The American Journal of Surgery*

Clinical Science

Does comprehensive geriatric assessment improve the estimate of surgical risk in elderly patients? An Italian multicenter observational study



Pasquale Abete, M.D., Ph.D.^a,*, Antonio Cherubini, M.D., Ph.D.^b, Mauro Di Bari, M.D., Ph.D.^c, Carlo Vigorito, M.D.^a, Giorgio Viviani, M.D.^e, Niccolò Marchionni, M.D.^c, Daniele D'Ambrosio, M.D.^a, Alessandro Golino, M.D., Ph.D.^a, Rocco Serra, M.D.^d, Elena Zampi, M.D.^d, Ilaria Bracali, M.D.^c, AnnaMaria Mello, M.D.^c, Alessandra Vitelli, M.D.^a, Giuseppe Rengo, M.D., Ph.D.^f, Francesco Cacciatore, M.D., Ph.D.^f, Franco Rengo, M.D.^f

^aDipartimento di Scienze Mediche Traslazionali, Università di Napoli "Federico II," Via S. Pansini, n°5, 80136 Napoli, Italy; ^bGeriatria ed Accettazione Geriatrica d'urgenza, IRCCS-INRCA, Ancona, Italy; ^cDepartment of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Florence, Florence, Italy; ^dSezione di Gerontologia e Geriatria, Dipartimento di Medicina, Università degli Studi di Perugia, Perugia, Italy; ^eDipartimento di Medicina Interna e Specialità Mediche, Università degli Studi di Genova, Genova, Italy; ^fFonadazione Salvatore Maugeri IRCCS Istituto Scientifico di Telese, Benevento, Italy

Abstract **KEYWORDS: BACKGROUND:** The evaluation of surgical risk is crucial in elderly patients. At present, there is little Surgical risk; evidence of the usefulness of comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) as a part of the overall assess-Elderly patient; ment of surgical elderly patients. Mortality; METHODS: We verified whether CGA associated with established surgical risk assessment tools is Morbidity; able to improve the prediction of postoperative morbidity and mortality in 377 elderly patients under-Comprehensive going elective surgery. geriatric assessment **RESULTS:** Overall mortality and morbidity were 2.4% and 19.9%, respectively. Multivariate analysis showed that impaired cognitive function (odds ratio [OR], 1.33; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.15 to 4.22; P < .02) and higher Physiological and Operative Severity Score for the Enumeration

"Surgical risk in geriatric patients" is funded by PRIN 2006 (Programmi di ricerca scientifica di rilevante interesse nazionale-Anno 2006-prot. 2006063321) of the Italian Minister of University and Research.

of Mortality and Morbidity (OR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.00 to 1.23; P < .04) are predictive of mortality.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Manuscript received January 19, 2015; revised manuscript April 21, 2015

0002-9610/\$ - see front matter © 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2015.04.016

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: 39-81-7462270.

E-mail address: p.abete@unina.it

Higher comorbidity is predictive of morbidity (OR, 2.12; 95% CI, 1.06 to 4.22; P < .03) and higher American Society of Anesthesiologists (OR, 2.18; 95% CI, 1.31 to 3.63; P < .001) and National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome of Death score (OR, 2.03; 95% CI, 1.03 to 4.00; P < .04).

CONCLUSIONS: In elective surgical elderly patients, the morbidity and mortality are low. The use of CGA improves the identification of elderly patients at higher risk of adverse events, independent of the surgical prognostic indices.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

In 2030, half the population will be older than 50 years, with an expected life expectancy of 40 years, and a quarter of the population will be older than 65 years.¹ In this scenario, age-related surgical diseases, such as lung, colon–rectum, pancreas, and stomach cancer, are expected to become more frequent.² Therefore, the assessment of surgical risk in elderly patients should assume progressively greater importance.^{3–6}

The 30-day mortality in patients older than 80 years enrolled in the "Veterans Affairs National Surgical Quality Improvement Project" underwent noncardiac surgery, both elective and in emergency.⁷ In this sample, the mortality was 8% and reached 25% in elderly patients who experienced major complications; peak mortalities was 52% for acute renal failure and 88% for myocardial infarction.⁷ These results have also shown that emergency surgery, high American Society of Anesthesiologists score, and, above all, comorbidity are strongly associated with morbidity and mortality in the geriatric patient.⁷ Recently, a study conducted by the Anesthesia Quality Institute National Anesthesia Clinical Outcomes Registry demonstrated that older adults had greater surgical mortality and more complications than younger adults.⁸

The evaluation of the surgical risk is currently performed using different validated tools. Physiological and Operative Severity Score for the Enumeration of Mortality and Morbidity (POSSUM),⁹ Surgical Risk Score (SRS)¹⁰ that includes the National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome of Death (NCEPOD),¹¹ American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA),¹² and British United Provident Association (BUPA) are the more validated ones.¹³ These instruments, although extensively used at any age, including in the geriatric patient, do differ from the typical approach offered by the comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA),^{14,15} which is considered the gold standard for the global assessment of health status in elderly subjects. The CGA explores the functional status, comorbidity, nutritional status, emotional and cognitive functions, family situation, and socioeconomic status, to provide a global assessment of the elderly.^{14,15} The information obtained from the CGA can be used for developing an individualized health care plan aimed at preventing hospitalization and especially maintaining self-sufficiency in elderly subjects.^{14,15}

Thus, the aim of this study was to verify if CGA can improve the prediction of morbidity and mortality in elderly patients undergoing surgical intervention, when used together with established tools for assessment of surgical risk.

Methods

Study design

This is a prospective, observational, multicenter study for the evaluation of surgical risk in 396 patients aged 65 years or older, with surgical indication in a period of 20 months and a follow-up of 30 days. Because 19 patients (5.0%) were lost during the follow-up, the final sample consisted of 377 patients.

Inclusion criteria

Subjects to be enrolled were aged 65 years and older and were scheduled for elective surgery.

Exclusion criteria

• Emergency surgery, day surgery, surgery under local anesthesia with the exception of the carotid thromboendoarterectomy, and palliative surgery in terminal cancer patient.

Surgical units

The study was conducted in 5 different surgical units of academic hospitals across Italy:

- General and urologic surgery (Federico II University, Napoli),
- Cardiovascular surgery (University of Florence),
- Thoracic and pulmonary surgery (University of Perugia),
- Orthopedic and traumatologic surgery (Federico II University, Napoli), and
- Oncologic surgery (University of Genova).

End points

Primary end point was 30-day mortality. A variety of secondary end points were also considered: intraoperative mortality, inhospital mortality, and postoperative morbidity (see Appendix 1).

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6250430

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/6250430

Daneshyari.com