## ARTICLE IN PRESS

International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijgo

### 1 CLINICAL ARTICLE

6

31

40

# Direct puncture embolization of the internal iliac artery during cesarean delivery for pernicious placenta previa coexisting with placenta accreta

### Q2 Zhenyu Chen, Ju Li \*, Jian Shen, Jiaxi Jin, Wei Zhang, Wan Zhong

5 Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, No. 202 People's Liberation Army Hospital, Shenyang, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### ABSTRACT

Article history: 8 Received 26 January 2016 9 Received in revised form 26 May 2016 10 11 Accepted 15 August 2016 1232 Keywords: 33 Artery embolization 34 Hemorrhage Pernicious placenta previa 35 Placenta accreta

*Objective:* To evaluate direct puncture embolization of the internal iliac artery with hemostatic gelatin sponge 17 particles to treat pernicious placenta previa coexisting with placenta accreta during cesarean delivery. 18 *Methods:* A retrospective study was conducted of data from women with pernicious placenta previa and placenta 19 accreta who underwent direct puncture embolization of the internal iliac artery during cesarean delivery at a 20 center in China between September 1, 2013, and February 28, 2015. Information regarding surgical procedures, 21 operative data, and outcomes during hospitalization were obtained from medical records. *Results:* The procedure 22 was successful in all 16 cases included, Mean operative time was 78 minutes (range 65–90) and mean estimated 23 blood loss was 1550 mL (range 1000–2500). Complications such as fever, buttock pain, or acute limb ischemia 24 were not observed. The procedure was performed after partial cystectomy for two patients with bladder 25 invasion. Postoperative Doppler imaging indicated uterine recovery and normalized uterine blood flow in all 26 patients. *Conclusion:* Direct puncture embolization of the internal iliac artery during cesarean delivery was a 27 safe, effective, simple, and rapid method to control hemorrhage among women with pernicious placenta previa 28 and placenta accreta. 29

© 2016 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights 30 reserved

#### 41 **1. Introduction**

Pernicious placenta previa (defined as placenta previa attached to 42previous cesarean delivery scars [1]) is often associated with placenta 43accreta: in a retrospective study [2], Sumigama et al. showed that 44 37% of cases of placenta previa among women who had previously de-45livered by cesarean were associated with placenta increta/percreta. In 46 47 the past 10 years, the incidence of pernicious placenta previa coexisting with placenta accreta has gradually risen in China as a result of the 48 increased use of cesarean delivery, as well as implementation of a 4950two-child policy [3].

51Pernicious placenta previa and placenta accreta can lead to lifethreatening maternal hemorrhage and appreciable challenges for clini-52cal management. Postpartum hemorrhage caused by pernicious placen-5354ta previa coexisting with placenta accreta is more severe than that caused by uterine atony, with a mean blood loss of 3000–5000 mL [3]. 55 In the study by Sumigama et al. [2], mean intraoperative blood loss 5657was  $3630 \pm 2216$  g among the patients with pernicious placenta previa and placenta increta and 12 140  $\pm$  8343 g among those with placenta 5859percreta; 4.35% of the affected women died due to hemorrhage.

E-mail address: czy740704@163.com (J. Li).

Furthermore, clinical treatment of this condition is complex. Inappro-60 priate management could result in severe bleeding and instantaneous 61 shock. Several techniques are currently available to treat pernicious pla-62 centa previa coexisting with placenta accreta during cesarean delivery. 63 These methods include internal iliac artery ligation, hysterectomy, inter-64 ventional arterial radioembolization, and balloon occlusion of the artery 65 [4–8]. However, all these methods have limitations and their clinical efficacy is far from ideal owing to technical difficulties, increased duration, 67 and the requirement for complex equipment [9]. The risk of hysterectomy among women with pernicious placenta previa and placenta accreta 69 increases with the number of previous cesarean deliveries [4,10,11]. 70

Internal iliac artery ligation was initially used to control intraoper-71 ative bleeding associated with cervical cancer, but later became an op-72 tion for the treatment of postpartum hemorrhage [12,13]. The uterus 73 can be preserved for some patients with severe postpartum hemorrhage 74 treated by internal iliac artery ligation, and maternal outcome can be im-75 proved [5]. However, the procedure is usually performed by experienced 76 surgeons or by obstetricians with a lot of surgical experience. The inter-77 nal iliac artery must be freed in this operation, which can lead to the 78 injury of ureter and iliac vein, severe pelvic-floor bleeding, prolonged 79 operation time, and postoperative ureteral fistula [13]. Additionally, 80 the effective hemostasis time of this procedure can be short because of 81 the plentiful blood flow from collateral circulation.

