34 Keywords:
- 35 Fetal morbidity
- 36 Gestational diabetes mellitus, IADPSG criteria
- 37 Maternal morbidity
- 38 NICE criteria

ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the impact of the International Association of Diabetic Pregnancy Study Group (IADPSG)21diagnostic criteria on the prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) and overt diabetes as compared with22the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) criteria, and to evaluate the prevalence of mater-23nal and perinatal outcomes among pregnant women with fasting plasma glucose (FPG) levels of 5.1–5.5 mmol/L.24Methods: A retrospective study was undertaken of data for women who underwent a 2-hour 75-g oral glu-25cose tolerance test at 24-32 weeks of a singleton pregnancy at a center in Croatia between January 201226and December 2014. Results: Among 4646 included women, 1074 (23.1%) had GDM according to IADPSG27criteria, 826 (17.8%) would be diagnosed according to NICE criteria, and 50 (1.1%) had overt diabetes. FPG levels28were 5.1–5.5 mmol/L for 409 (8.8%) women. Compared with a control group (n=3391), these women had29higher odds of large-for-gestational-age newborns (odds ratio 3.7, 95% CI 2.0–4.6) and cesarean delivery (odds30ratio 1.8, 95% CI 1.3–2.3). Conclusion: Women with FPG levels of 5.1–5.5 mmol/L have an increased risk of adverse31maternal and perinatal outcome, although they would not be diagnosed with GDM according to NICE criteria.32© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd on behalf of International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.33

1. Introduction

When hyperglycemia occurs in pregnancy, the rate of complications such as pre-eclampsia, polyhydramnios, fetal macrosomia, birth trauma, cesarean delivery, and perinatal mortality is increased [1–4]. Neonatal metabolic complications such as hypoglycemia, hyperbilirubinemia, hypocalcaemia, polycythemia, and respiratory distress syndrome also occur at an elevated frequency [5]. The newborn is also at risk of obesity and diabetes in the long term [6–8].

51For the purpose of setting accurate diagnostic criteria and allowing classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy (HIP), the international 52Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) study was 5354undertaken [1]. The International Association of Diabetic Pregnancy Study Group (IADPSG) used the results of this study to recommend 55 new criteria and classifications of gestational diabetes mellitus 5657(GDM) [9]. According to the IADPSG criteria, at least one maternal plasma glucose concentration should be equal to or above the upper 58limit-set at 5.1 mmol/L for fasting measurements, 10 mmol/L for 59

E-mail address: josip.djelmis@zg.t-com.hr (J. Djelmis).

1-hour measurements, and 8.5 mmol/L for 2-hour measurements—for 60 GDM to be diagnosed. The IADPSG criteria for overt diabetes in 61 pregnancy are a fasting plasma glucose (FPG) concentration of at least 62 7 mmol/L or random plasma glucose concentration of at least 63 11.1 mmol/L, although if the random measurement is initially used, the 64 diagnosis of overt diabetes should be confirmed by FPG (\geq 7 mmol/L) 65 and/or hemoglobin A_{1c} (\geq 6.5% [48 mmol/mol]) levels [9]. 66

WHO [10] and the American Diabetes Association (ADA) [11] have 67 adopted the IADPSG criteria for GDM and diabetes in pregnancy, and 68 currently a large number of countries worldwide use these criteria. 69 However, the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 70 (NICE) proposed alternative criteria for the diagnosis of GDM in 2015 71 [12]: either a FPG concentration of 5.6 mmol/L or above, or a 2-hour 72 plasma glucose concentration of at least 7.8 mmol/L. 73

The aim of the present study was to assess the impact of the IADPSG 74 diagnostic criteria on the prevalence of GDM and overt diabetes as com-75 pared with that of the NICE criteria. Other aims were to evaluate the 76 prevalence of obesity, cesarean delivery, and hypertensive disorders in 77 a cohort of pregnant women, and perinatal outcomes among women 78 with a FPG of 5.1–5.5 mmol/L. We hypothesized that FPG of at least 79 5.1–5.5 mmol/L had a potentially negative effect on perinatal outcome, 80 even though these FPG values would not indicate GDM according to the 81 NICE criteria. 82

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2016.07.005

0020-7292/© 2016 Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd on behalf of International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

Please cite this article as: Djelmis J, et al, Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus according to IADPSG and NICE criteria, Int J Gynecol Obstet (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2016.07.005

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Petrova 13, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia. Tel.: +385 14578330, +385 98460485; fax: +385 4604740.

