



Short Communication

Inhibiting cyclin-dependent kinase 5 in the nucleus accumbens enhances the expression of amphetamine-induced locomotor conditioning

B.F. Singer^{a,1}, J. Forneris^b, P. Vezina^{a,b,*}^a Committee on Neurobiology, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA^b Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neuroscience, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

H I G H L I G H T S

- The formation of drug-context associations contributes to addiction.
- Inhibiting NAcc Cdk5 enhances the expression of amphetamine-induced conditioning.
- This effect differs from its known ability to block the induction of conditioning.
- NAcc Cdk5 plays distinct roles in the induction and expression of drug conditioning.

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:

Received 12 June 2014

Received in revised form 8 August 2014

Accepted 27 August 2014

Available online 4 September 2014

Keywords:

Cdk5

Conditioning

Learning

Locomotion

Psychostimulants

Roscovitine

A B S T R A C T

When psychostimulant drugs like amphetamine are administered repeatedly in the presence of a contextual stimulus complex, long-lasting associations form between the unconditioned effects of the drug and the contextual stimuli. Here we assessed the role played by the proline-directed serine/threonine kinase cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5) in the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) on the expression of the conditioned locomotion normally observed when rats are returned to a context previously paired with amphetamine. Infusing the Cdk5 inhibitor roscovitine (40 nmol/0.5 μ l/side) into the NAcc 30-min before the test for conditioning significantly enhanced the conditioned locomotor response observed in rats previously administered amphetamine in the test environment. This effect was specific to the expression of a conditioned response as inhibiting Cdk5 produced no effect in control rats previously administered saline or previously administered amphetamine elsewhere. As inhibiting Cdk5 during exposure to amphetamine has been found to block the accrual of locomotor conditioning, the present results suggest distinct roles for NAcc Cdk5 in the induction and expression of excitatory conditioning by amphetamine.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Report

Psychomotor stimulants like amphetamine acutely increase locomotor activity. When these drugs are administered repeatedly in the presence of a particular contextual stimulus complex, long-lasting associations form between the unconditioned effects of the drugs and the contextual stimuli. These associations then

allow drug-paired stimuli to elicit drug-like excitatory conditioned responses as in the conditioned locomotion that is observed when rats are returned weeks to months later to the context in which they had previously been administered amphetamine [1]. Given the ubiquity of drug-related stimuli in a contextual stimulus complex previously associated with drug administration, conditioned enhancements in locomotion likely reflect increased approach and interaction with these stimuli [2], effects characteristic of drug seeking and craving [3]. Indeed, such drug-stimulus associations have been linked to addiction vulnerability and reinstatement in humans and animal models [4–6].

Some studies using pharmacological inhibitors have implicated actions of the proline-directed serine/threonine kinase cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5) in different brain regions in various types of learning including fear conditioning (lateral septum and

* Corresponding author at: Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neuroscience The University of Chicago 5841 S. Maryland Avenue, MC 3077 Chicago, IL 60637, Tel.: +773/702 2890; fax: +773/702 0857.

E-mail address: pvezina@yoda.bsd.uchicago.edu (P. Vezina).

¹ Department of Psychology The University of Michigan 530 Church Street Ann Arbor, MI 48109.

hippocampus [7]) and cocaine conditioned place preference (CPP; basolateral amygdala [BLA;8]). As the major subcortical forebrain projection field of mesolimbic dopamine neurons, the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) has also been found to be an important site of Cdk5 actions in drug conditioning. In an initial report, selective Cdk5 knock-out in the NAcc was found to produce decreased, increased, or no change in the acquisition of cocaine CPP depending on the training dose of cocaine used. This manipulation also spared the acquisition of instrumental responding [9]. However, those results are difficult to interpret as the Cdk5 knock-out manipulation used in that experiment spanned the development and expression phases of conditioning, making it impossible to determine whether the effects observed were due to actions of the Cdk5 knock-out during acquisition, testing, or a combination of the two. Indeed, different neuronal mechanisms appear to underlie the induction and expression of excitatory conditioning [10,11] and these may be differentially regulated by Cdk5. Recently, we reported that inhibiting Cdk5 signaling in the NAcc exclusively during exposure to amphetamine prevented the accrual of contextual locomotor conditioning by amphetamine, indicating that Cdk5 actions in the NAcc are necessary for the induction of excitatory contextual associative conditioning [12]. In the experiment reported here, we assessed the effect of inhibiting Cdk5 in the NAcc exclusively on the expression of contextual locomotor conditioning with amphetamine.

Male Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 250–275 g on arrival from Harlan (Madison, WI) were housed individually in a reverse cycle room (12-h light/12-h dark, lights on at 8pm). Food and water were freely available at all times. After 4–5 days of acclimation, rats were anesthetized with ketamine (100 mg/kg, i.p.) and xylazine (10 mg/kg, i.p.) and stereotactically implanted bilaterally with chronic indwelling guide cannula angled at 10° and aimed at the NAcc shell (A/P, +3.4; M/L, ±0.8; DV, −7.5 mm from bregma and skull). The NAcc shell was targeted because it has been shown to mediate context-induced drug seeking [13] and is uniquely innervated by the ventral hippocampus [14], a structure known to possess contextual information [15]. Guide cannulae were fixed in place with dental cement anchored by six screws securely fastened to the skull. Obturators (28 gauge; 0.32 mm, o.d.) were positioned in the guide cannulae to protrude 1 mm beyond the guide tips. Rats were afforded a 10–14 day recovery period in their home cages before the start of all experimental procedures. All surgical procedures were conducted using aseptic techniques according to an approved Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocol.