Pernicious placenta previa coexisting with placenta accreta has tra- 83 ditionally been treated by hysterectomy during cesarean delivery to 84

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2016.05.018

0020-7292/© 2016 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics. Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Chen Z, et al, Direct puncture embolization of the internal iliac artery during cesarean delivery for pernicious placenta previa coexisting with placenta accreta, Int J Gynecol Obstet (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2016.05.018

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, No. 202 People's Liberation Army Hospital, No 5 Guangrong Street, Heping District, Shenyang, China, 110003. Tel./fax: +86 2428853560.

2

### **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

#### Z. Chen et al. / International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

prevent life-threatening bleeding [14,15]. Hysterectomy can achieve the 85 86 goal of controlling bleeding; however, the sudden loss of fertility after 87 this operation can result in substantial negative consequences for phys-88 ical and mental health [16]. Additionally, cesarean hysterectomy reguires more time and experience than does simple hysterectomy, and 89 is associated with severe intraoperative hemorrhage [17]. This 90 procedure should, therefore, be performed only when conservative 9192 treatment is ineffective. For cultural reasons, it is our experience that 93 women in China tend not to accept hysterectomy because it will lead 94to the loss of fertility.

Interventional arterial radioembolization therapy requires a plastic
catheter to be inserted into either the bleeding uterine artery or internal
iliac artery, with gelatin sponge particles injected into the target blood
vessel under radiographic guidance [18]. Uterine artery or internal
iliac artery radioembolization can effectively prevent bleeding and decrease the incidence of hysterectomy.

However, this technique must be performed in well-equipped hos-101 pitals with close coordination among skilled radiologists and obstetri-102cians. Primary hospitals in China are often inadequately equipped and 103lack suitable resources to perform radioembolization. In most cases, pa-104 tients are transferred to the radiology department for postoperative 105 radioembolization when surgical hemostasis fails during cesarean 106 107 delivery. However, owing to continuous bleeding and hemodynamic instability, it is unsafe to transfer women with placenta accreta who 108 are undergoing cesarean delivery from the operating room to the radi-109ology department. The transfer of patients will delay the rescue time, in-110 crease the amount of bleeding, and raise the need for hysterectomy. 111 112 Cesarean delivery could be performed in the radiology department of well-equipped hospitals, which would allow the radiologist to under-113 take preoperative prophylactic internal iliac artery catheterization 114 using radiographic guidance while the patient is anesthetized, followed 115116 by cesarean delivery by the obstetric team. Immediate emergency 117 radioembolization might be performed when hemorrhage after deliv-118 erv is uncontrollable.

Preoperative prophylactic placement of an occlusion balloon in the 119 bilateral internal iliac artery or abdominal aortic can reduce uterine ar-120121 tery pressure and blood loss among patients with placenta accreta when 122 the balloon is inflated to temporarily occlude the primary blood supply to the uterus [6,7]. Although such occlusion of the internal iliac arteries 123was considered safe, substantial intraoperative blood loss and the need 124 for transfusion were reported in one study; consequently, the common 125126 iliac arteries, rather than the internal iliac arteries, were proposed as the optimum location for the occlusion balloon [8]. Thon et al. [19] reported 127that balloon occlusion of the internal iliac artery was useful for only 128 129some patients; furthermore, the risks of blood loss and hysterectomy remained high. These researchers also showed that balloon catheters 130131 were associated with adverse outcomes, including groin hematoma, air in pressurized lines, symptomatic hypotension, leg ischemia, and 132catheter migration [19]. Balloon occlusion might also damage the arte-133rial wall, resulting in the formation of iliac artery thrombosis, iliac artery 134pseudoaneurysm, and deep-vein thrombosis [20]. 135

136Both interventional radioembolization and balloon occlusion of 137the artery under radiation have limitations for widespread clinical application. These techniques must be performed in hospital with ade-138quate resources and experienced doctors. Both methods also expose the 139140 mother and fetus to ionizing radiation. The safety of such maternal-141 fetal exposure requires further research. Additionally, it can be a threat to mother and fetus if the internal iliac artery catheters are inserted 142before cesarean delivery after anesthesia: in one study [19], pregnant 143 women had supine hypotension during insertion, and the fetus was in a 144 state of intrauterine hypoxia. 145

