



## Bedside tests to predict laryngoscopic difficulty in pediatric patients



André Marques Mansano<sup>a,\*</sup>, Norma Sueli Pinheiro Módolo<sup>a,1</sup>, Leopoldo Muniz da Silva<sup>b,1</sup>,  
Eliana Maria Ganem<sup>a,1</sup>, Leandro Gobbo Braz<sup>a,1</sup>, Andrea de Carvalho Knabe<sup>b,1</sup>,  
Fernanda Moreira de Freitas<sup>b,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, Botucatu Medical School, UNESP, Sao Paulo, Brazil

<sup>b</sup> Botucatu Medical School, São Paulo State University, Brazil

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 20 October 2015

Received in revised form 22 January 2016

Accepted 23 January 2016

Available online 3 February 2016

#### Keywords:

Pediatric  
Laryngoscopy  
Anesthesia  
Predictors

### ABSTRACT

**Background and Objectives:** Pediatric airway management is a priority during anesthesia, critical care and emergency medicine. The purpose of this study is to validate bedside tests that predict airway management difficulty in anesthetized children.

**Methods:** Children under 12 years of age were recruited in a cross-sectional study to assess the value of some anthropometric measures as predictors of laryngoscopic difficulty. The patients were divided into three groups by age. Weight, height, neck circumference, BMI (body mass index), inter incisors distance, thyromental distance, sternomental distance, frontal plane to chin distance (FPCD) and the Mallampati index were determined and were correlated with the CML (Cormack & Lehane classification).

**Results:** The incidence of difficult laryngoscopy (CML 3 or 4) was 3.58%. Factors that were significantly associated with laryngoscopic difficulty included short inter incisors distance, high FPCD, thyromental distance, sternomental distance and the Mallampati index. The FPCD/weight index exhibited a higher area under the ROC curve than any other variable considered.

**Conclusions:** This study confirms that the FPCD and the FPCD/weight ratio are the most consistent predictors of laryngoscopic difficulty in pediatric patients. For patients over 6 months of age, the IID also correlated with laryngoscopic difficulty. For children who were capable of obeying simple orders, the Mallampati test correlated better with laryngoscopic difficulty than did the Mallampati test with phonation.

Our results strongly suggest that skilled professionals should perform airway management in children, especially in patients with a high FPCD or a high FPCD/weight ratio.

© 2016 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Pediatric airway management is of primary importance during anesthesia, critical care and emergency medicine. However, difficulty in performing laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation occurs in 1.5–8% of patients under general anesthesia [1], and this problem is even worse in pediatric patients [2–4] because of the

differences in anatomical and physiological structure when compared to adults [5–8]. Difficulty in airway management in pediatric patients is especially hazardous when considering the additional stress presented by pediatric patients in critical care or emergency settings.

Bedside tests for predicting laryngoscopic difficulty are useful for preemptively deciding whether tracheal intubation should be attempted under respiratory distress. The Mallampati [9,10] and the modified Mallampati [11] tests are widely used in clinical practice; however, their accuracy as individual tests has not been confirmed in a large meta-analysis study [12]. Other tests have been validated for adult patients, such as the hyomental distance [13], the upper lip bite test [14], the thyromental distance and the interincisor gap [15]. However, there is a lack of data for predictive factors for laryngoscopic difficulty in pediatric patients [16].

The purpose of this study is to create and validate bedside tests that can predict laryngoscopic difficulty in pediatric patients.

\* Correspondence to: Cel Quirino, 1463 apto 61, Campinas/SP, Brazil.

Tel.: +55 19998374667.

E-mail addresses: [andremarquesmansano@gmail.com](mailto:andremarquesmansano@gmail.com), [andre.mansano@einstein.br](mailto:andre.mansano@einstein.br) (A.M. Mansano), [nmodolo@fmb.unesp.br](mailto:nmodolo@fmb.unesp.br) (N.S.P. Módolo), [leopoldo\\_muniz@hotmail.com](mailto:leopoldo_muniz@hotmail.com) (L.M.d. Silva), [eganem@fmb.unesp.br](mailto:eganem@fmb.unesp.br) (E.M. Ganem), [lbraz@fmb.unesp.br](mailto:lbraz@fmb.unesp.br) (L.G. Braz), [andreknabe@gmail.com](mailto:andreknabe@gmail.com) (A.d.C. Knabe), [fernandamfreitas@yahoo.com.br](mailto:fernandamfreitas@yahoo.com.br) (F.M.d. Freitas).

<sup>1</sup> Via Domingos Sartori, s/n – Distrito de Rubião Junior, Botucatu/SP 8607-621, Brazil.

## 2. Methods

After gaining approval by the Ethics on Research Committee of the Botucatu Medical School, São Paulo State University, 446 patients (59.5% males and 41.5% females) classified as stages 1 and 2 by the guidelines of the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) and those who were under 12 years old and scheduled for surgical procedures under general anesthesia were included in this cross-sectional study. Exclusion criteria included patients with craniofacial abnormalities and those whose parents did not provide consent.

