



Short communication

The role of hazardous drinking reductions in predicting depression and anxiety symptom improvement among psychiatry patients: A longitudinal study



Amber L. Bahorik^{a,b,*}, Amy Leibowitz^b, Stacy A. Sterling^b, Adam Travis^c,
Constance Weisner^{a,b}, Derek D. Satre^{a,b}

^a Department of Psychiatry, UCSF Weill Institute for Neurosciences, University of California, San Francisco, 401 Parnassus Avenue, Box 0984, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA

^b Division of Research, Kaiser Permanente Northern California Region, 2000 Broadway, 3rd Floor, Oakland, CA 94612, USA

^c Kaiser Permanente Southern Alameda Department of Psychiatry, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 May 2016

Received in revised form

6 July 2016

Accepted 16 July 2016

Available online 19 July 2016

Keywords:

Depression

Alcohol

Hazardous drinking

Anxiety

ABSTRACT

Background: Co-occurrence of depression, anxiety, and hazardous drinking is high in clinical samples. Hazardous drinking can worsen depression and anxiety symptoms (and *vice versa*), yet less is known about whether reductions in hazardous drinking improve symptom outcomes.

Methods: Three hundred and seven psychiatry outpatients were interviewed (baseline, 3-, 6-months) for hazardous drinking (drinking over recommended daily limits), depression (PHQ-9), and anxiety (GAD-7) as part of a hazardous drinking intervention trial. Longitudinal growth models tested associations between hazardous drinking and symptoms (and reciprocal effects between symptoms and hazardous drinking), adjusting for treatment effects.

Results: At baseline, participants had moderate anxiety ($M=10.81$; $SD=10.82$) and depressive symptoms ($M=13.91$; $SD=5.58$); 60.0% consumed alcohol at hazardous drinking levels. Over 6-months, participants' anxiety ($B=-3.03$, $p<.001$) and depressive symptoms ($B=-5.39$, $p<.001$) improved. Continued hazardous drinking led to slower anxiety ($B=0.09$, $p=.005$) and depressive symptom ($B=0.10$, $p=.004$) improvement; reductions in hazardous drinking led to faster anxiety ($B=-0.09$, $p=.010$) and depressive ($B=-0.10$, $p=.015$) symptom improvement. Neither anxiety ($B=0.07$, $p=.066$) nor depressive ($B=0.05$, $p=.071$) symptoms were associated with hazardous drinking outcomes.

Limitations: Participants were psychiatry outpatients, limiting generalizability.

Conclusions: Reducing hazardous drinking can improve depression and anxiety symptoms but continued hazardous use slows recovery for psychiatry patients. Hazardous drinking-focused interventions may be helpful in promoting symptom improvement in clinical populations.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Co-occurrence of depression, anxiety, and hazardous drinking (drinking over recommended daily limits) is prevalent in the general population (Grant et al., 2004), and even more common among psychiatry patients (Sullivan et al., 2005). Hazardous drinking is problematic because it can impede treatment, raise the risk of escalation for alcohol problems, and lead to poor mental health outcomes (Kuo et al., 2006; Mills et al., 2009). To date, considerable work has examined relations between hazardous

drinking, anxiety, and depression, and document findings important to symptom recovery. Hazardous drinking can interfere with psychosocial functioning and precipitates stressful interpersonal problems (Kung, 2000), which can in turn worsen mental health outcomes (Bell et al., 2015). Clinical investigations also report hazardous drinking as a risk factor for depression (Boschloo et al., 2012), and that continued alcohol consumption worsens anxiety and depressive symptoms (Schuckit and Hesselbrock, 1994). One study found patients treated for alcohol use disorder showed improvement (decreases) in symptoms following abstinence (Brown and Schuckit, 1988), indicating a potential association between reductions in alcohol consumption to symptom improvement. Such findings suggest that while hazardous drinking exacerbates symptoms, reductions in hazardous drinking may contribute to symptom improvement.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Psychiatry, UCSF Weill Institute for Neurosciences, University of California, San Francisco, 401 Parnassus Avenue, Box 0984, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA.

E-mail address: amber.bahorik@ucsf.edu (A.L. Bahorik).

Studies report that anxiety and depressive symptoms can lead to higher alcohol intake, potentially consumed to reduce psychological distress or 'self-medicate' (Conner et al., 2009). Supporting the 'self-medication' model, a recent general population study tested bi-directional effects (alcohol consumption drives changes in general mental health and *vice versa*) of relations between mental health and heavy drinking, and found that mental health was the leading indicator of change (Bell et al., 2015). Whether similar findings would emerge in a clinical sample of psychiatry patients is largely unknown.