The combination of cesarean delivery and interventional treatment requires close coordination of the multidisciplinary team. Any
breakdown in the procedure will delay time to treatment and increase the risk of bleeding. Establishing a new method to promote
hemostasis that is simple, fast, and effective would bring substantial

clinical benefits. The aim of the present study was to evaluate a novel 151 operative strategy involving direct puncture embolization of the in- 152 ternal iliac artery to control bleeding during cesarean delivery among 153 Chinese women. 154

2. Materials and methods 155

A retrospective study was conducted among patients with perni- 156 cious placenta previa coexisting with placenta accreta who attended 157 the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, No. 202 People's 158 Liberation Army Hospital, Shenyang, China, for cesarean delivery be- 159 tween September 1, 2013, and February 28, 2015. Eligible patients 160 met the inclusion criteria: placenta previa with at least one previous 161 cesarean delivery and the major placenta attached to the uterine scar; 162 placenta accreta suspected by ultrasonography or magnetic resonance 163 imaging, and confirmed by histologic examination; and direct puncture 164 embolization of the internal iliac artery performed during cesarean 165 delivery. Patients for whom there was insufficient data and those who 166 had no desire to preserve fertility were excluded. The protocol was ap- 167 proved by the ethics committee of the present study center. All included 168 patients had provided written informed consent at the time of treat- 169 ment for the use of their data in future studies. 170

Before cesarean delivery, each patient and her family were informed 171 about the risks of pernicious placenta previa coexisting with placenta 172 accreta, the procedure details, and the potential complications of internal iliac artery embolization during cesarean delivery. Preoperative 174 preparation procedures included careful assessment by Doppler ultrainvaded depth, scope of placenta accreta, and extrauterine organs 177 affected. The administrative department of hospital was informed and 178 effective coordination set up among a multidisciplinary team, which 179 comprised staff from the departments of obstetrics, urology, anesthesia, 180 and neonatology, as well as the blood bank. Sufficient supplies of the blood products, coagulation factors, and instruments required for surgery were made available. Large-bore venous access and central venous pressure access were inserted before surgery. 184

All operations were performed under epidural anesthesia. Scar 185 tissue was removed from the abdominal wall before entering the intra-186 peritoneal cavity. A transverse incision (approximately 3 cm in length) 187 was made in the seromuscular layer of the uterine segment, which 188 avoided the placenta. The amniotic sac was ruptured with forceps 189 and the amniotic fluid promptly aspirated. Neonates were delivered 190 rapidly after making a bilateral tear of 10-12 cm to the incision. A 191 20-IU dose of oxytocin was administered intravenously immediately 192 after delivery. Thereafter, a dose of 10-20 IU oxytocin (dissolved in 193 500 mL of a 0.9% solution of saline) was administered by continuous 194 intravenous infusion, and repeated as necessary. Prostaglandin F2 $\alpha$  195 (250 µg) was injected into the uterine muscle to control hemorrhage. 196 The incision edges were held by oval forceps and the uterine cavity was 197 packed using a gauze pad to stop bleeding from the uterine incision and 198 placental tissue. 199

Direct embolization of the internal iliac artery was performed after 200 temporary hemostasis had been established. The uterus was removed 201 from the pelvis and then retracted upwards and laterally to expose 202 the common iliac artery and its branches. An incision was made in 203 the peritoneum over the blood vessel (3–5 cm in length), along the in- 204 ternal iliac artery, and the ureter was pushed medially. The bifurcation 205 of the common iliac artery was fully exposed and the internal iliac 206 artery was carefully identified. A 100-mg aliquot of hemostatic gelatin 207 sponge particles (diameter 1000–1400 µm) was dissolved in 20 mL 208 0.9% saline and then carefully transferred into a 20-mL syringe. The 209 dissolved particles were injected into the internal iliac artery using 210 a 14-gauge needle from a position approximately 2.5 cm below the 211 bifurcation of the common iliac artery. The needle of the syringe was 212 held at a 45° angle from the horizon, with the slope of the tip pointing 213 downward. To stop any bleeding, the injection site was pressed with a 214

Please cite this article as: Chen Z, et al, Direct puncture embolization of the internal iliac artery during cesarean delivery for pernicious placenta previa coexisting with placenta accreta, Int J Gynecol Obstet (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2016.05.018

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6187021

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6187021

Daneshyari.com