The experiment consisted of three phases: drug exposure, withdrawal, and testing for conditioning. In the drug exposure and testing phases, locomotion was measured using a bank of 8 open fields (43.2 × 43.2 × 30.5 cm; Med Associates, St. Albans, VT) constructed with acrylic walls, wire flooring, and a Plexiglas top. A horizontal 16 × 16 grid of infrared sensors positioned 3.5 cm above the wire floor was used to detect ambulatory counts. These were recorded by Med Associate's software (SOF-811).

The drug exposure phase consisted of four 3-day blocks. Injections were administered on the first two days of each block, the first immediately before placing rats in the open field and the second in the home cage. On the third day, rats were left undisturbed in the home cage. Rats in one group (Paired) were administered amphetamine (1.5 mg/kg, i.p.) in (paired with) the open field and saline (1.0 ml/kg, i.p.) in the home cage. Rats in a second group (Unpaired) were administered saline in the open field and amphetamine in the home cage (unpaired with the open field). Rats in a final group (Control) were administered saline in both environments. Thus, during the drug exposure phase, rats in all groups were equally exposed to the open fields but subjected to different amphetamine-open field pairings. Locomotion was recorded

in the open fields for 2-h. S(+)-amphetamine sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich Inc., Saint Louis, MO) was dissolved in sterile saline. The dose [12] refers to the weight of the salt.

Following the 12 days of exposure (4 × 3-day blocks), rats were afforded a 1-week withdrawal period during which they were left undisturbed in their home cages. Rats in each of the conditioning groups were then randomly assigned to two subgroups that determined whether they received an infusion into the NAcc of vehicle (Veh) or the Cdk5 inhibitor (R)-roscovitine (Ros) prior to the test for conditioning. Six different groups were thus tested on the conditioning test: Paired-Veh, Paired-Ros, Unpaired-Veh, Unpaired-Ros, Control-Veh, and Control-Ros. On the test for conditioned locomotion, rats were administered a systemic saline injection (1.0 ml/kg, i.p.) preceded 30-min earlier by a bilateral infusion into the NAcc of Veh (0.5 µl/side) or Ros (40 nmol/0.5 µl/side) and their locomotor activity was assessed for 1-hr in the open fields. Ros (Enzo Life Sciences Inc., Plymouth Meeting, PA) was dissolved in 1XPBS/50% DMSO vehicle. The dose of Ros tested was selected because it has been used by others to study the effects of Cdk5 inhibition in the NAcc (see [16] and references therein). We also recently showed that when infused into the NAcc during drug exposure, this dose of Ros prevents the induction of locomotor conditioning by amphetamine [12]. The use of the same dose in the present study assessing the effects of inhibiting NAcc Cdk5 on the expression of locomotor conditioning will thus allow the direct comparison of the results obtained to those obtained in our recent study [12] as well as those reported by others ([16]).

After the conditioning test, rats were deeply anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine and subjected to intracardiac perfusion with 0.9% saline followed by 10% formalin. Brains were then harvested, stored in 10% formalin, and 40 µm coronal slices taken with a cryostat. Brain slices were mounted onto gelatin-coated slides and subsequently stained with a cresyl violet solution in order to verify cannula tip placements within the NAcc shell. Only rats with bilateral cannula tips placed correctly within the NAcc shell were included in the behavioral analyses (Fig. 2B). Of the 50 rats tested, 15 rats failed to meet this histological criterion and were thus excluded.

As expected, Paired rats administered amphetamine in the open fields displayed greater locomotor activation throughout the drug exposure phase compared to Unpaired and Control rats administered saline (Fig. 1). This was true whether rats were subsequently designated Ros or Veh, as Ros was not administered during this phase. The one-way between one-way within repeated measures ANOVA conducted on the 2-h total ambulatory counts obtained for the 3 conditioning groups on each of the 4 exposure days revealed significant effects of conditioning [$F_{2,32} = 43.87$, $p < 0.001$], injection day [$F_{3,96} = 7.75$, $p < 0.001$], and a significant conditioning X injection day interaction [$F_{6,96} = 7.72$, $p < 0.001$]. Post-hoc LSD analyses confirmed that Paired rats showed elevated ambulatory counts compared to the other two groups on all 4 exposure days ($p < 0.001$) and that these counts increased progressively over days ($p < 0.001$). No statistically significant differences were detected between the Unpaired and Control conditions nor did ambulatory counts change significantly over days in these groups.

On the test for conditioning conducted 1 week later, rats that had been exposed to amphetamine Paired with the open fields showed, as expected, a greater locomotor response when injected with saline and returned to the open fields than rats that were previously exposed to the same number of amphetamine injections but Unpaired with the open fields or Control rats previously exposed to saline. Interestingly, this conditioned locomotor response was enhanced in Paired rats administered NAcc-Ros 30-min prior to the test. No effects of NAcc-Ros were detected in Unpaired or Control rats (Fig. 2A). The two-way between ANOVA conducted on the 1-h total ambulatory counts obtained on this

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6257878>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6257878>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)