



Musculoskeletal deformities following repair of large congenital diaphragmatic hernias



Katie W. Russell ^{*}, Douglas C. Barnhart, Michael D. Rollins, Gary Hedlund, Eric R. Scaife

University of Utah, Primary Children's Hospital, Division of Pediatric Surgery, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 January 2014

Accepted 27 January 2014

Key words:

CDH
Pectus excavatum
Pectus carinatum
Scoliosis
Split-abdominal wall muscle flap

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Large congenital diaphragmatic hernias (CDH) can be repaired with either a muscle flap or prosthetic patch. The purpose of this study was to assess the frequency and severity of scoliosis, chest wall, and abdominal wall deformities following these repairs.

Methods: Neonates who underwent CDH repair (1989–2012) were retrospectively reviewed. We then validated our retrospective review by comparing results of a focused radiologic evaluation and clinical examination of patients with large defects seen in prospective follow-up clinic. Tests for association were made using Fisher's exact test.

Results: 236 patients survived at least 1 year. Of these patients, 30 had a muscle flap, and 13 had a patch repair. Retrospectively, we identified pectus in 9% of primary repairs, 47% of flap repairs, and 54% of patch repairs. We identified scoliosis in 7% of primary repairs, 13% of flap repairs, and 15% of patch repairs. Prospectively, 75% of flap patients and 67% of patch patients had pectus and 13% of flap patients and 33% of patch patients had scoliosis. There was no significant difference between flap and patch patients.

Conclusions: Scoliosis and pectus deformity were common in children with large CDH. The operative technique did not appear to affect the incidence of subsequent skeletal deformity.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Large congenital diaphragmatic hernias (CDHs) require repair with either a patch or an autologous tissue transfer. Repair with a prosthetic patch is the technique used by most surgeons [1]. Multiple studies have shown that patients who undergo CDH repair with patch or muscle flap have an increased incidence of chest wall deformities, with a scoliosis rate that ranges from 4% to 50% and a pectus deformity rate that ranges from 14% to 80% [2–8]. Repairing large defects with autologous tissue in the form of a split abdominal wall muscle flap is the treatment of choice at our institution, and we have previously reported a low recurrence rate associated with this repair type (4.3%) [9]. The question arises as to whether this type of repair increases abdominal wall weakness or hernia and chest wall deformities. We reviewed our series with a focus on these issues.

1. Methods

1.1. Study population

After obtaining approval from the Institutional Review Board, a retrospective review of all children with CDH repair at our regional tertiary care children's hospital from 1989 to 2012 was performed.

^{*} Corresponding author at: University of Utah, Primary Children's Hospital, Division of Pediatric Surgery, 100 North Mario Capecchi Drive, Suite 2600, Salt Lake City UT 84113. Tel.: +1 801 662 2950; fax: +1 801 662 2980.

E-mail address: Katie.Russell@hsc.utah.edu (K.W. Russell).

The patients were categorized by the technique of their repair. Repair types included primary repair, split abdominal wall muscle flap and synthetic patch. Paper and electronic medical records were reviewed to obtain demographic data, and diagnosis of skeletal deformities as well as any treatment for the skeletal deformities. Electronic charts were searched for the key words “pectus” and “scoliosis,” and those specific notes were reviewed. These diagnoses were made by a variety of physicians including radiologists, orthopedists and primary care physicians and were not always confirmed by a focused follow-up visit by a pediatric surgeon.

In order to check the validity of our retrospective review, patients with large defects were seen prospectively for focused follow-up and a single pediatric radiologist (G.H.) reviewed the most current chest radiograph to evaluate for scoliosis greater than 10 degrees. The results of follow-up were correlated with our retrospective review.

1.2. Operative technique

The choice of surgical technique for repair of the large CDH was according to surgeon preference. In both techniques, as much of the native diaphragm as possible is closed primarily using pledgeted sutures. In patch repairs, the patch is tailored with adequate redundancy to allow tension free growth. Patches are fixed to the margins of the diaphragm if possible or to the pericostal tissue. Split abdominal wall muscle flaps are done using the technique that we

Table 1
Results of retrospective chart review.

	n	Pectus Deformity	Pectus Deformity RR (95% CI, p)	Scoliosis	Scoliosis RR (95% CI, p)
Primary Repair	193	17 (8.8%)	Ref.	13 (6.7%)	Ref.
Large Defect	43	21 (49%)	5.5 (3.2–9.6, p < 0.001)	6 (14%)	2.1 (0.83–5.1, p = 0.07)
Muscle Flap	30	14 (47%)	5.3 (2.9–9.6, p < 0.001)	4 (13%)	2.0 (0.69–5.7, p = 0.26)
Patch	13	7 (54%)	6.1 (3.1–12.0, p < 0.001)	2 (15%)	2.3 (0.58–9.1, p = 0.24)

have previously described using the transversalis and internal oblique muscles [10].