2

J. Djelmis et al. / International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

2. Materials and methods 83

A retrospective study was conducted using data for patients who 84 85 visited the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Clinical Hospital Center, Zagreb, Croatia, between January 1, 2012 and December 31, 86 2014. Inclusion criteria were spontaneous singleton pregnancy with 87 a diagnostic 2-hour 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) between 88 89 24 and 32 weeks of pregnancy. Exclusion criteria were pre-existing 90 diabetes mellitus, pregnancy after in vitro fertilization, and multiple 91 pregnancy. The research is part of a scientific project approved by 92Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Technology (Number 108-93 1080401-0385) and was also approved by Ethics Committee of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology. Informed consent was not 9495obtained from the patients as a result of the study's retrospective nature.

Data on pregnancy and the perinatal outcomes were collected 96 from medical records and analyzed. Anthropomorphic and biological 97 98 data, and obstetric and infant outcomes were recorded. Weight and height were obtained from prenatal record. Body mass index (BMI) 99 was calculated by dividing pre-pregnancy weight in kilograms by the 100 square of height in meters. Pre-pregnancy BMI was classified as normal 101 (18.5-24.9), overweight (≥ 25), or obese (≥ 30) [13]. Gestational weight 102 gain was calculated as the difference between pre-pregnancy and de-103 104 livery weight. Length of pregnancy was calculated from last menstrual 105 period and confirmed by first-trimester ultrasonography.

The OGTT was performed by administering 75 g anhydrous glucose 106 dissolved in 250 mL water over 5 minutes after a minimum fast of 107 8 hours. Venous plasma glucose was measured at 0 and 1 hours, and 108 109after 2 hours using the hexokinase method. The diagnosis of GDM/ overt diabetes was made according to the IADPSG adopted in Croatia. 110 Patients diagnosed with GDM (with various degrees of HIP) and overt 111 diabetes were instructed to follow a diabetic diet (calorie intake calcu-112 113lated using BMI, length of pregnancy, and ideal body weight).

114 Chronic hypertension was diagnosed if blood pressure exceeded 140/90 mm Hg (18.7/12.0 kPa) before pregnancy or in the first 11520 weeks. Gestational hypertension was defined as the onset of hyper-116 tension after the 20th week of pregnancy in the absence of accompa-117 nying proteinuria. Pre-eclampsia was defined as systolic blood pressure 118 119 of at least 140 mm Hg (18.7 kPa) and diastolic blood pressure of at least 90 mm Hg (12.0 kPa) after the 20th week of pregnancy in previously 120normotensive women with proteinuria (urinary protein excretion of 121 300 mg in the course of 24 hours). 122

123Ponderal index was calculated as birth weight/(height³) \times 100. Large-for-gestational-age (LGA) neonates had a gestational-age-specific 124 birth weight of higher than the 90th percentile for their sex [14]. 125Macrosomia was defined as a birth weight of 4000 g or more. Preterm 126 delivery was defined as delivery before 37 weeks. Hyperbilirubinemia 127128was defined in premature newborns as a serum bilirubin concentration of at least 170 µmol/L, and in term newborns as concentration of at 129least 398 µmol/L. Apgar scores were recorded at 1 and 5 minutes. 130

For the present study, the 2-hour OGTT results were used to create 131five groups of patients on the basis of IADPSG and NICE criteria 132133(Table 1). Values were expressed as mean \pm SD. Statistical analysis 134was done using SPSS version 17 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). The χ^2 test was used for between-group comparisons; for independent sam-135ples, the *t* test was performed. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence 136intervals (CIs) were calculated to compare frequency of adverse preg-137138 nancy outcomes between groups. P≤0.001 was considered statistically significant and P≤0.01 was considered a trend. 139

3. Results 140

Among 4646 pregnant women included, 1074 (23.1%) had GDM 141 according to IADPSG criteria (group 1). When NICE criteria were used, 142826 (17.8%) women were deemed to have GDM (group 2). Another 143 409 (8.8%) women met the FPG criteria from IADPSG but not NICE 144 145 (group 3) and 50 (1.1%) women had overt diabetes (group 4). The

Table 1	
Criteria used to divide patients into the five study groups.	