An objective assessment was performed in all patients one day prior to surgery, which included the patient age, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), neck circumference, thyromental distance, sternomental distance, interincisor distance (IID, or inter alveolar distance in edentulous patients), the frontal plane-to-chin distance (FPCD, the shortest distance between the chin and the bridge of the nose—see Fig. 1) and the Mallampati index for children who were able to obey simple orders. All measurements were performed with the patient in the supine position except for the Mallampati index, which was assessed with the child in the seated position. The patients were divided by age into three groups: group (1) consisted of children aged zero to 6 months, after which children start sitting and teething; group (2) consisted of children aged 6 months to 4 years and group (3) consisted of children aged 4 years to 12 years, after which all patients were able to obey simple instructions to evaluate Mallampati index. Patients were anesthetized with inhalatory sevoflurane, and after an intravenous (IV) line had been established, IV propofol (2 mg/kg), IV fentanyl (5 µg/kg) and IV rocuronium (0.6 mg/kg) were administered. Another anesthesiologist performed the laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. The distances of each factor measured were divided by age (in years) to create an index that was plotted as an ROC curve. Data were analyzed using Stata Intercooler version 9.0. Ordinary variables are expressed as the mean and standard deviation. Variables were assessed for significance using analysis of variance followed by Tukey's test. The Mallampati index was assessed for significance using the Mann–Whitney test. Significance was assumed for all results with *p*-values less than 0.05.

## 3. Results

Demographic anthropometric characteristics of the patients are described in table 1.

The incidence of laryngoscopic difficulty (CML 3 or 4) was 3.58%; the incidence was higher in patients younger than 6 months (10.7% × 3.1%).

There were no statistically significant differences in the CML when the BMI and the neck circumference were analyzed. Shorter interincisors (Graphic 1), thyromental (Graphic 2), and sternomental distances (Graphic 3) and a longer FPCD (Graphic 4) correlated with a higher incidence of laryngoscopic difficulty.

Of the patients in group 1, only the FPCD correlated with laryngoscopic difficulty when the variables were divided by the patient's weight. An FPCD/weight ratio higher than 0.2 reflects a sensitivity of 88.89% and a specificity of 73.68%, suggesting that retrognathism is an important and reliable risk factor for laryngoscopic difficulty in very young patients (Graphic 5).

Of the patients in group 2, FPCD and IID significantly correlated with laryngoscopic difficulty. When higher than 0.1, the FPCD/weight index has a sensitivity of 75% and a specificity of 68.75%, whereas an IID/weight index below 0.30 has a sensitivity of 70% but a specificity of 13.79%, as plotted in the ROC curve (Graphic 6).




Fig. 1. (a) Frontal plane-to-chin distance (FPCD).

**Table 1**  
Demographic Anthropometric Characteristics Of The Patients.

Variable	Mean ± SD		
	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3
<i>n</i>	28	148	270
Age (yrs)	0.23 ± 0.15	2.80 ± 1.28	8.76 ± 2.14
Height (m)	0.66 ± 0.27	0.92 ± 0.18	1.31 ± 0.19
Weight (kg)	8.95 ± 11.90	14.44 ± 5.14	33.78 ± 15.27
BMI	16.5 ± 3.61	17.11 ± 5.07	18.92 ± 4.78
Neck circumference (cm)	25.01 ± 3.23	26.75 ± 2.78	30.53 ± 3.98
Interincisor distance (cm)	2.49 ± 0.96	3.15 ± 0.72	4.07 ± 0.79
Frontal plane-chin distance (cm)	1.17 ± 0.91	1.26 ± 0.91	1.19 ± 0.94
Thyromental distance (cm)	3.53 ± 1.33	4.76 ± 1.33	6.54 ± 1.55
Sternomental distance (cm)	6.24 ± 2.74	8.50 ± 1.02	1.84 ± 1.01

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD.

Of the patients in group 3, FPCD was the best predictor of laryngoscopic difficulty. When higher than 0.03, the FPCD/weight index had a sensitivity of 76.19% and a specificity of 50.2%. An IID/weight index below 0.2 also correlated with laryngoscopic difficulty, with a sensitivity of 85.71% and a specificity of 12.05%.

Forty-three patients (29.3%) under 4 years of age were capable of obeying simple orders; thus, the Mallampati index was applied to these patients. A Mallampati index greater than 2 had a sensitivity of 61.9% and a specificity of 52.4% with an area under the ROC curve of 0.6158. When the patient was required to produce an open vowel sound, the sensitivity decreased to 23.81% (Graphic 7).

## 4. Discussion

Unfortunately, few studies have assessed the clinical predictors of laryngoscopic difficulty in children [17], despite the high incidence of airway management complications in pediatric patients [2,18,19]. Except in children with certain craniofacial or mandibular abnormalities, such as Treacher Collins, Pierre Robin or Crouzon syndromes, predicting which pediatric patients could

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6213274>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6213274>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)