1.3. Follow-up

Primary Children’s Hospital is the only free standing children’s hospital serving the state of Utah and a significant portion of surrounding states in the Intermountain West. Within our catchment area, children receive all of their tertiary care at our facility. In addition to this, Primary Children’s Hospital is part of Intermountain Healthcare that has a system wide electronic medical record. Therefore we are able to access ongoing records even in children who have not followed up in the pediatric surgery clinic. In response to recent guidelines [11], all children with large defects have been contacted to return for long-term follow-up.

1.4. Statistical analysis

Tests for association were made using Fisher’s exact test, with p-values adjusted for multiple comparisons. All analyses were performed using SAS 9.2 software.

2. Results

Two hundred and seventy-nine infants had CDH repair during the study period and 236 patients survived to at least 1 year of life or were alive and less than 1 year old at the time of review. Of these survivors, 193 underwent primary repair, 30 had a split abdominal wall muscle flap repair and 13 had a patch repair. At the time of chart review the median age of patients who underwent primary repair was 11 years (range 0.5–24.2 years), flap repair was 7.1 years (range 0.8–22.3 years), and patch repair was 4.5 years (0.9–23.8 years).

Results of the retrospective review are summarized in Table 1. Children who had defects that could be closed primarily were significantly less likely to develop chest wall deformities than those with large defects that required either muscle flap or patch repairs (RR 5.5, 3.2–9.6). There was a trend towards an increased risk of scoliosis in children with large defects but this did not reach significance (RR 2.1, 0.83–5.1). The prevalence of chest wall deformity and scoliosis was not different between the muscle flap and patch groups. No child who underwent repair of a large CDH has required operative intervention for a musculoskeletal deformity at this time. One child who underwent primary CDH repair has had a Nuss Procedure for pectus excavatum, and 3 children, all of whom had primary CDH repair, have undergone operative intervention for

scoliosis. Three additional children have undergone bracing for scoliosis, 2 after primary CDH repair and 1 flap patient.

Table 2 compares the results of the chart review with our focused prospective clinic and focused radiological review. Eleven patients with large defects repaired by either split abdominal wall muscle flap or prosthetic patch participated in a long-term follow-up clinic that included a chest x-ray at a median age of 7.8 years (range 3.3–16.3 years). Most chest wall deformities were identified through the chart review with two more being identified prospectively. The chart review sensitivity was therefore 75% for chest wall deformity. Of note, the prospectively identified deformities were characterized as mild by the surgeon and not expected to require repair. The focused clinic visit and chart review identified identical patients with scoliosis. In contrast, review of current chest radiographs looking for scoliosis defined as a curvature of 10 degrees or more identified 2 additional patients. Both the chart review and clinical examination had a sensitivity of 50% for this degree of scoliosis. A single radiologist also reviewed the most recent available chest radiographs (26) for patients with large defects at a median radiologic follow-up of 1.5 years. This review identified scoliosis in 30% of the flap group and 33% of the patch group, similar to detailed review of x-rays from follow-up clinic.

The patients who presented for focused, prospective follow-up were also evaluated for abdominal wall hernia. Although protrusion of the abdominal wall is always seen following muscle flap repair in the short term, this defect improves over time and currently only 2 (25%) patients have a bulge. No patient has required operative intervention for a ventral hernia.

3. Discussion

Congenital diaphragmatic hernia is a severe developmental defect that is characterized by not only a defect in the diaphragm but also by ipsilateral and contralateral pulmonary hypoplasia. Given the close embryologic relationship between the lungs, thoracic cage and diaphragm, it is reasonable to expect chest wall and thoracic spine deformities in patients with CDH [5,12]. Our study provides an estimate of this risk. As hypothesized, more severe diaphragmatic defects are associated with a significantly greater risk of pectus deformities, and a trend toward increased scoliosis. This risk seems to be independent of the type of repair employed, supporting the notion that this may arise from the underlying developmental abnormality or tension inherent in any type of repair performed on a large defect.

It has been postulated that the tension after repair of large CDH defects may lead to scoliosis [5]. It has also been suggested that patch repairs actually decrease tension compared to primary repair, thus

Table 2
Accuracy of Retrospective Chart Review Compared to Focused Prospective Clinic Visit.

	Pectus Deformity		Scoliosis		
	Focused Prospective Clinic Visit	Chart Review	Focused Prospective Clinic Visit	Chart Review	Focused Radiology Review
All (n = 11)	8 (73%)	6 (55%)	2 (18%)	2 (18%)	4 (36%)
Muscle Flap (n = 8)	6 (75%)	5 (63%)	1 (13%)	1 (13%)	3 (38%)
Patch (n = 3)	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)	1 (33%)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6217338>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6217338>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)