Studies have identified several ways alcohol intake potentially influences symptoms (and *vice versa*) in clinical samples, yet few have examined whether reduction in hazardous drinking contributes to improvement in anxiety and depression symptoms over time. Evidence to this in a clinical sample would signal a need for symptom stabilization efforts on alcohol screening and intervention when needed, which may accelerate recovery. As a primary study aim we examined associations between hazardous drinking and consumption reduction on anxiety and depression symptoms over 6-months in 307 psychiatric outpatients. Given the potential for bidirectional effects, we also explored associations between anxiety, depression, and hazardous drinking outcomes. Such findings would indicate that symptoms worsen hazardous drinking outcomes; and thus slow the rate of reduction in drinking days over time.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

The 307 participants were selected from a randomized controlled trial of motivational interviewing (MI) in alcohol/drug use treatment for depression (Satre et al., 2016). Patients were recruited from: Kaiser Permanente Southern Alameda Medical Center Department of Psychiatry in Union City and Fremont, California. Inclusion criteria required: age ≥ 18 ; ≥ 5 Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) (Kroenke et al., 2001); absence of mania/psychosis; drug use (illicit/non-prescribed use) or hazardous drinking $\geq 3/\geq 4$ drinks/day for women/men within 30 days. These participants were recruited at more conservative hazardous drinking levels than used in general population studies, as psychiatry patients often have medication interactions with alcohol; data were collected at the more widely used $\geq 4/\geq 5$ drinks/day for women/men (Satre et al., 2016).

These 307 patients comprised our study sample, and we examined hazardous drinking patterns and hazardous drinking reductions on symptom recovery at the more widely used $\geq 4/\geq 5$ drinks/day levels for women/men, adjusting for treatment effects. We also explored the effect of symptoms on hazardous drinking outcomes.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Hazardous drinking and hazardous drinking reductions

Consistent with NIAAA guidelines (NIAAA, 2005), hazardous drinking cut-offs were defined as $\geq 4/\geq 5$ drinks in a day for women/men. A single-item hazardous drinking screener determined patients' number of hazardous drinking days in the month before study interviews (baseline, 3-, 6-months); higher values indicated more drinking days at the hazardous drinking level. This screener has been validated as a predictor of substance use disorder risk (Dawson, 2010). Using original values of hazardous drinking days determined at study interviews, a time-varying reduction in hazardous drinking (Δ – hazardous drinking) variable was computed by subtracting hazardous drinking levels in

subsequent study periods from levels in prior periods (hazardous drinking at 3 months – hazardous drinking at baseline = Δ in hazardous drinking at three months, etc.).

2.2.2. Symptoms

PHQ-9 measured depression 2 weeks prior to each interview; higher scores indicate greater depression (9-items, range 0–27; score > 5 = at least mild depression) (Kroenke et al., 2001). Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) scale measured anxiety in the 2 weeks prior to each interview; higher scores indicate greater anxiety (7-items, range 0–21; score > 5 = at least mild anxiety) (Spitzer et al., 2006).

2.3. Procedures

Data were collected for a randomized controlled trial of MI in alcohol/drug use treatment for depression. The methods and outcomes of the parent study have been reported (Satre et al., 2016). Eligibility was determined by baseline alcohol/drug use and PHQ-9 score. Demographics were collected at baseline and patients were randomized (MI: 45-min in-person session followed by two 15-min booster sessions; Control: 2-page brochure on substance use risks). Patients were offered \$50 gift cards for completing the interviews. Of the 307 participants, 296 (96%) completed the 3-month telephone follow up, 302 (98%) completed the 6-month follow up. The University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) Committee on Human Subjects and the Kaiser Permanente Institutional Review Board approved the procedures. Patients were provided with written informed consent prior to participation.

2.4. Data analysis

We examined: (1) longitudinal associations of participants' symptoms with time; (2) associations between hazardous drinking, reduction in hazardous drinking and symptoms; and (3) longitudinal associations between symptoms and hazardous drinking. As we are interested in examining change over time for symptom and hazardous drinking outcomes and then identifying differences in rates of change (Raudenbush and Bryk, 2009), we constructed a series of mixed-effects growth models employing restricted maximum likelihood estimation for estimating the parameters of continuous outcomes. This approach to longitudinal data analysis is a form of hierarchical linear modeling for repeated measures data where multiple measurement occasions are nested within persons (Raudenbush and Bryk, 2009). We first constructed unconditional models predicting depression/anxiety measures from time (coded: 0=baseline; 1=3-months; 2=6-months) to examine the overall trajectory of participants' symptom levels over the follow-up. Subsequently, conditional growth models were constructed predicting symptom outcomes from time and time-varying hazardous drinking. To examine reduction in hazardous drinking on symptom outcomes, we computed growth models predicting symptom outcomes from time and time-varying Δ -hazardous drinking. Finally, to examine associations between symptoms and hazardous drinking outcome, conditional growth models were constructed predicting hazardous drinking from time and time-varying symptoms. Hazardous drinking was examined across all interviews as time-varying predictor and outcome. Conditional growth models included age, gender, MI as time-invariant covariates and psychiatry visits (medication management and/or psychotherapy visits) as a time-varying covariate. Rather than discard partial completers (~4.0% of the sample), the expectation maximization approach was used to handle missing data at the time of analysis. All variables were kept in their original distributions. Analyses were carried out in R version 2.14.2 (R Development Core Team, 2015) and HLM7 (Raudenbush et al., 2005). Statistical significance was defined at $p < .05$.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6229783>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6229783>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)