



Research Report

Generation and characterization of hD₅ and C-terminal Mutant hD_{5m} transgenic rats

Zhiliang Xu^{a,b,1}, Suzhen Dong^{a,b,1}, Dan Du^b, Nan Jiang^b, Peiqua Sun^c, Huikun Wang^c,
Liang Yin^b, Xuliang Zhang^b, Xiaohua Cao^b, Xuechu Zhen^c, Yinghe Hu^{a,*}

^aShanghai Engineering Research Center of Molecular Therapeutics and New Drug Development, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, PR China

^bKey Laboratory of Brain Functional Genomics, Ministry of Education, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, PR China

^cDepartment of Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Accepted 28 January 2012

Available online 4 February 2012

Keywords:

D₁-like dopamine receptor

Learning and memory

Transgenic rat

LTP

ABSTRACT

Dopamine D₁-like receptors play important roles in many brain activities such as cognition and emotion. We have generated human hD₅ and mutant human hD₅ (hD_{5m}) transgenic rats. The C-terminal juxtamembrane domain of mutant hD₅ was identical to that of hD₅ pseudogenes. The transgenes were driven by the CAMKII promoter that led the expression mainly in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus. We have used different dopamine receptor agonists to compare the pharmacological profiles of the human hD₅ and hD_{5m} receptors. The results showed that they exhibited distinct pharmacological properties. Our results of pharmacological studies indicated that the C-terminal of D₅ receptor could play important roles in agonist binding affinity. Hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP) evoked by tetanic stimulation was significantly reduced in both transgenic rats. In addition, we found that the overexpression of dopamine hD₅ and hD_{5m} receptors in the rat brain resulted in memory impairments. Interestingly, an atypical D₁-like receptor agonist, SKF83959, could induce anxiety in hD_{5m} receptor transgenic rats but had no effect on the anxiety-like behavior in D₅ receptor transgenic and wild-type rats.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Dopamine has been shown to play important roles in many brain functions including cognition, locomotion activity and emotion (Missale et al., 1998; Vallone et al., 2000). The physiological activities of dopamine are mediated via a family of G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs). The dopamine receptors are generally divided into two groups, namely the D₁-like and D₂-like receptors. Pharmacologically, D₅ receptor exhibits

the classical ligand-binding characteristics of D₁-like receptors, and displays a 10-fold higher affinity than D₁ receptor for the endogenous ligand dopamine (Sunahara et al., 1991).

Since the dopamine D₁ and D₅ receptors are pharmacologically undistinguishable, it is not clear which receptor subtype participates in the regulation of cognition and mood functions (Castellano et al., 1991; Hotte et al., 2005). It is interesting to note that the tissue distribution patterns of D₁ and D₅ receptors are very different. D₁ receptor is widely distributed in many

* Corresponding author. Fax: +86 21 62601953.

E-mail address: yhu@brain.ecnu.edu.cn (Y. Hu).

¹ Co-first author with the same contribution to this study.

tissues, while D₅ receptor is mainly expressed in the brain (Beischlag et al., 1995; Ciliax et al., 2000; Khan et al., 2000). Moreover, the highest level of D₅ expression is restricted in the cortex and hippocampus (Khan et al., 2000). Since these two brain regions have been strongly implicated in a variety of learning and memory processes, we speculated that the dopamine D₅ receptor subtype might participate in the regulation of learning and memory. Recently, a number of genetic engineered mouse models have been generated for the studies on the physiological functions of D₁-like receptors. These studies demonstrated that D₁-like receptors could be involved in hypertension (Jiang et al., 2003), elevation of sympathetic tone (Hollon et al., 2002), locomotion, startle, and prepulse inhibition (Holmes et al., 2001).

In this report, we demonstrated that human D₅ receptor is involved in memory. We have isolated a mutant hD₅ dopamine receptor gene (named hD_{5m}) in which the hD₅ carboxyl terminal was replaced with C-terminal juxtamembrane domain of hD₅ pseudogene (Fig. 1). It has been reported that the C-terminal of D₅ receptor was involved in interaction with GABA-A receptor (Liu et al., 2000). Therefore, we used the C-terminal mutant receptor to explore whether the mutation could affect the receptor function. Our pharmacological studies showed that the C-terminal of hD₅ receptor was involved in the binding affinity with its agonist. Transgenic rats expressing human D₅ and the mutant hD_{5m} in forebrain have been generated to study the physiological functions of D₅ receptor and its carbonyl terminal. Electrophysiological analysis showed that hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP) evoked by tetanic stimulation was significantly reduced in both hD₅ and hD_{5m} transgenic rat. Furthermore, we found that the overexpression of dopamine hD₅ and hD_{5m} genes in the brain resulted in memory impairments, indicating that dopamine D₅ receptor may play important roles in cognitive functions in rat. Our results also demonstrated that the mutant C-terminal of D₅ was involved in anxiety behavior.