enterna abea to annae patiento into the	ire study groupsi			0112
Group	Fasting plasma glucose, mmol/L	1-h plasma glucose, mmol/L	2-h plasma glucose, mmol/L	t1.3
1 (HIP according to IADPSG criteria)	≥5.1	≥10.0	≥8.5	t1.4
2 (HIP according to NICE criteria)	≥5.6	-	≥7.8	t1.5
3 (HIP according to IADPSG but not	5.1-5.5	≤9.9	≤7.7	t1.6
NICE criteria)				t1.7
4 (overt diabetes)	≥7.0	≥10	≥11.1	t1.8
5 (control group)	≤5.0	≤9.9	≤7.7	t1.9

Abbreviations: HIP, hyperglycemia in pregnancy; IADPSG, International Association of t1.10 Diabetic Pregnancy Study Group: NICE, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, t1.11

control group (group 5) contained 3391 (73.0%) women. Overall, 146 540 (11.6%) patients were in both groups 1 and 2. 147

Pregnant women diagnosed with HIP were significantly older, had 148 higher body weight and BMI, and were more often multiparous, al- 149 though they had significantly lower gestational weight gain compared 150 with control group (Table 2). The proportions of women deemed 151 obese were significantly higher in all HIP groups than in the control 152 group (P<0.001 for all) (Table 3). The highest prevalence of obesity 153 was recorded for group 4 (Table 3). 154

Length of pregnancy at delivery was significantly lower in groups 2, 155 3, and 4 than in the control group (P<0.001 for all) (Table 4). Addition- 156 ally, the prevalence of LGA newborns was higher in all HIP groups 157 (P<0.001 for all) (Table 4). The odds of LGA or macrosomia were 158 highest in group 3 (Table 4). Ponderal index was higher in groups 159 3 and 4 than in the control group (P<0.001 for both) (Table 4). Apgar 160 score at 1 minute was lower in groups 1 and 2 than in the control 161 group (P<0.001 for both) (Table 4). 162

A significantly higher frequency of chronic hypertension was re- 163 corded in groups 2 and 4 than in the control group (P < 0.001 for both) 164 (Table 4). Gestational hypertension and pre-eclampsia were more com- 165 mon in groups 1 and 2 than in the control group (P<0.001 for both) 166 (Table 4). Cesarean delivery was more common in groups 1, 2, 3, and 167 4 than in the control group (P<0.001 for all) (Table 4). 168

A total of 1255 pregnant women fulfilled both criteria for GDM 169 (IADPSG and NICE). These women were divided into two groups: 170 women with FPG of 5.0 mmol/L or less (n = 422) and those with FPG 171 of at least 5.1 mmol/L (n = 833). The odds of LGA newborns and pre- 172 term delivery were increased among pregnant women with FPG of at 173 least 5.1 mmol/L (Table 5). Among the 50 pregnant women with overt 174 diabetes, odds of LGA newborns were higher than among control 175 women (OR 2.7, 95% CI 1.5-4.6). The odds of hyperbilirubinemia were 176 higher among women with HIP than in the control group (Table 5). 177 The number of congenital malformations was very small in all groups, 178 with no between-group differences (data not shown). 179

4. Discussion

In the present study, the prevalence of GDM according to the 181 NICE criteria was 17.8%, but was 23.1% according to IADPSG. Women 182 diagnosed with GDM according to either set of criteria were at increased 183 risk of adverse outcomes-e.g. LGA newborns and cesarean delivery- 184 when compared with a control group. More than 400 women had an 185 FPG concentration of 5.1–5.5 mmol/L and a 2-h OGTT concentration 186 of less than 7.8 mmol/L, and therefore would not have been diag- 187 nosed with GDM if only NICE criteria had been applied. Nevertheless, 188 these women were also at increased odds of adverse outcomes. There- 189 fore, the detection of pregnant women with a FPG concentration of 190 5.1–5.5 mmol/L is important. 191

The importance of the IADPSG criteria [9] is evident when using FPG 192 values to diagnose GDM: 18% of the pregnant women included in the 193 present study would have been diagnosed with GDM on the basis of 194 FPG alone. Even so, women with GDM who had an FPG concentration 195

t1.2

t1.1

180

col Obstet

Please cite this article as: Djelmis J, et al, Prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus according to IADPSG and NICE criteria, Int J Gynec
(2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2016.07.005

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6187018

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6187018

Daneshyari.com