2. Results

2.1. Pharmacological characterization of the C-terminal mutant of hD₅ dopamine receptor

We have isolated a mutant human dopamine D₅ receptor (hD_{5m}) using PCR method. DNA and protein analyses showed that the C-terminal region of the mutant receptor was identical to the hD₅ pseudogene (Fig. 1). We have performed radioligand binding assay, cAMP assay and calcium image to investigate the pharmacological differences between hD₅ and hD_{5m}. Saturation radioligand binding analysis using [³H]SCH23390, a radiolabeled D₁-like receptor antagonist, showed that the receptor densities (B_{max}) in the membrane preparations of hD₅ and hD_{5m} cell lines were almost identical (hD₅, 0.61±0.03 pmol/mg; hD_{5m}, 0.69±0.03 pmol/mg). However, the affinity (K_d) for [³H]SCH23390 binding to the hD_{5m} receptor was significantly different from that of hD₅ receptor (hD₅, 1.19±0.16 nM; hD_{5m}, 2.37±0.25 nM, *p*<0.01) (Fig. 2A&B and Table 1). We further analyzed the pharmacological properties of hD₅ receptors and hD_{5m} using two D₁-like receptor agonists SKF83959 and SKF38393. As shown in Fig. 3 and Table 1, the K_i value of SKF83959 for hD_{5m} was seven-fold higher than that for hD₅ receptor (hD₅, 3.09±0.81 nM; hD_{5m}, 21.08±4.87 nM). On the other hand, SKF38393 for hD₅ receptor were only two-fold lower than that for hD_{5m} (hD₅, 0.53±0.04 μM; hD_{5m}, 1.36±0.32 μM), indicating the different binding property of the two D₁-like receptor agonists on hD₅ receptor and hD_{5m}.

We further examined the biological activity of the mutant dopamine receptor in a cell-based assay. cAMP accumulation stimulated by SKF38393 and SKF83959 did not exhibit any significant difference between hD₅ and hD_{5m} (Fig. 3 and Table 1). Since recent reports indicated that hD₅-like receptor activation could induce the production of intracellular calcium release (Lezcano and Bergson, 2002; Tang and Bezprozvanny, 2004), we examined the potential effect of hD₅ and hD_{5m} activation on intracellular

Protein	381	Arg	Thr	Pro	Val	Glu	Thr	Val	Asn	Ile	Ser	Asn	Glu	Leu	Ile	Ser	Tyr	Asn	Gln	Asp	Met	400
D5m	1141	cgc	acg	ccg	gtg	gag	acg	gtg	aac	atc	agc	aat	gag	ctc	atc	tcc	tac	aac	caa	gac	atg	1200
D5																					c(Ile)	
D5ψ																						
Protein	401	Val	Phe	His	Lys	Glu	Ile	Ala	Ala	Ala	Cys	Ile	His	Met	Met	Pro	Asn	Ala	Leu	Pro	Pro	420
D5m	1201	gtc	ttc	cac	aag	gaa	atc	gca	gct	gcc	tgc	atc	cac	atg	atg	ccc	aac	gcc	ctt	ccc	ccc	1260
D5																					a(Thr)	
D5ψ																						
Protein	421	Gly	Asp	Gln	Glu	Val	Asp	Asn	Asp	Glu	Glu	Glu	Glu	Ser	Pro	Phe	Asp	Arg	Met	Phe	Gln	440
D5m	1261	ggg	gac	caa	gag	gtg	gac	aac	gat	gag	gag	gag	gag	agt	cct	ttc	gat	cgc	atg	ttc	cag	1320
D5			c	a(Asn)	gg(Glu)				c		---			g(Gly)							c	
D5ψ																						
Protein	441	Ile	Tyr	Gln	Thr	Ser	Pro	Asp	Gly	Asp	Pro	Val	Ala	Glu	Ser	Val	Trp	Glu	Leu	Asp	Cys	460
D5m	1321	atc	tat	cag	acg	tcc	cca	gat	ggt	gac	cct	gtt	gct	gag	tct	gtc	tg	gag	ctg	gac	tcg	1380
D5																						
D5ψ																						
Protein	461	Glu	Gly	Glu	Ile	Ser	Leu	Asp	Lys	Ile	Thr	Pro	Phe	Thr	Pro	Asn	Gly	Phe	His			479
D5m	1381	'gag	ggg	gag	att	tct	tta	gac	aaa	ata	aca	cct	ttc	acc	ccg	aat	gga	ttc	cat	taa	act	1440
D5																						
D5ψ																						

Fig. 1 – Comparison of nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of C-terminals of hD₅, hD_{5m} and pseudogenes hD_{5ψ}. The different base pairs are shown between hD₅, hD_{5m} and the pseudogene. Deletions and insertions are presented with dash and expanded carets.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/6264458>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/6264458>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)